

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

FOURTH SESSION OF THE SECOND LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

Vol. XXXI (Nos. 1 to 5

#### · CONTENTS.

Personnel of the Government of Madr

Wednesday, 25th August 1926.

Members present
Members present
I. New Members 10
II. Questions and Answers
III. Communications to the Council
IV. Petition to the Council
V. Demands for Supplementary Grants for 1926-27
VI. Announcement regarding days allotted for non-official bills 42
VII. A Bill to re-enact the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act, 1923 42-94
Manual Indiana Maria
m; // 2011 / 1 2020
Thursday, 26th August 1926.
Members present
I. Questions and Answers
II. Discussion regarding the Madras Irrigation Bill 158
III. A Bill to re-enact the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act, 1923—cont. 158-217
IV. Discussion regarding business before the House
THE
Friday, 27th August 1926.
177 tately, 51 th 21 ay as t 152
Members present
I. Questions and Answers
IT Communication to the Conneils
II. Communication to the Council
III. The Madras Irrigation Bill
· IV. Draft roles under section 201 of the Madras Local Boards Act, 1920
V. The Malabar Tenancy Bill 283-293
77. 400 11 101 - 101
· Salundas 20th Assured 1026
Saturday, 28th August 1926.
-ONE V
Members present
I. Questions and Answers
II. The Malabar Tenancy Bill-cont 322-367
7 1 21 1 1 1 1000
Tuesday 31st August 1926.
Members present
I. Questions and Answers
12. The Midrae City Tenants' Protection Act (Amendment) Pill 380
III. The Madras Local Boards Act (Amendment) Bill of Mr. R. Veerian 380-381, 403-406
IV. A Bill to amend the Madras Local Boards Act, 1920, and the Madras District
Municipalities Ac', 1920, of Mr. J. A. Saldanha 381-287, 398-401
V. The Madras Elementary Education Act (Amendment) Bill of Mr. J. A.
Saldanha
VI. A Bill to declare the Kirlampudi Estates to be impartible of Diwan Bahadur
O. Tanikachala Chettiyar
A
VII. The Jam Succession Bill of Mr. D. Manjayya neggade
• Mr. R. Veerian 398

#### PERSONNEL OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

# Governor of Madras.

His Excellency the Rt. Hon. the Viscount Goschen of Hawkhurse. G.C.I.E., C.B.E. Took his seat on 14th April 1924.

#### Members of the Executive Council.

1. The hon, Mr. N. E. Marjoribanks, c.s.i., c.i.e., I.C.S., Member in charge of Revenue. . Took his seat on 27th December 1924 and is in charge of the following portfolios:-

Agency. Constitution of districts. divisions and taluks. Court of Wards.

Economic condition (including prices and wages).

Escheats.

Famine. General (i.e., questions of a general nature which cannot be allocated to any particular department).

Government Servants' Conduct Rules. Indian Civil Service—Questions other than leave and appointments.

Irrigation Bill.

Land Revenue, Survey and Settlement.

Mines.

Office procedure.

Petition rules - General questions. Pounds and special funds. .

Public Services Commission and service questions including examinations and special tests and land returns.

Reforms-not being legislative.

Treasure trove.

Warrant of precedence.

Wild animals.

Yeomiahs, inams and hereditary pensions.

2. The hon. Khan Bahadur MUHAMMAD USMAN SAHIB Bahadur, Home Member. Took his seat on the 30th March 1925 and is in charge of the following portfolios:-

Administration report.

Air-craft. Arms and explosives.

Boilers. Census. .

Certificate of age and qualifica-

Criminal Tribes. Depressed classes.

Emigration.

Forests (including einchona).

Government Houses.

Jails. .

Labour (including factories).

Laccadives.

Pilgrims to the Hedjaz.

Reformatories.

Regulation of medical and other professional qualifications and standards. ..

Rewards for saving life and property.

Staff and household of . His Excellency the Governor.

Stamps.

Stationery Government and Presses.

3. The hon Mr. T. E. Moir, c.s.r., c.i.e., I.C.S., Finance Member. Took his seaf on 27th April 1925 and is in charge of the following pottfolios:—

Central Subjects-

Archæology and Epigraphy. Customs (including trade).

Ecclesiastical.

Income-tax.

Meteorology.
Opium.

Political (other than matters relating to Indian States).

Post Office.

Central Subjects-cont.

Salt.

Telegraphs and telephones. European education.

Finance. Military.

Move of Government to the Hills.

Pensions.

Malabar Tenancy Bill.

4. The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL, C.L.E., C.B.E., V.D., I.O.S., Law Member. Took his seat on 7th August 1926 and is in charge of the following portfolios:—

Civil Justice.

Criminal Justice (including petitions for mercy).

Elections.

Electricity (including hydro-

electric schemes). Foreigners.

Fortnightly report.

Irrigation.
Landlord and tenant.

Legislative.

Magistracy.

Marine (Central subject).
Miscellaneous Judicial heads.

Passports.

Police including Criminal Investi-

gation Department.

Press and registration of books.
Publicity including Editors' Table.
Railways.

Report on matters of political and administrative importance.

State prisoners.

Translators to Government.

#### Ministers.

1. The hon, the Raja of Panagal, R.C.I.E., Minister for Local Self-Government. Took his seat on 19th November 1923 and is in charge of the following portfolios:—

Adulteration of foodstuffs.

Local. Medical.

Municipal.

Light-feeder Railways and Tramways within municipal

areas. Public Health.

Religious and Endowments.

Charitable

2. The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. Patro, Kt., Minister for Education and Public Works. Took his seat on 19th November 1923 and is in charge of the following portfolios:—

Education. Excise. Public Works. Registration.

• 3. The hon, Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI Avargal, Kt., Minister for Development. Took his seat on 19th November 1923 and is in charge of the following portfolios:—

Agriculture.

Co-operative Societies.

Fisheries.

Industries. Veterinary. Weights and Measures.

# PRINCIPAL OFFICERS OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATIVE ...

President.

The hon. Mr. M. RUTHNASWAMY, M.A., Bar.-at-Law.

Deputy President.

M.R.Ry. Diwan Bahadur P. Kesava Pillat Avargal, c.i.e.

Panel of Chairmen.

M.R.R.y. A. Ramaswami Medaliyar Avargal. Sriman Sasibhushan Rath Mahasayo. Khan Bahadur Haji Abdulla Haji Qasim Sahib Bahadur. M.R.Ry. Rai Bahadur T. M. Narasimhacharlu Garu.

Secretary to the Council.

M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur R. V. KRISHNA AYYAR Avargal, B.A., M.L.

Assistant Secretary to the Council.

M.R.Ry. C. Satagopa Achariyar Avargal, B.A.

# ALPHABETICAL LIST OF MEMBERS OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

	LEGISLATIVI	E COUNCIL.
	Name of member.	Name and class of constituency.
1.	Abdul Hye Sahib Bahadur	Ceded Districts, M. Rural.
	Abdul Wahab Sahib Bahadur,	Northern Circars, M. Rural.
	Munshi.	
3.	Abdulla Ghatala Sahib Bahadur,	North Arcot cum Chingleput, M.
	Khan Bahadur (Council Secretary).	Rural.
4.	Adinarayana Chettiyar, T.	North Arcot, NM. Rural.
5.	Anjaneyulu, P Ankinedu Prasad Bahadur,	Guntur, NM. Rural.
	S. R. Y.	holders.
	Appavu Chettiyar, D	Salem, NM. Rural.
	Ari Gowder, H. B Arpudaswami Udayar, S.	Nilgiris, NM. Rural. Tanjore, Trichinopoly cum Madura,
9.	Arpudaswami Udayar, S.	Tanjore, Trichinopoly cum Madura,
10	(Council Secretary).	Indian Christian.
10.	(Council Secretary). Arumuga Nadar, P. K. S. A Bhanoji Rao, A. V	NOMINATED for Nadars.
11.	Bhanoji Rao, A. V Biswanath Das Mahasayo, Sriman	Vizagapatam, NM. Urban. Ganjam, NM. Rural.
	Billian Billian Line all a line and the control parts	
13.	Boag, I.C.S., G. T	NOMINATED. (Secretary to Government, Finance Department.)
14.	Campbell, C.I.E., C.B.E., V.D., I.C.S., The hon. Mr. A. Y. G.	EX-OFFICIO.
15.	Chambers, G. W	Madras Chamber of Commerce.
16.	Chidambara Nadar, A	Madura, NM. Rural.
17.	Cotterell, c.i.e., I.C.S., C. B.	NOMINA'TED.
18.	Cruz Fernandez, Rao Bahadur.	Ramnad cum Tinnevelly (Christian),
	77/1	Indian Christian.
19.	Davis, J. A	NOMINATED for Anglo-Indians.
20.	Devendrudu, N	NOMINATED for Adi-Andhras.
21.	Ellappa Chettiyar, Rao Sahib S.	Salem, NM. Rural.
22.	Ethirajulu Nayudu, Diwan	Guntur, NM. Rural.
	Bahadur P. C.	
	Gangaraju, M	
24.	Ghouse Mian Sahib, Bahadur, Muhammad.	Central Districts, M. Rural.
25.	Gopala Menon, C	Southern India Chamber of Com- merce, Commerce and Industry.
26.	Gopalan, Rao Sahib P. V	NOMINATED for Mukkavans of Fishermen.
27	Guruswami, L. C	NOMINATED for Arundhateyas.
28	Haji Qasim Sahib Bahadur,	South Kanara, M. Rural.
20.	Khan Bahadur Haji Abdulla (Chairman).	
29	Hegde, J. Naganna	South Kanara, NM. Rural.
30.	Heggade, D. Manjayya	Do.

Name of member.

31. Kesava Pillai, C.I.E. Diwan Bahadur P. (Deputy President).

32. Khadir Mohiddin Elyas Khan East Coast Muhammadan. Sahib Bahadur.

33. Khalif-ul-lah Sahib Bahadur, Madura aum Trichinopoly, M. Rural. Khan Bahadur P.

34. Koti Reddi, K .... 35. Krishnan Nayar, Diwan Bahadur

36. Krishna Rao Pantulu,

Bahadur A. S. 37. Krishnama Achariyar, C.I.E., Rao Bahadur V. T.

38, Krishnaswami Nayudu, Rao Bahadur K.

39. Kuppuswami, J. 40. MacDougall, Kt., Sir Alexander.

41. Madanagopal Nayudu, R. . . ...

42. Madhava Raja, V.

43. Madurai, Hony Lt.

44. Mallesappa, T. 45. Marakkayar Sahib Bahadur,

Khan Bahadur V. Hamid Sultan. 46. Marjoribanks, c.s.i., c.i.E., I.C.S.,

The hon, Mr. N. E.

47. Marthandam Pillai, P. N. 48. Maruthavanam Pillai, C.

49. Meera Sahib Bahadur, Muhammad.

50. Moidu Sahib Bahadur, T. M. . 51. Moir, C.S.I., C.I.E., I.C.S.,

hon. Mr. T. E .. 52. Moosa Sait . Sahib Bahadur, Madras, M. Urban. Muhammad.

53. Muhammad Sahib Bahadur, T. N. 54. Muniswami Nayudu, Rao Baha-

dur B. 55. Murugappa Chettiyar, Diwan

Bahadur A. M.

56. Muttayya Mudaliyar, C. 57. Muttayya Madaliyar, S.

58. Muttu Chettiyar, P. C. 59. Narasimhacharlu, Rai Bahadur T. M. (Chairman).

60. Narasimha Raju, Rao Bahadur Vizagapatam, N.-M. Rural. C. V. 8.

61. Narayanan Nambudiripad, Rao . Bahadur O. M.

Name and class of constituency.

Anantapur, N.-M. Rural.

Cuddapah, N.-M. Rural. Malabar cum Anjengo, N.-M. Rural.

Nellore, N.-M. Rural.

NOMINATED. (Secretary to Government, Law Department.)

North Arcot, N.-M. Rural.

Guntur, N.-M. Rural.

European.

Madras, N.-M. Urban. Malabar cum Anjengo, N.-M. Rural.

NOMINATED for Adi-Dravidas.

NOMINATED for Lingayats.

Tanjore, M. Rural.

EX-OFFICIO

Tinnevelly, N.-M. Rural.

Tanjore, N.-M. Rural.

Madura, Trichinopoly cum Srirangam, M.. Urban.

Malabar cum Anjengo, M. Rural. EX-OFFICIO.

Ramnad cum Tinnevelly, M. Rural. Chittoor N.-M. Rural.

Nattukottai Nagarathars' Association, Commerce and Industry.

Chingleput, N. M. Rural. Tanjore, N. M. Rural.

Ramnad, N.-M. Rural. Cuddapah, N.-M. Rural.

NOMINATED for Nambudiris.

Name of member.	Name and class of constituency
62. Narayanaswami Pillai, T. M	Trichinopoly, NM. Rural.
63. Natesa Mudaliyar, Rao Bahadur	Madras, NM. Urban.
64. Nicholson, L. C	'Madras Trades' . Association,
	Commerce and Industry.
65. Obalesappa, B	NOMINATED for Maruthuvakulars (Barbers).
66. Pandrang Rao, I.C.S., V	NOMINATED: (Secretary to Government, Development Department.)
67. Patro, Kt., The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. (Minister).	Ganjam, NM. Rural.
68. Peddiraju, P	Kistna, NM. Rural.
69. Ponnuswami Nayudu, C	Madura, NM. Rural.
70. Ponnuswami Pillai, K. S	NOMINATED for Protestant Christians.
71. Prabhakaran Tampan, K	West Coast Landholders, Land-
72. Premayya, G	NOMINATED for Adi-Andhras
73. Raghuchandra Ballal, K	NOMINATED for Jains.
74. Raja, Rao Bahadur M. C	NOMINATED for Depressed Classes.
75. Raja of Panagal, K.C.I.E., The hon. the (Minister).	North Central Landholders, Land- holders.
76. Raja of Ramnad	Southern Landholders, Landholders.
77. Rajan, P. T	Madura, NM. Rural.
78. Rajappa Tevar, P. S	NOMINATED for Kallars.
79. Ramachandra Reddi, B	Nelfore, NM. Rural.
80. Ramalinga Chettiyar, Rao Bahadur T. A.	Coimbatore, NM. Rural.
81. Ramalinga Reddi, C 82. Raman, Rao Bahadur P	Chittoor, NM. Rural.
82. Raman, Rao Bahadur P	
83. Ramaswami Mudaliyar, A. (Chairman).	Chingleput, NM. Rural.
84. Rameswara Rao, G	Anantapur, NM. Rural
85. Ranganatha Mudaliyar, A	Bellary, NM. Rural.
86. Rencontre, A. E	Anglo-Indian.
87. Ruthnaswamy, The hon. Mr. M. (President).	Central Districts (Christian), Indian Christian.
88. Sagaram, P	NOMINATED for Setti-Balijas.
89. Saldanha, J. A	West Coast (Christian), Indian Christian.
90. Samuel, J. D	Northern Districts, Indian Christian.
91. Sarabha Reddi, K	Kurnool, NM. Rural.

	Name of member.	Name and class of constituency.
92.	Sarvarayudu, K	Kistna, NM. Rural.
		Ganjam, NM. Rural.
	Sriman (Chairman).	
		•Madras University, University.
95.	Sesha Reddi, B. P	Kurnool, NM. Rural.
	Seturatnam Ayyar, M. R	Trichinopoly, NM. Rural.
	Sitarama Reddi, K Sitayya, M	South Arcot, NM. Rural.
		Kistna, NM. Rural.
99.	Sivagnanam Pillai, Kt., The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N.	Tinnevelly, NM. Rural.
	(Minister).	
100	Q: D D	Bellary, NM. Rural.
100.	Srinivasa Ayyangar, R Srinivasan Rao Sahib R.	South Arcot, NM. Rural.
102.	Srinivasan, Rao Sahib R.	NOMINATED for Adi-Dravidas.
	Subbarayan, Dr. P	South Central Landholders, Land-
	人のの一個は原	holders.
104.	Subrahmanya Pillai, K. Chavadi.	Tinnevelly cum Palamcottah, NM.
105	Sundaramurti, Rao Sahib P.V. S.	Urban. NOMINATED for Adi-Dravidas.
	Suryanarayanamurti Nayudu,	Cocanada City, NM. Urban.
	Diwan Bahadur K.	1000
107.	Tangavelu Pillai, Rao Sahib	Trichinopoly cum Srirangam, NM.
	T. C. (Council Secretary).	Urban.
108.	Tanikachala Chettiyar, Diwan Bahadur O.	Madras, NM. Urban.
109.	Tulasiram, L. K	Madura, NM. Urban.
110.	Uppi Sahib Bahadur, K	Malabar cum Anjengo, M. Rural.
111.	Usman Sahib Bahadur, The hon.	EX-OFFICIO.
	Khan Bahadur Muhammad.	Margaretti Andrew
	Veerian, R.	NOMINATED for Adi-Dravidas.
113.	Vellingiri Gounder, V. C.	Coimbatore, NM. Rural.
	Venkatachalam Chetti, Sami Venkatachala Padayachi, K	Madras, NM. Urban. South Arcot, NM. Rural.
116	Venkatapati Razu, P. C	Vizagapatam, NM. Rural
117.	Venkatarama Ayyar alias V.	Tanjore, NM. Rural.
	Pantulu Ayyar.	7 01-11/1/1
118.	Venkataramana Ayyangar, C. V.	
119.	Venkatarama Sastriyar, c.i.e.,	NOMINATED.
	T. R. (Advocate-General).	
120.	Venkatarangam Nayudu, C	North Arcot, NM. Rural.
	Venkataratnam, B	Godavari, NM. Rural.
122.	Venkatareddi Nayudu, Kt., Rai	Do:
192	Bahadur Sir K.	Ramnad, NM. Rural.
120.	Virappa Chettiyar, Rao Bahadur P. K. A. Ct.	Rainhad, 14III. Rufai.
124.	Windle, Capt. E. G	Madras Planters.
125.	Wood, C. E	Madras Chamber of Commerce.
126.	Zamindar of Kallikota and	Northern Landholders, Landholders.
	Atagada Estates.	MOMINIAMED
127.	Zamindar of Mandasa	NOMINATED,

# SPECIAL MEMBERS.

PAUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS

Name of member.

Purpose for which nominated.

128. Gopalaswami Ayyangar, Rai Bahadur N. (Registrar-General of Panchayata). NOMINATED for the Madras District Municipalities Act Amendment Bills and the Madras Village Panchayats Act Amendment Bill.

NOMINATED for the Malabar

129. Ramachandra Ayyar, Diwan Bahadur T. R.

Tenancy Bill.

130. Raman Menon, K. P. ...

. Do

131. Sadasiva Ayyar, Kt., Sir T.

NOMINATED for the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Bill.

132. Varadachariyar, Rao Bahadur S.

NOMINATED for the Madras
Arrigation Bill.

133. Venkataramana Rao Nayudu, P.

NOMINATED for the Madras
Hindu Religious Endowments
Bill.

#### PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

#### OFFICIAL REPORT.

Fourth Session of the Second Legislative Council under the Government of India Act.

#### VOLUME XXXI

#### Wednesday, the 25th August 1926.

The House met at 11 o'clock, Mr. President (the hon. Mr. M. RUTHNAswamy) in the chair.

PRESENT Marjoribanks, The hon. Mr. N. E. Usman Sahib, The hon. Khan Bahadur Muhammad. Moir, The bon. Mr. T. E. Campbell, Tue hon Mr. A. Y. G. Raja of Panagal, The hon. the. Patro, The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. Sivagnanam Pillai, The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir T N. Abdulla Ghatala Sahib Bahadur, Khan Baha-Adinarayana Chettiyar, Mr. T. Anjaneyulo, Mr. P. Ankinedu Prasad, Mr. S. R. Y. Ari Gowder, Mr. H. B. Arpudaswami Udayar, Mr. S. Bhanoji Rao, Mr. A. V. Biswanath Das Mahasayo, Sriman. Boag, Mr. G. T. Chambers, Mr. (†. W. Chidambara Nadar, Mr. A. Cottere'l , Mr. C. B. Davis, Mr. J. A. Ellappa Chettiyar, Rao Sahib S. Ethirajulu Nayudu, Diwan Bahadur P. C. Ganga Rajo, Mr. M. Gopal . Menon, Mr. C. Gopalan, Rap Sahib P. V. Guruswami, Mr. L. C. Haji Qasim Sahib Bahadur, Khan Bahadur Haji Abd-ul-lah. Hegde, Mr. J. Naganna. Heggide, Mr. D. Manjayya. Kesava Pillai, Diwan Bihadur P. Regaya Fina, Piwan Bahadut I. Khadir Mohiddin Elyas Khan Sahib, Mr. Krishnan Nayar, Diwan Eahadur M. Krishna Rao Pantulu, Rao Bahadur A. S. Krishnama Achariyar, Rao Bahadur V. T. Krishnaswami Nayudu, Rao Bahadur K. Kuppuswami, Mr. J. MacDougall, Sir Alexander. Madanagopal Nayudu, Mr. R. Madhava Raja, Mr. V Madurai, Honorary Lieutenant. Mallesappa, Mr. T.

Murugappa Chettiyar, Diwan Bahadur A. M. Mattayya Mudahyar, Mr. C. Muttayya Mudahyar, Mr. S. Narasimhacharlu, Rat Bahadur T. M. Narasimna Raju, Rao Bahadur C. V. S. Natesa Mudaliyar, Rao Bahadur C. Obalesappa, Mr. B. Pandrang Row, Mr. V. Peddiraju, Mr. P. Ponnuswami Pillai, Mr. K. S. Prabhakaran Tampan, Mr. K. Premayya, Mr. G. Raja, Mao Bahadur M. C. Rajan, Mr. P. T. Ramau, Rao Bahadur P. Ramelinga Chettiyar, Rao Bahadur T. A. Ramaswami Mudaliyar, Mr. A. Rameswara Rao, Mr. G. Ranganatha Mudaliyar, Mr. A. Rencontre, Mr. A. E. Sadasiva Ayyar, Diwan Bahadur Sir T. Sagaram, Mr. P. Saldanha, Mr. J. A. Sami Venkatachalam Chetti, Mr. Samuel, Mr. J. D. Sarvarayudu, Mr. K. Sasibhushan Rath Mahasayo, Sriman. Satyamurti, Mr. S. Sitarama Reddi, Mr. K. Sitayya, Mr. M. Sriniyasa Ayyangar, Mr. R. Sriniyasan, Rao Sahib R. Subearayan, Dr P. Subrahmanya Pillai, Mr. Chavadi K. Tanikachala Chettiyar, Diwan Bahadar O. Tulasiram, Mr. L. K. Uppi Sahib Mr. K. Veerian, Mr. R. • Venkatachala Padayachi, Mr. K. Venkatapati Razu, Jr. P. C. Venkatarama Ayyar alias V. Pantulu Ayyar. Venkataramana Ayyangar, Mr. C. V. Venkataramana R.o Nayudu, Mr. P. Venkatarama Sastriyar, Mr. T. B. Venkatarangam Nayudu, Mr. C. Venkatareddi Nayudu, Rai Babadur Sir K. Virappa Chettiyar, Rao Bahadur P. K. A. Ct. Wood, Mr C. E. Zamindar of Mandasa.

Marthandam Pillai, Mr. P. N. Meera Sahib, Mr. Muhammad.

Moidu Sahib, Mr. T. M. Muniswami Nayudu, Rao Bahadur B.

# NEW MEMBERS.

The following new Members were sworn in :-

- 1. Mr. CECIL BERNARD COTTERELL, C.I.E, I.C.S.
- 2. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. SADASIVA AYYAR, Kt.
- S. M.R.Ry. P. VENKATARAMANA RAO NAYUDU Garu.

#### TT

#### QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Order made by the President of the Madras Legislative Council under Standing Order No. 15 on the 4th December 1924.

 Starred questions to be put at a meeting of the Council with their answers shall be printed and placed on the Council table an hour before the President takes his seat.

The Secretary shall call out the name of each interpollator in the order in which the names are printed, specify the serial number of his question and make a sufficient pause to give him or any other member a reasonable opportunity of rising in his place and putting a supplementary question. Supplementary questions must be put immediately after the principal questions to which they relate.

questions to which they relate.

2. If a member responsible for a starzed question happens to be absent when it is called, it will be open either to him or to any other member to put supplemental questions thereon after the other starred questions for the day have been answered, provided question-time is not thereby exceeded.

 Questions, not starred, will not be called in Council, but they will be printed with their answers and placed on the table of the House along with the list of starred questions Oral supplementary questions will not be allowed in regard to unstarred questions.

# STARRED QUESTIONS.

#### Assignment of Lands,

Disafforested land in Kudiramoli reserve in Tinnevelly.

\* 2275 Q.—Mr. A. CHIDAMBARA NADAR: Will the hou, the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) when the disafforested land in Kudiramoli reserve in Tinnevelly district will be disposed of;

(b) whether the Government have come to any final settlement as to the method of disposal—either by auction or under darkhast rules:

(c) whether any petition has been recently submitted to the Government

on the matter by the village panchayat of Kachinavelai, and

(d) whether the petition submitted by the Hakudar of Tiruparantheeswarar temple as to the land on which palmyra trees belonging to the temple are standing has been disposed of?

A.—(a) The Government are not in possession of the information.

(b) The method of disposing of the land is under the rules within the discretion of the Collector, and the Government do not propose to interfere in the matter.

(c) A petition was received by Government. It was transferred to

the Collector for disposal.

(d) No petition has been received from the Hakudar of Tiruparantheeswarar temple. 25th August 1926]

Mr. R. VEERIAN: -- "With reference to clause (a) may I know whether the Government will be pleased to call for the information as several inhabitants are interested in the affair?"

The hon. Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANKS !-- "The information that I can now " give is that it will be disposed of. But I hardly think that that is what the hon. Member wants."

#### Public Services.

Increments to unpassed clerks.

\* 2276 Q-Mr. Chavadi K. Subrahmanya Pillai: Will the hon, the

Member for Revenue be pleased to state-

(a) the number of applications for exemption from unpassed clerks received after the issue of G.O. No. 1478, Law (Education), dated 25th August 1925;

(b) the number of unpassed candidates previously exempted by the Government for promotion above Rs. 20 in the old scale who drew increments but were affected by G.O. No. 773, Law (Education), dated 3rd May 1926;

(e) the number exempted by the heads of departments under the powers delegated to them under G.O. No. 722, Law (Education), dated 13th

June 1922; and

(d) the circumstances under which the unpassed clerks were allowed to

draw increments till the end of 1925?

A .- (a) The question is not understood. The Government would not have received applications for exemption as heads of departments had then the power to exempt. The power was withdrawn only in G.O. No. 773, Law (Education), dated 3rd May 1926.

(b) If a candidate was exempted from the Public Service Notification by Government, he is not affected by G.O. No. 773, Law (Education), dated 3rd May 1926. If he was exempted only up to a specified limit in the old graded scale of pay, it is only paragraph 3 (i) of G.O. No. 773, Law (Education), dated 3rd May 1926, that will affect him. The number is not known.

(c) The number will not be known till the Government get the lists called for in paragraph 3 (iii) of G.O. No. 773, Law (Edu-

· cation), dated 3rd May 1926.

(d) The Government are not aware that unexempted clerks have been allowed to draw increments after the issue of G.O. No. 164, Law (Education), dated 4th February 1925.

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR :- "When the Government issued the order in August 1925, were they not aware that Collectors had reported that certain unexempted clerks had been permitted to receive increments?"

The hon. Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANKS :- " Not after the issue of G.O. No. 164, Law (Education), dated 4th February 1925."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: - "Was it not with regard to that Government Order that representations were received from the Collectors that there were some difficulties with regard to its interpretation, and was it not subsequent to that that G.O. No. 1478, dated 25th August 1925, was issued?"

[25th August 1926

The hon. Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANES:—"There were differences in interpretation regarding the drawing of increments before the Government Order of 4th February 1925 but not after that date."

Rai Bahadur T. M. NARASIMHACHARLU:—"Was there any Government order at any time specifically prohibiting the grant of increments to unpassed clerks?"

The hon. Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANKS:—"In the sense that we did not prohibit the grant of anything to any person not entitled to it?"

Rai Bahadur T. M. NARASIMHACHARLU:—"No, Sir. I wish to know whether there was any Government order instructing heads of departments not to give increments to unpassed clerks at any time?"

The hon. Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANKS:—"Sir, when you are not empowered to give any increment to unpassed clerks it is not necessary to say that you should not do so."

Rai Bahadur T. M. Narasimhacharlu:—"Is there any rule which prevents heads of departments granting increments to unpassed clerks who have been exempted from the examination rules?"

The hon. Mr. N. E. Marjoribanks:—"If they are exempted, the bar is removed. I do not follow the question."

Rai Bahadur T. M. NARASIMHACHARLU:—"Exempted from passing the examinations?"

The hon. Mr. N E. Marjoribanks:—"If the man is exempted, the bar is removed and he is entitled to get any promotion just as any passed clerk."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIVAR: —"What about clerks appointed at the time when no exemption was needed at all?"

The hon. Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANKS !—"I am not aware of any such period."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"There was a time when the rule that unpassed clerks should be exempted before being appointed was not in operation?"

The hon. Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANKS :- "That is information to me, Sir."

#### Village Establishments.

Instructions regarding restoration of village officers.

- \* 2277 Q.—Mr. B. RAMACHANDRA REDDI: Will the hon, the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—
- (a) whether instructions have been issued to all District Collectors with reference to the restoration of village officers and, if so, whether a copy of the instructions will be placed on the table; and
- (b) how many village officers have been re-registered and reappointed (till the end of May 1926)?

- A.—(a) & (b) The Madras Village Officers' Restoration Act became law on the 6th July 1926. The action taken to divide villages grouped and to restore abolished offices will be published in due course.
- Mr. J. A. Saldanha:—"Sir, the answer is 'the action taken will be published in due course'. May I know whether action will be taken by the end of this official year?"
  - The hon. Mr. N. E. Marjoribanks:—"We hope so, Sir. But as the hon. Member is aware, the Act provides several periods of notice and appeal and so we cannot say it will be completed before the end of the year. We will do it as soon as possible."

Rai Bahadur T. M. Narasumacharlu :— "Did the Government issue ary order with reference to monigars and assistant karnams who were thrown out of employment by the regrouping? There seems to be some doubt in the minds of the Collectors as to whether they should be restored or not. I wish to know whether the matter was brought to the notice of the Government and whether they have issued orders with reference to monigars and assistant karnams?"

The hon. Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANKS: "I should like to have notice."

Village officers' power of making endorsements on darkhast petitions.

\* 2278 Q.—Mr. R. VEERIAN: Will the hon, the Member for Revenue be

pleased to state—

(a: whether the Government have considered the question of withdrawing the power of making endorsements from the village officials, viz., monigars and karnams, on darkhast petitions with reference to several resolutions passed and submitted to the Government in several districts by the depressed classes; and

(b) if not, why not?

A.-(a) Yes.

(b) The Government do not think that reports from the village officers in darkhast cases can be dispensed with.

Mr. R. VEERIAN: ""With reference to clause (b) may I know whether there is any harm in asking for particulars only from the village officials as far as darkhast petitions are concerned?"

The hon, the President :-- "Order, order. That is a question asking for no information."

Mr. R. Veerlan:—"Are the Government aware that village officers do not generally recommend the grant of darkhast lands unless they are paid something?"

The hon. the PRESIDENT :- "That question is out of order."

Mr. R. Veerlan:—"May I know if there is any harm in taking away the power of making endorsements on darkhast petitions by village officers since there are other officials above them?"

The hon Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANKS:—"The village officer is in the best position to give the necessary information on the matter."

[25th August 1926

# Administration Reports.

Administration reports of departments under the hon, the Home Member.

\*2279 Q.—Mr. J. A. Saldanha: Will the hon, the Home Member be pleased to specify—

(a) the various reports of the administration of the departments under

his control published by Government;

(5) in which months the several reports for the years 1921-22, 1922-23, 1924-25, or for 1922, 1923 and 1924 (according to the financial or calendar year) were published;

(c) what the causes there were for the delay, if any of them were

published more than six months after the year of report;

(d) whether attempts have been made to publish the several reports for the previous year at least a month before the budget estimates are placed before the Council; and

(e) what steps are being taken to ensure earlier publication of the

reports?

A.-(a) & (b) A statement \* is appended.

(c), (d) & (e) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the answer to question No. 2316.

Mr. J. A. Saldanha:—"With reference to the answer drawing my attention to question No. 2316, may I enquire whether it is not possible for Government to publish reports of major departments so that they may be placed before the public before the budget discussion?"

The hon. the PRESIDENT:—" Order, order. That is a suggestion for action, and not a question for information."

#### Forests.

Enhanced rate of permits for grass, etc., in Udamaloet taluk.

- \*2280 Q.—Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR: Will the hon, the Home Member be pleased to state whether it is a fact that the forest contractors in Udamalpet taluk issue now premits for headloads of grass and bamboo at one anna and four annas respectively while the previous rates were three pies and one anna and, if so, why and under whose authority the enhanced rates are being collected?
  - A.—The permit fee for headloads of grass was raised from 3 pies to 6 pies in 1920-21 and to one anna in 1923-24. The permit fee for headloads of bamboos was raised from 1 anna to 2 annas in 1915-16 and to 4 annas in 1922-23. These increases were due to increased demand and were made by the contractors under the authority of the agreements executed by them.

Forest exploitation by firms or companies.

\*2281 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) how many companies or firms there are in the Presidency, which have applied for permission to undertake forest exploitation;

(b) which of them have been allowed to exploit forests at their own cost, and on what conditions:

(c) whether they have been granted any facilities by way of roads and

otherwise for carrying out forest exploitation; and

- (d) whether the Government have carried out or propose to carry out any scheme of roads and bridges in order to encourage forest exploitation by private agency?
  - (a), (b) & (c) No company or firm has applied for permission.
     (d) Government are prepared to consider any applications which may be received. Meanwhile they are working certain forests experimentally.

#### Government Press.

Alleged complaints against the Superintendent, Government Press.

- \*2282 Q—Mr. R. MADANAGOPAL NAYUDU: Will the hon the Home Member be pleased to state whether the cases of Ayyaswami Fillai, accountant, who has been severely punished by the Superintendent, Government Press, and of Krishnaswami Awyangar, store-keeper, Sadagoparamanujam, time-keeper, and Rangaswami, a mechanic, dealt with by the Superintendent, Government Press, have been examined by Government and with what results?
  - A.—S. R. Sadagoparamanujam, late time-keeper, and A. Rangaswami, late mechanic, in the Government Press submitted memorials to Government against the orders of the Superintendent, Government Press; the Government examined these cases and decided that the orders of the Superintendent, Government Press, were justified. The other persons mentioned in the question made no representation to the Government.

#### Grant of leave to employees in the Government Press.

- \*2283 Q.—Mr. R. Madanagopal Nayudo: Will the hon, the Home Member be pleased to state whether it is a fact that if the employees fall sick and apply for leave for a few days only on the strength of medical certificate, the Superintendent, Government Press, treats the employees as being absent, and, if so, why?
  - A.—The production of a medical certificate does not in itself confer upon a Government servant any right to leave, the grant of which is in the discretion of the Superintendent, Government Press. If an employee absents himself after leave has been refused, the period of absence will be treated as absence from duty.

Rao Bahadur C. NATESA MUDALIYAR: — "May I know, Sir, if the production of a medical certificate does not confer upon a Government servant any right to leave, what else can?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:—"It is clearly stated that the production of a medical certificate does not in itself confer . . . "

Rao Bahadur C. NATESA MUDALIYAR: - "Then what else does, Sir?"

Mr. R. MADANAGOPAL NAYUDU:—"Supposing, Sir, a man is too ill to get out of his house and sends a medical certificate, ought not that to be sufficient in itself?"

The hon, Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:—"That is a hypothetical question."

Rao Bahadur C. NATESA MUDALIYAR:—" Do the rules allow that in spice of the production of a medical certificate, a man may be treated as absent from duty?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:—"Then there are other factors also to be taken into consideration. In this case, the head of the department came to the conclusion that the medical certificate was not sufficient."

Mr. R. MADANAGOPAL NAYUDU: -- "May I know what the other factors are which guide the heads of the departments?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:-"I want notice."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"Do the Government make a distinction between a certificate granted by a registered medical practitioner and a certificate granted by a practitioner not so registered?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur :—
"I suppose so."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"Is the certificate granted by a registered medical practitioner always accepted?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:—" Not in all cases."

#### Alleged dismissal of sweepers in the Government Press.

- \*2284 Q.—Mr. R. Madanagoral Nayudo: Will the hon, the Home Member be pleased to state—
- (a) whether it is a fact that sweepers and messengers in the Government Press, numbering about a dozen, were dismissed summarily by the Superintendent; and
- (b) if so, what are the charges against them and what are their explanations, and what is the reason on which their appeal petitions have been rejected?
  - A.-(a) Yes.
    - (b) The services of the individuals were dispensed with for misconduct.

      They preferred no appeal to Government.
- Mr. R. Madanagopal Navudu:—"Are the Government, aware that the appeals preferred by these people through the head of their department were not forwarded to the Government?"
  - The hon. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:--"The Government are not aware of it."

25th August 1926]

#### Jails.

Treatment of prisoners in Alipuram Jail, Bellary. .

\* 2285 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: Will the hon, the Home Member be pleased to state—

• (a) the number of prisoners in the Alipuram Jail at Bellary who are

still confined in the nights by chain;

(b) whether every one of the prisoners so confined is given a pot and an earthen plate for use when he has to attend to calls of nature in the night; and

(c) whether any scavengers are employed to remove the vessels soon after their use by the prisoners during the night?

A.—(a) Four thousand and fourteen prisoners now confined in the jail.

(b) Yes. (c) No.

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALINAR:—"Do the Government think that it is wholesome for the prisoners to lie by the side of night-soil and other discharge in the nights?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:—"Sir, the Government realize the awkwardness of the situation and have already planned the improvement of the Alipuram Jail so that this practice may be done away with as soon as possible."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—" Until that time, do the Government intend to employ seavengers to remove night-soil as early as possible instead of allowing the prisoners to lie by the side of it?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur MUHAMMAD USMAN SAHIB Bahadur:—"It is not possible to do so in the night time".

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"Is it impossible for the scavengers to do the work in the night?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:—"They cannot enter the jail in the night time."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—" Even employees in jails?"
The hon, Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:—"Yes."

# Labour.

#### Labour schools in Trichinopoly district.

2286 Q.—Mr. R. Veerian: Will the hon, the Home Member and the 11 15 hon, the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) how many labour schools there are in the Trichinopoly district;

(b) how many untrained teachers are employed in each labour school, giving the name of the school or the place of the village and taluk together with the general educational qualifications of those untrained teachers;

(c) when they were appointed in each case;
(d) how many applications were received for the past one year for appointments in labour schools from the trained Adi-Dravida teachers by the District Labour Officer, Trichinopoly;

(e) how many of them were appointed;

| 25th August 1926

(f) who is the appointing authority;

(y) whether the petition submitted through me by the trained teacher S. Arokiam on the 24th May 1926 to the Secretary to Government, Law (Education) Department, Ootacamund, has been received by him; and

(h) if so, what steps have been taken in the matter and with what

results?

 $A_{c}$ —(a) Seventy-five.

. (b) & (c) A statement a giving the information is laid on the table.

(d) Seventeen.

(é) Seven. The rest have been asked to produce the trained teachers' certificates.

(f) The District Labour Officer.

(g) Yes.

(h) The petitioner is not a trained teacher. He was appointed a teacher on probation for six months from 1st June 1926.

Mr. R. VEERIAN:—"With reference to the answer given to clause (d), may I know whether the Government appoint only Adi-Dravida teachers in all the labour schools or whether others also are being appointed?"

The hon. Khan Bahadur Mu наимар Usman Sahib Bahadur :—" Others also."

Mr. R. Veerlan:—"May I know why out of seventeen applications received from the Adi-Dravida trained teachers only seven were appointed?"

The hon, Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:—" Because only seven were found fit."

#### Archæology.

Monuments in South Kanara.

\*2287 Q.—Mr. K. RAGHUCHANDRA BALLAL: Will the hon, the Member

for Finance be pleased to state-

(a) what are the ancient monuments in South Kanara in respect of which the Government have made any repair or taken any other measures for their preservation;

(b) whether such monuments have been used for any public purposes

such as schools, etc.;

(c) whether it is a fact that the portion of the Mudabidri Palace which is brought under the Act is being used as a board secondary school; and

(d) if the answer to the above be in the positive, whether any rent is paid by the Board for the occupation of the said building and, if so, what it is

and who receives it?

A.—(a) A list of the ancient monuments in the South Kanara district was supplied in answer to clause (a) of question No. 2163.

Of these 11 monuments, items (2), (4), (6) and (7) in the list belong to private persons, and agreements have been entered into with the owners of the monuments for their preservation.

All the monuments are being maintained by the Archæological department at the cost of the Government of India.

a Printed as Appendix II on pages 95-96 infra.

#### 25th August 1926]

- (b) So far as the Government are aware, only the Chowtar's Palace at Mudabidri is used for any public purpose.
- (c) & (d) Yes. The District Board pays a monthly rent of Rs. 8 to the owner of the building.

#### Car Festivals.

#### Inspection of temple cars.

- \* 2288 Q.—Mr. S. R. Y. Ankinedu Prasad: Will the hon the Law Member and the hon, the Minister for Education be pleased to state—
- (a) whether any Superintending Engineer other than that of the Madras Circle has reported regarding the insufficiency of time caused by temple trustees in applying for the inspection of cars;
- (b) if not, the reasons why a general order was issued affecting the other parts of the Presidency;
- (c) whether the Government took the views of the temple trustees before the recent G.O. Mis. No. 1132 was issued;
- (d) whether the Government are aware that cars constructed with palmyra posts and coir rope are used in connexion with car festivals of some temples in the Northern Circars; and
  - (e) whether this order will be applied to such cases also?

A .- (a) No.

- (b) The previous orders on the subject related to the Presidency as a whole, and the further instructions were also made applicable to the whole Presidency after certain District Magistrates had been consulted.
- (c) No.
- (d) No.
- (e) Yes. It is not however necessary that every car should be inspected by the Public Works Department. If the order causes inconvenience, the District Magistrates will no doubt report to the Government accordingly.

# · Civil Justice.

# Appointment of Acting District Judges,

- \* 2289 Q.—Mr. K. Sarvarayudu: Will the hon, the Law Member be pleased to state—
- (a) how many appointments of Acting District Judges have been made since the beginning of this official year; and
- (b) whether it is a fact that Mr. Fazl-ud-din Sahib was compelled to take leave; and if so, on what grounds; and if it is on the ground of his shortness of hearing whether there are any other District Judges with the same defect serving the Government?

#### A.-(a) • Eight.

. (4) The answer to the first part of the question is in the negative.

25th August 1926.

#### Electricity.

#### Cost, etc., of the Hydro-electric scheme.

\* 2290 Q.—Mr. K. Sarvaeayubu: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) in what stage the Hydro-electric scheme is;

(b) whether the detailed estimate for a scheme has been prepared and whether the necessary approval of those possessing technical knowledge of the subject has been obtained;

(c) what is the estimated cost of the scheme;

(d) how much of electrical power is calculated to be developed in this cheme;

(e) what are the detailed proposals for the utilization of such power;

(f) (1) how much money has so far been expended on the investigation of the scheme;

(2) what are the details of such expenditure;

(3) what money has been expended on the actual carrying out of the

scheme so far;

(g) whether the sanction of the Finance Department and the approval of the Finance Committee have been obtained before any portion of the lump provision made in the budget has been appropriated specifically for the above scheme;

(h) whether it is a fact that the railway authorities have not given their

approval to the utilization of the power by them;

(i) whether the Secretary of State's sanction has been finally obtained for the execution of this scheme; and

(j) what are the qualifications of the technical officers appointed by the Government for carrying out this scheme?

A.—Reports on three schemes for the development of hydro-electric power, with sources at Pykara, Pinjikavi and Papanasam have been submitted to Government by the late Chief Engineer. These schemes have been discussed with railway and other parties interested, and the co-operation of the Railway Board has been secured. The schemes are estimated to cost altogether something in the neighbourhood of 5½ corres, and a sum of Rs. 10 lakhs has been provided this year for preliminary operations. This sum was voted by the Legislative Council in the usual course. The reports are now under the scratiny of a firm of consulting engineers.

#### Irrigation.

Conversion of dry land in Cumbum valley into wet.

- \* 2291 Q.—Mr. A. CHIDAMBARA NADAR: Will the hore the Law Member and the hon, the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—
- (a) the total extent of dry land in Cumbum valley which can be converted into wet by the Periyar water;

(b) whether Government propose to take any steps to convert them into

wet lands in the near future; and

(c) whether the Government are aware of the difficulties felt by the people for drinking water in Cumbum and Coodalore villages?

A.—(a) The Government have no information.

(b) The question whether the future extension of irrigation under the Periyar system should be in Madura and Melur taluks or in the Cumbum valley is under consideration.

(c) The need for an improved water-supply in these villages has been brought to notice more than once, but no scheme has yet been

devised which is financially practicable.

Mr. R. Veerlan :—" With reference to the answer given to clause (c), may I know whether the Government have had any scheme, at all till now?"

The hon Mr. A. Y. G. Campbell: —"Yes, but no scheme has yet been devised which is financially practicable. That is a matter for the local board concerned."

#### Progress in the schemes of pumping.

- \* 2292 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: With reference to the undertaking given at the Legislative Council meeting of \$1st March 1924, will the hon, the Law Member be pleased to state the progress made in the deliberations as to the relative values of the two alternative schemes of pumping referred to therein and the conclusions, if any, arrived at as the result of those deliberations?
  - A.—The question of irrigation by pumping and of well irrigation with
    electric power is still under consideration by the Chief Engineer
    for Irrigation and the Director of Agriculture, and no final
    decision has yet been reached. The attention of the hon, Member
    is invited to paragraph 11 of the note by the Chief Engineer for
    Irrigation which was laid on the Council table on the 3rd
    November 1925 along with the preliminary report of the Ceded
    Districts Irrigation Committee.
- Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDADIYAR:—" In the answer given to this question, it is stated that the question of irrigation by pumping, etc., is still under consideration. In the meanwhile, will Government give facilities to private individuals to undertake such work ?"

The hon, the President:—" That is a suggestion for action."

Mr. A. Ranganatha Mudaliyar:—"I want to know whether Government have afforded facilities."

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—"I must ask for notice of this question."

# Investigation of the Hagari scheme. . .

\*2293 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: With reference to the statement of the bon, the Law Member in his speech printed at page 281 of Volume 29 of the Proceedings of the Legislative Council, dated 19th March 1926, that the question of appointing a staff for the investigation of the Hagari scheme has been referred to the Revenue Department for remarks and that orders will be passed thereon immediately after the receipt of the report, will the hon, the Law Member he pleased to state whether the report has been received and the necessary establishment has been sanctioned?

A.—The remarks of the Board of Revenue on the question of detailed investigation of the scheme have just been received and the matter is under consideration.

#### Police.

Police precautions in Neltore during the Hindu procession on 15th March.

2294 Q.-Khadir Mohiddin Elyas Khan Sahib Bahadur: Will the

hon, the Law Member be pleased to state-

(a) how many constables, Agency Special Police and Police officers were brought down from other districts for preserving order at the Hindu procession on the 15th March at Nellore and what was the total strength of the said armed escort; and

(b) what was the total cost incurred by the Government in order to

provide the escort?

A.—(a) Seven European Inspectors and Sergeants, one Subadar, 57 men of the East Coast Special Police, 19 head constables and 193 constables were brought from other districts.
 The total strength of the armed escort was 7 European Inspectors and Sergeants, one Subadar and 345 Indian ranks.

(b) Rs. 4,462-8-9.

#### Religious and Charitable Endowments.

Temples of depressed classes brought under the operation of the Religious Endowments Act.

- \* 2295 Q.—Mr. R. Veerlan: Will the hon, the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state how many temples belonging to depressed classes, barbers and washermen have been brought under the operation of the Religious Endowments Act, together with the names of such temples and places in the Presidency?
  - A.—The Jagannath temple for Tiyyas at Tellisherry in Malabar and the Pilichamundy devastana for fishermen at Ullal in South Kanara are the only two temples of the kind which have been brought under the operation of the Act.

# Village Panchayats.

Honorary organizers of village panchayats.

\* 2296 Q.—Mr. R. VEERIAN: Will the hou, the Minister for Local Self-

Government be pleased to state-

(a) how many konorary organizers have been already appointed to the village panchayats from among the depressed classes, giving the names and their addresses, by the Registrar-General of Panchayats with the approval of the Local Government;

(b) how many honorary organizers have been appointed already to the village panchayats from among the non-depressed classes up to date giving

their names and places;

(c) what are the remunerations and other allowances given; and

(d) what is the nature of their work?

- A.—(a) § (b) A list showing the names of honorary organizers is placed in the Council Library. Information as to their caste is given wherever available.
  - (c) No allowance or any other kind of remuneration is at present given to honorary organizers.
  - (d) The hon. Member is referred to the circular memorandum of the Registrar-General of Panchayats containing hints to honorary organizers, a copy of which is placed in the Council Library.

Mr. R. Veerlan:—' With reference to the answer given to clause (c) may I know why, when the Government allow travelling allowance to honorary assistant registrars of co-operative societies, they do not allow the same privilege to honorary organizers of village panchayats?"

The hon, the RAJA OF PANAGAL :- "The question is under consideration."

#### Education.

Age-limit for admission into Secondary schools.

\* 2297 Q.—Mr. K. ABDUL HYE: Will the hon, the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Director of Public Instruction has issued proceedings recently that boys over 20 years of age should be refused admission into secondary schools; and

(b) the reasons for this age-limit?

- A.—(a) & (b) No proceedings were issued that boys over 20 years of age should be definitely refused admission into secondary schools. Heads of schools were, however, advised not to admit grown-up pupils. The intention of the circular has since been clearly explained to subordinate officers. The circular was merely advisory. The Director's intention was that if, for example, a man of 25 applied for admission to the fourth form the application should be rejected. A boy who has already entered the Secondary School-Leaving Certificate course would of course be permitted to finish his course. No definite age limit has been prescribed by the Director in regard to the admission or promotion of pupils in secondary schools and the matter is therefore one left to the discretion of heads of institutions.
  - · Promotion of Mr. Velan as Deputy Inspector.

\* 2298 Q.—Mr. R. Veerian: With reference to question No. 1732, dated 23rd March 1926, will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) why Mr. Velan's claim referred to in the Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction Dis. No. 2510/23, dated 2 rd July 1923, for promotion to Deputy Inspector's cadre was not considered though there was a vacancy in 1923 and another in 1925 to which two non-Mappilla candidates possessing the same qualifications as Mr. Velan were appointed; and

- (b) whether these two gentlemen who were not Mappillas were appointed in Mappilla ranges or elsewhere?
  - A.—(a) & (b) During the years 1923 and 1925 there were no suitable vacancies in non-Muhammadan ranges for which M.R.Ry. N. Velan could be appointed. The two non-Mappilla officers referred to were appointed in short vacancies as they were senior to M.R.Ry. N. Velan. The latter has since been appointed as a Deputy Inspector, junior grade.

#### Appointment of Sub-Deputy Inspectors of Schools. .

- \* 2299 Q.—Diwan Babadur P. C. Ethirajulu Navudu: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—
- (a) whether it is a fact that a new cadre of Sub-Deputy Inspectors has been created by him and if so, what is the strength of the cadre and how many are being recrnited during the current year;
- (b) whether he has fixed any qualifications of the candidates to be recruited or promoted;
- (c) whether the department has been following the policy and the instructions contained in G.O. No. 658 of 1922 for adequate representation of unrepresented communities in the educational service both in the subordinate and in the superior services;
- (d) whether the said Government Order is being applied in the case of recruitment of Sub-Deputy Inspectors and whether the list of appointments will be placed on the table of the House;
- (e) whether the secondary trained headmasters of elementary training schools are taken into the Sub-Deputy Inspectors cadre;
- (f) whether the policy enunciated by the Government is that the officers of Government shall be appointed or posted to the language area to which they belong; and
- (g) whether such arrangements have been followed in superior and subordinate educational service  $\ell$ 
  - A.—(a) The Government have sanctioned the creation of a new agency of junior grade of Deputy Inspectors. The number of officers in the cadre is 71, all of whom will be recruited during the current year.
    - (b) No qualification has been prescribed by Government It is understood that the Director of Public Instruction has taken as the minimum qualification for appointment as Junior Deputy Inspectors the possession of a secondary grade trained teachers' certificate.
    - (e) The instructions contained in G.O. No. 658, Public, dated 15th August 1922, are borne in mind when making appointments.
    - (d) The Government Order is being applied in the case of recruitment of Junior Deputy Inspectors. The Government consider

#### 25th August 1926]

that no useful purpose will be served by placing on the table the list of appointments made. The statement below shows the communities to which the officers so far appointed belong:—

•	•	Recruited.	Promoted.	Tot	al.
Brahman		3 .	30	8	3
Non-Brahman Hindu		6	10	1	6.
Christian		1	4		5
Muhammadan		•	4		4
Depressed classes		•	2		2
					_
	STATE OF	10	50	6	0

(e) There are no secondary trained headmasters of elementary training schools. The secondary trained assistants, however, in such schools are being appointed as junior grade Deputy Inspectors when considered fit.

(f) Yes. (g)•Yes; as far as possible.

### Excise.

# Opening of distilleries in South Kanara.

\*2300 Q.-Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon, the Minister for

Education be pleased to state-

(a) whether Government have received representations from any persons in South Kanara requesting that distilleries for the production of liquor may be allowed to be opened in South Kanara; and

(b) what action Government have taken or propose to take on them?

A .-- (a) Yes.

(b) The Government do not propose to take any action on the petition as the petitioners have not approached the local or controlling authority in the first instance as required by the Memorial Rules.

#### Administration Reports.

Administration reports under the hon. the Minister for Development.

\*2301 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon, the Minister for Development be pleased to specify—

(a) the various reports of the administration of the departments under

his control published by Government;

(b) in which months the several reports for the years 1921-22, 1922-23, 1924-25, or for 1922, 1923 and 1924 (according to the financial or calendar year) were published;

(c) what the causes there were for the delay, if any of them were

published more than six months after the year of report;

(d) whether attempts have been made to publish the several reports for the previous year at least a month before the budget estimates are placed before the Council; and

[25th August 1926

(e) what steps are being taken to ensure earlier publication of the reports?

A.—(a) & (b) A statement a is appended.

(é), (d) & (e) The attention of the hon, Member is invited to the answer to question No. 2316.

#### Fisheries.

#### Purchase of fishing trawler.

\*2302 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: With reference to the answer given to my question No. 1674 on 19th March 1926, regarding the purchase of the fishing trawler, will the hon, the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(a) whether the fishing trawler in question has arrived in India;

(b) its dimensions, conditions, uses to which it can be put and when it can be set to work;

(c) its total cost up to date;

(d) the cost of setting it for its use in India; and
(e) what the working staff will be and what the approximate annual recurring cost beginning with this year?

A .- (a) Yes.

(b) The dimensions of the vessel are -

The vessel is intended for the conduct of deep sea fishing experiments. It can, however, be used for the following purposes when not actually engaged on that work:—

Research as regards shoals of fish, migrations of fish, etc.
 Towing pearl-fishing boats to pearl banks on occasions of

pearl fisheries.

(3) Supplying fish to all the fish-curing yards on both the coasts of the Presidency.

(4) Transport of salt to the fish curing yards on the West Coast from Tuticorin.

The vessel can probably be set to work in September next. The trawl and seine net gear have to be refitted and the vessel has to be provided with a laboratory room and some well-ventilated cabins before she could be commissioned for deep sea fishing and research.

(c) Rs. 1,04,453.

(d) Estimates are under preparation.

(e) The question of staff is still under consideration. The annua running expenses of the trawler are estimated at Rs. 43,700.

#### . Establishment of the West Coast fishery stations.

- \* 2303 Q.—Rao Sahib P. V. Gopalan: Will the hon, the Minister for Development be pleased to state— \*
- (a) the number of (1) clerks, (2) yard officers, (3) assistant yard officers and (4) peons appointed on the West Coast fishery stations and fish-curing yards from April 1924 to April 1926 according to (1) caste and (2) educational qualifications; and
- (b) how many fishermen applicants were there for the above posts (for the period) and what were their educational qualifications?

4.-(a) & (b) A statement a is laid on the table.

Rao Sahib P. V. GOPALAN:—"With reference to the statement appended in answer to this guestion, may I know from the hon, the Minister for Development why out of 18 fishermen applicants for the posts not a single one of them was given a clerk's post?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. Sivagnanam Pillai:—" Mr. Chacco was appointed a clerk to the Assistant Director. A man who knows shorthand was wanted. So a Brahman was appointed who knows shorthand and typewriting. In the case of the post of a cash-keeper, the fishermen applicants were not able to furnish the necessary security. So an Anglo-Indian who was able to furnish the necessary security was appointed."

Rao Sahib P. V. GOPALAN:—"May I just ask the hon, the Minister for Development whether it is a fact that these fishermen applicants were not able to furnish the necessary security?".

The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI: - "I presume they were not."

Rao Sahib P. V. GOPALAN:—"With reference to the answer given to this question, you will find on page 97 that three fishermen candidates applied for the posts of clerks, and not a single one of them was given the post of a clerk; fully efficient fishermen with the necessary qualifications applied for the post, and they were rejected although the department is intended for the amelioration of the fishermen class."

The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. Stvagnanam Pillai:—"Special reasons are enumerated for the appointment of clerk's post in the Fisheries department, and exigences of public service required that the appointment should be given to a candidate belonging to another caste. In the case of appointment of Mr. Chacco, he was appointed because he was considered suitable for the post."

Rao Sahib P. V. Gopalan:—" Did the subordinate officer who made the appointment think that a Secondary School-Leaving Certificate candidate would be preferable to a graduate? Was there anything extraordinary with the latter?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI:—"The appointment was made by the Director of Fisheries."

[25th August 1926

Råo 'Sahib P. V. GOPALAN: "Is not the Director of Fisheries a subordinate officer to the hon, the Minister for Development? Has he not got control over the Fisheries department?"

The hon. the President:—"Order, order. Why this cross-examination?"

Rao Sahib P. V. Gopal'an:—"I have not finished my question. In the case of petty yard officers and peons, 30 applications came from fisher folk and Government appointed only 6 of them. I want to know why Government did not appoint more number of men from fisher folk?"

The hon, Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAT:—"I have given my reasons already."

Rao Sahib P. V. Gopalan:—"I am just asking about petty officers and peons. The reasons given by the hor. Minister apply to only yard officers and clerks. I am asking why out of 30 applications received from fishermen only 6 were appointed as petty yard officers and peons although the department is mainly intended for fishermen."

The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. ŞIVAGNANAM PILLAI:—"In the exigencies of public service it was found necessary to appoint people from outside the caste of fishermen also,"

Rao Sahib P. V. Gopalan:—"With reference to the appointments of yard officers where was the special necessity for the Government to appoint an S.S.L.C. in preference to a graduate?"

The hon, the PRESIDENT: -" Exigencies of public service says the Minister."

Rao Sahib P. V. GOPALAN :- "Thank you, Sir."

Selection of pupils for training in the Fisheries Institute at Calicut.

- \* 2304 Q.—Rao Sahib P. V. Gopalan: Will the hon, the Minister for Development be pleased to state—
- (a) the number of stipendiary pupils selected for training in the Fisheries Institute at Calicut in 1925;
- (b) whether any of the above students has been dismissed from the Institute, and, if so, for what reasons;
  - (c) whether any of the dismissed students has been re-admitted; and
- (d) whether there are any more students not re-admitted and, if so, for what reasons?
  - A.—(a) Seventeen.
    - (b) Four were discharged as they were found not to have made sufficient progress during their probationary period of six months.
    - (c) Two have been re-admitted and their probationary period has been extended for a further period of six months.
    - (d) One of the two who have not been re-admitted is weak in all subjects and the other is very poor in the vernacular.

Rao Sahib P. V. GOPALAN:—"With reference to the answer given to clause (d) of this question, may I ask the hon, the Minister for Development whether he is aware that one of the two candidates whom they have rejected has not appeared for the examination on account of his having suffered from typhoid fever?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI:—"I am not aware of that, Sir."

Rao Sahib P. V. Gopalan:—" May I ask the hon, the Minister for Development whether in certain cases stipends were not given to stipendiary students and that they were not therefore able to purchase the necessary books and to study for the examination and that as a result of this they failed in the examination?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI: —"It is a news to me. I should like to have notice of the question."

# UNSTARRED QUESTIONS. Administration Reports.

Administration reports of departments under the hon, the Member for Revenue.

2305 Q.—Mr. J. A. Saldanha: Will the hon, the Member for Revenue be pleased to specify—

(a) the various reports of the administration of the departments under

· his control published by Government;

(b) in which months the several reports for the years 1921-22, 1922-23, 1924-25, or for 1922, 1923 and 1924 (according to the financial or calendar year) were published;

(c) what the causes there were for the delay, if any of them were

published more than six months after the year of report;

(d) whether attempts have been made to publish the several reports for the previous year at least a month before the budget estimates are placed before the Council; and

(e) what steps are being taken to ensure earlier publication of the

reports?

- A.—(a) & (b) A statement a is appended.
  - (c), (d) & (e) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the answer to question No. 2316.

#### Irrigation (Minor Works).

Water-supply in Anantapur district. . .

- 2306 Q.—Diwan Bahadur P. Kesava Pillai: Will the hon, the Member for Revenue and the hon, the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—
- (a) whether it has been brought to the notice of Government, the Collector and other authorities that the people in Anantapur district have

solely to depend on the wells in their tank-beds for water-supply during the summer and that the orders of the revenue authorities issued for filling up the wells and levying penalty for not filling up the wells as ordered might be cancelled; and

- (b) if so, what action the Government have taken in the matter to find out the actual state of things and modify the Standing Orders where the wells in tank-beds are the main or the only sources of water-supply to the people?
  - A.—(a) A representation to that effect has been received by Government.
    - (b) The matter is receiving the attention of Government.

#### Land Revenue.

Reduction in the scale of ground-rent.

2307 Q.—Mr. N. DEVENDRUDU: Will the hon, the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether local authorities have suggested to him that the scale of

ground-rent is very high when compared with market value; and

- (b) whether he intends to move for a reduction of the scale of groundrent of porambokes in municipal areas to the scale published in Board's Standing Order No. 24?
  - A.—The questions are not understood. By market value of land is usually meant the price land will realize if sold subject to a given rate of ground rent. The higher the rate of ground rent therefore the less the market value is likely to be and vice versa. No complaints on the subject have been received from local authorities.

#### Forests.

Grant of manure leaves to ryots in North Arcot district.

2308 Q.—Mr. T. Adinarayana Chettiyan; Will the hon, the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether the question of allowing green manure leaves being granted to ryots at the rate of 6 annas per cart load from reserves in the district of North Arcot, has been disposed of;

(b) if so, how;

(c) if not, what are the reasons for the delay;

(d) the names of the members of the committee, if any, appointed to report on the matter and whether the committee examined any ryots; and

(e) if the committee has sent in its report, whether it will be laid on the table of this House?

A.—(a) & (b) The matter has not yet been disposed of.

(e), (d) & (e) The Government are awaiting a report from the Chief Conservator of Forests on the enquiry held by the District Forest Officer, South Vellore, on the subject. The names of persons to be consulted are not known as the District Forest Officer has not yet completed his enquiry. 25th August, 1926]

#### Supply of hay for the Military Department.

2309 Q .- Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Home

Member be pleased to state-

• (a) the quantity of hay required by the Military Department from the forests of the Bellary district for the year 1925-26, together with the names of the forests concerned;

(b) the total quantity actually obtained from the forests referred to

above; and

- (c) if the actual quantity is in excess of that needed by the department, how the difference was disposed of and by whom?
  - A .- The names of reserves in which the Military Grass Farms Department exploited grass, were given in the statement appended to the answer to clauses (b) and (c) of Legislative Council Question No. 1488 put by the hon, Member and answered on 2nd March 1926. The Government have no information on other points.

#### Administration Report.

Administration reports of departments under the hon, the Law Member.

2310 Q.-Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to specify-

(a) the various reports of the administration of the departments under

his control published by Government;

(b) in which months the several reports for the years 1921-2?, 1922-23. 1924-25, or for 1923, 1923 and 1-24 (according to the financial or calendar year) were published;

(c) what the causes there were for the delay, if any of them were published more than six months after the year of report;

(d) whether attempts have been made to publish the several reports for the previous year at least a month before the budget estimates are placed before the Council; and

(e) what steps are being taken to ensure earlier publication of the

reports?

A,-(a) & (b) A statement a is appended.

(c), (d) & (e) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the answer to question No. 2316.

# Irrigation.

Waterfall at Bajpae.

2311 Q.-Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon, the Law Member be pleased to state-

(a) whether the use of the waterfall at Bajpae near Mangalore is regulated by any rules made by local officers or any local authority ;

(b) what precautions are taken for ensuring that the springs and the course of the water are kept clean;

(c) whether any special baths have been constructed for men and women serarately;

[25th August 1926

(d) whether the water from the waterfall is used for irrigation purpose and whether there are any rules regulating the use of the water for that purpose; and

(e) whether there are sufficient number of bungalows and rest-houses for accommodating those who visit the waterfall and what the annual income

from them is?

#### Local Boards.

Utilization of grants for water-supply.

2312 G.—Rao Bahadur A. S. KRISHNA RAO PANTULU: Will the hon, the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether any local boards have applied for permission to utilize next year the special grants made for village water-supply, in view of the impracticability of carrying out those works in an efficient manner in the current year; and

(b) if so, which local boards have done so and what orders have been

passed in each case? •

4.—(a) & (b) In view of the representations received from a number of local boards the Government have now reallotted to all local boards the unseent balances of the grants sanctioned to them during 1925—26 for the improvement of village communications and of the water-supply in rural areas.

#### Utilization of grants for village roads, etc.

2313 Q .- Rao Bahadur A. S. Krishna Rao Pantulu: Will the hon.

the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state-

(a) whether any local boards have applied for permission to utilize next year the special grants made for the village roads, in view of the impracticability of carrying out those works in an efficient manner in the current year; and

(b) if so, which local boards have done so, and what orders have been

passed in each case? .

A.—(a) & (b) The hon, Member is referred to the answer given to question No. 2312.

#### Classification of the Bantwal-Charmudi road as a trunk road.

2314 Q.—Mr. J. A. Saldanha: With reference to the debate in this Council on 26th March 1926 on the question of treating the Bantwal-Charmudi road as a trunk road will the hon, the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether a committee has been appointed to consider the question,

and if not, when it will be appointed; and

(b) whether a representation has been received from several coffee planters and merchants of South Kanara on the subject, and if so, what action Government have taken or are going to take thereon?

#### .5th August 19267

A .-- (a) Yes.

(b) Yes; the representation will be placed before the Committee.

#### Village Fairs.

Change of the day of the village fair, Monnupeedika village.

2315 Q.-Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon, the Minister for Local

Self-Government be pleased to state-

(a) whether Government have received a representation of January 1926 from Rev. F. Mathew, Vicar of the Parish Church of Vallappad, Ponnani taluk, Malabar district, in connexion with the prayer of the Christians of the village of Monnupeedika for the change of the day of the village fair from Sunday to some other day;

(b) whether Government have ordered an enquiry into the matter as

requested by that priest; and

(c) if so, with what results?

• A.-(a) Yes.

(b) The remarks of the President, Taluk Board, and the President,

District Board, were called for and considered.

(c) It was reported that the market day was changed from Monday to Sunday in April 1924 at the request of the public, that there were not many Christians in the neighbourhood and that even they had not made any representation in the matter since March 1924. In the circumstances the Government did not consider it necessary to interfere.

### Administration Reports.

Administration reports of departments under the hon, the Minister for Education.

2316 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon, the Minister for Education and Excise be pleased to specify—

(a) the various reports of the administration of the departments under

his control published by Governments;
(b) in which months the several reports for the years 1921-22, 1922-23, 1924-25, or for 1922, 1923 and 1924 (according to the financial or calendar year) were published;

(c) what the causes there were for the delay, if any of them were

published more than six months after the year of report;

(d) whether attempts have been made to publish the several reports for the previous year at least a month before the budget estimates are placed before the Council; and

(e) what steps are being taken to ensure earlier publication of the

reports?

A.-(a) & (b) A statement " is appended.

(c), (d) & (e) The time taken for the preparation and publication of a report depends to a large extent on the size and contents thereof. In the case of major departments, such as Revenue,

[25th-August 1926

Education, Police, Administration of Civil and Criminal Justice, Jails, etc., the statistical and other information required for the preparation of the report has to be collected from a large number of subordinate officers. To enable Heads of Departments to do this, sufficient time in some cases extending to about six months after the close of the year to which the report relates has to be allowed. The statements have to be consolidated and the report has to be prepared and printed before submission to Government. All this necessarily takes time. Every endeavour is being made to bring out the reports as expeditiously as possible without sacrificing accuracy and completeness.

#### Public Works.

Strength of Assistant Engineers in the Madras Engineering Service.

2317 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: Will the hon, the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) the sanctioned strength of the Assistant Engineers, Madras Engineering Service, before the last retrenchment;

(b) the same after retrenchment;
(c) the number of vacancies in it, which have not yet been permanently

filled up; (a) the number of officiating Assistant Engineers at present in the service;

(e) the number of Assistant Engineers who have been officiating for

over three years;

(f) whether the Government have under consideration proposals to increase the present sanctioned strength of Assistant Engineers and if so, to what extent; and

(g) whether the increments hitherto given to the officiating Assistant Engineers have been stopped and if so, when and for what reasons?

A.-(a) 106.

(b) 75, which has since been increased to 76.

(c) 11. (d) 64.

(e) The Government have no information on the point.

(f) Yes; to increase the strength from 76 to 77 to provide for a Subdivisional officer for the new River Conservancy division.

(g) Government have not issued any orders to the effect.

#### 111

#### COMMUNICATIONS TO THE COUNCIL.

The Secretary then laid on the table-

- (a) Copies of the proceedings a of the first and second meetings of the Finance Committee for 1926-1927 held on the 11th and 16th July 1926, respectively;
  - (b) G.O. No. 866 b, dated 14th June 1926, recording the accounts of the Kerala Soap Institute, Calicut, for the quarter ending 31st December 1925.

a Printed as Appendix VIII on pages 99-125 infra.

b Printed as Appendix IX on pages 125-136 infra.

· 25th August 1926]

#### [Note.-An asterisk (\*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

thi

PETITION TO THE COUNCIL.

Mr. R. Veerian :- "As per Standing Order No. 71, I present this petition in connexion with the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act praying for admission of representatives of Adi-Dravidas not only to the Committee but also on the Board of Commissioners constituted under the Act, with a view to protect their interests. As the petition is a short one may I request you, Sir, to kindly ask the Secretary to read it?"

\* The hon, the PRESIDENT :- "The hon, Member will hand over the

petition."

The Secretary then read the abstract of the petition to the Council.

#### DEMANDS FOR SUPPLEMENTARY GRANTS FOR 1926-27.

#### Grant IX-General Administration.

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL: "Mr. President, I beg to move

'that the Government'be granted an additional sum of Rs. 3,100 under "Grant IX-General Administration-Legislative Bodies-Reserved" for providing funds for the House Committee of the Madras Legislative Council during 1926-27.

\* The hon. Khan Bahadur MUHAMMAD USMAN SAHIB Bahadur:-"I second it."

The motion was put to the House and carried and the grant was made.

\* The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:-"I beg to move

'that the Government be granted an additional sum of Rs. 1,53,400 under "Grant IX-22. R.B. (b) General Administration-Legislative Bodies—Elections for Indian and Provincial Legislatures "."

The hon. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:-"I second it."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIVAR :- "Surely, Sir, when the Government come in for additional grants, it is up to them to tell us a little more about the necessity for such additional grants, the items on which they propose to spend this money and why it was not foreseen at the time of the budget."

\* The hon, the President :- " Is there no explanatory memorandum?"

\* The hon. Mr. T. E. Moin :-- "Attention is invited to paragraph 2 of the explanatory memorandum circulated in connexion with this grant. It is purely a formal motion. The Accountant-General states that the minor head 'Elections-Indian and Provincial Legislatures' is intended to exhibit all charges on account of elections and that all the items referred to in the explanatory memorandum should be recorded under that head. Therefore it is necessary to obtain the vote of the House for this purpose. This is purely a formal matter and it is explained in paragraph 2 of the memorandum."

Diwan Bahadur P. C. ETHIRAJULU NAVUDU :-- "May I request the hon. the Finance Member to let us know what was the amount sanctioned last time for this purpose? I hope he will let us have some explanation."

[25th August 1926.

\* The hon. Mr. T. E. Moir: :- "I am afraid that the information is not contained in the papers which I have in my hand, but I can let him know if he will kindly remind me."

Diwan Bahadur P. C. ETHIRAJULU NAYUDU:—"In this connexion, I should like to bring to the notice of the Council that last time the arrangements that were made for the conduct of elections were inadequate. Many of the voters had to return home without giving their votes, for they had no time to wait until the polling officers were able to attend to them and record their votes. That was due to want of sufficient number of polling officers. It is absolutely impossible for anybody to record more than 200 or 300 votes. So, Government should issue instructions to Collectors to make proper arrangements and to appoint a sufficient number of polling officers. Otherwise the money spent will be a more waste. So, I request the hon. the Finance Member and the Member in charge of elections to give their consideration to this aspect of the question."

- \* Mr. J. A. Saldanha:—"I beg also to lay stress on the point raised by my hon. Friend. An assurance was given during the last meeting that the number of polling stations would be increased and care would be taken to see that no polling station was more than four or five mites from the residence of the voters. I find, Sir, that no orders have been issued to that effect and there is no prospect of any such orders being issued in the near future. In some places the polling station is about ten or fiften miles from the residence of the voters and the reason given in that case is that those places are on the frontier of a taluk and that the nearest polling station belongs to a different taluk. I hope, Sir, that Government would issue orders in this respect. I may in this case refer to a village of Sada in the Karkal taluk. The nearest polling station in the same taluk is at a distance of ten or fifteen miles from that village whereas the villagers can go to a polling station not more than three or four miles but situated in the adjoining Udipit taluk.
  - "Government have not also notified the date of the next elections; that is a matter of some importance and so it should be notified as early as possible."
  - \* Sriman BISWANATH DAS Mahasayo :-- "Mr. President, Sir, I beg to move

' that the allotment of Rs. 1,53,400 be reduced by Rs. 10.'

"In commending this resolution to the sense of the House, I should like to place certain facts before it. Our Presidency and the Bombay Presidency have got the system of plural voting. The Bombay Government have provided some means to get over the difficulty caused by the presence of illiterate voters. Very often they come under the influence of influential and wealthy agents. In order to avoid that, the Bombay Government have adopted a different system of ballot papers from ours. The candidates are represented in the ballot papers both in writing and also by means of tertain symbols or colours. While the literate voters are guided by reading the names of the candidates, the illiterate ones are guided by the colour of the paper and by the respective symbols. In the Bombay system, all are practically regarded as illiterate voters. The result is that there is a free exercise of the franchise without any undue influence from the agents. The

25th August 1926] [Sriman Biswanath Das Mahasayo]

secreey of the ballot is preserved. But in Madras, the illiterate voter has to go to the polling officer and declare the name of the candidate of his choice before the polling officer and in the presence of all the agents. Practically, . the benefits of the secret ballot are denied to him. The disadvantages accruing from such a system are numerous. The illiterate voter is brought under the influence of very able and influential agents. 'In the second place, even the literate voter is made to declare before the polling officers that he is illiterate so that he might declare the name of the candidate of his choice before the agents. Thus the agents secure all the benefits of open voting. Unfortunately, more than 60 per cent of the voters are illiterate and if we take into consideration the results of this forced system of open voting we can almost say that almost 90 per cent of the voters have to vote openly. Therefore, Sir, the benefits of a secret ballot are denied here and thus the constituencies are made pocket boroughs. In order to put an end to this system, certain members of the Legislative Council made a representation to the hon. the Law Member to change the system of voting. My recollection is that there were members from both sides of the House. The hon. the Law Member promised to appoint a committee and take necessary action. I would therefore request the Government to inform us whether any action has been taken in the matter.

"Secondly, Sir, I have to refer to a matter which is purely a local one concerning my district. The polling stations in Ganjam are situated at the headquarters of zamindars. Some zamindars serve as agents of the parties and thus their influence is brought to hear upon the voters who go to those polling stations. Practically, there is no free voting in such places. Therefore, I would request the hon, the Law Member to instruct the Collector to shift the polling stations from the headquarters of the zamindars. There are many convenient places near the headquarters of the zamindars which are easily accessible to the voters. For instance, near Khallikotte there is Keshapore, near Mandasa there is Hanipore. If the Government remove the polling stations from the headquarters of the zamindars, then alone will there be the free exercise of the franchise. With these remarks, I commend the motion for the acceptance of the House."

. Diwan Bahadur M. KRISHNAN NAYAR :- " Sir, so far as the district of Malabar is concerned, I associate myself entirely with the remarks made by ·my hon Friend Mr. Biswanath Das. I know, Sir, that there is difference of opinion on this subject and probably my hon. Friends coming from the other districts have reasons to be satisfied with the existing system. Probably, in these districts, the system works well. But'I confine my remarks exclusively to the district of Malabar. I happened to be a member of the committee to which reference was made by Mr. Das. The hon. Sir C. P. Ramaswami Ayyar proposed to hold a meeting to consider this question; but since there were many differences of opinion amongst the members of the committee, I believe the meeting was not held. As regards Malabar, the illiterate voters are allowed to go before the polling officers and openly declare the names of the candidates for whom they want to vote. What happens is this. In Malabar there are always more than two candidates though there are only two seats. Some of these candidates are supported by very influential persons, say the janmis who have a number of tenants under them. These janmis possess immense influence and power over the tenant voters. In what I am going to say I do not want to be understood as referring to any [Mr. M. Krishnan Nayar] [25th August 1926

particular individuals. I am only referring to the existing practice. For instance, a particular candidate has got the support of very influential janmis. Consequently, the tenant voters who may happen to be literate are made to declare by the janmis before the polling officers that they are illiterate so that they may declare aloud the names of the candidates for whom they vote and thus ensure that the tenants vote only for the candidates supported by their jaumi masters. Thus the agents of the candidates are in a position to ascertain to whom a particular voter voted. I confine myself for the time being only to Malabar. Personally, I know of cases where even schoolmasters were made to say that they were illiterate." (Laughter.)

- \*Mr. K. Prabhakaran Tampan:—" Was that due to the influence of the janmis?"
- \* Diwan Bahadur M. Krishnan Nayar: —" I am prepared to name the janmis."
  - \* The hon the President :-- "Order, order. It is not necessary."
- \* Diwan Bahadur M. Krishnan Nayar: Such people were made to say that they were illiterate. Of course the polling officers have no power to hold an enquiry. But certain polling officers, on representations being made to them that particular schoolmasters have declared themselves to be illiterate, made enquiries and the voters stood perplexed. I refer to these circumstances only for the purpose of showing that the object of free voting can be secured so far at any rate as the Malabar district is concerned only if the present system is dispensed with. Tassociate myself with all that fell from my hon. Friend Mr. Biswanath Das.
- "In this connexion, I wish also to mention one or two matters so that if it is not too late the hon. Mr. Campbell might take steps to rectify them. Some hon. Members referred to the inadequacy of the number of polling stations. As a matter of fact, in Malabar some voters have to walk 12 or f3 miles to go to the polling stations. It is a great hardship both to the voters and the candidates. To remedy this defect, the number of polling stations has to be increased. I believe this Council will be prepared to vote the necessary amount to rectify these defects.
- "Another thing that I wish to bring to the notice of the hon Member is that there are polling stations in Malabar very inconveniently situated. To take a particular instance just to illustrate my point, there are two polling stations known by the names of Mankarai and Parli in the taluk of Palghat. Persons, whose amsams or villages are attached to the latter polling station, Parli, sometimes have to walk over a distance of over 12 miles, whereas the other polling station, namely, Mankarai, is only two miles off. If greater care is taken in the arrangement of the villages which are attached to these different polling stations,—L know there is considerable difficulty in arranging these different villages satisfactorily, I believe however it is possible to arrange them more satisfactorily than at present—it will serve the interests of all concerned.
- "Then, Sir, I wish to know whether it is possible for the hon. Member to give information as to the approximate date, if not the exact date, of the elections. The Viceroy has said in his opening speech to the Legislative bodies in Simla the other day that the elections would be held in November. If it is possible for the hon. Member to enlighten us more accurately, as to the date when the elections will be held, we shall feel obliged."

11-45 a.m. 25th August 19267

Rai Bahadur Sir K. Venkatareddi Nayudu:— "Mr. President, Sir, I sympathise with the hon. Members who have spoken before and I rise to point out certain difficulties in the way. I hope they will not mistake me.

"There are two reasons why it will cause great inconvenience to some of us if anything like a general revision either of the polling stations or of the methods of polling were to be introduced at this stage. Sir, I think there is a saying in English that eternal vigilance is the price of liberty, and if eternal vigilance is the price of liberty, I think eternal vigilance is also required in matters like these. If these suggestions were made two or three months ago, the Government would have been in a position to look to them and remedy the grievances."

\* Sriman 'BISWANATH DAS Mahasayo :- "Just for information, Sir, I must say these suggestions were made four or five months back."

Rai Bahadur Sir K. Venkatareddi Nayudu:—"I have no quarrel with my hon. Friend. So for as the requisition is confined to a particular district or a particular polling station, certainly I have nothing to say. Take, for instance, my own case. I have 80 polling stations in my constituency, and I have issued letters which cost me from two to three hundred rupees, and I have to give the names of the polling stations and the villages attached to them so that, if anything like a general revision is made, the result will be that I have to repeat the process.

"Again, Sir, if a system like the one obtaining in Bombay is to be introduced, we have to appoint agents, who would be in a position to explain to the voters the method of voting. And if, for instance, a coloured system, or whatever it may be, is introduced, it will take some time for the voters to understand the system, not that I object to the system at all. I was myself a member of the committee to which reference has been made by the hon. Member for Malabar. It did meet once, and because we could not come to any definite conclusion or even a reasonable agreement, we had to put it off. Well, Sir, that is another matter.

"Then there is a second point which I should like to impress upon the hon. Members of this House. I would prefer them not to lay much stress upon these matters. The question, for instance, that literate voters are brought forward and made to say that they are illiterate, on account of certain influence worked upon them, would have a very mischievous result indeed. I do not deny there may be cases in this district or that district, individual and rare cases, but remember, if you are to press that point that your voters are so ignorant or so much subject to extraneous influences. a plea may be made three years hence, when the Royal Commission comes in, that your voters are not fit to be voters. Just fancy for yourself what the consequence of that would be. We have been often told from responsible quarters in Parliament that our further progress and reforms would depend upon the education of the electorate, and here we are telling in this House that our voters do not know how to vote. I refuse to believe it. I know there will be some cases, but they are so rare that undue importance need not be attached to them. By all means use your local influence, extend the education, and see that these practices are not allowed, but, for goodness sake, do not give too much importance to them lest they should take advantage of such instances as these."

[25th August 1926

- \*Mr. K. Prabhakaran Tampan:--" Sir, I had not the remotest idea of intervening in this debate, but certain statements made by my hon. Friend, Mr. Krishnan Nayar, regarding the jammis that they used their influence and made a schoolmaster say that he was illiterate and so on have to be commented upon. I have heard that story myself. It seems he is under the impression that any stick is good enough to beat the janmi with."
- · . Diwan Bahadur M. KRISHNAN NAYAR :-- " No, no, certainly not."
- \* Mr. K. Prabhakaban Tampan:—" Sir, it is not a janmi alone that has done it. A vakil has done so. A medical practitioner has done worse things. I am prepared to give their names, if necessary. There are abuses among all classes of people. But this is a different matter.
- "So far as the suggestion itself is concerned, I am perfectly unconcerned. If the Government want to adopt such a system, they are welcome to do so. Neither my constituency nor myself have the least objection."
- \*The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. Campbell:—"Mr. President, Sir, hon. Members of this House will, I am sure, realize that the Government are as anxious as any of them are, that all the electors should have full epportunity of recording their votes and of recording them freely and subject to no undue influence. Some of the hon, Members who have spoken have referred to the arrangements for polling stations in certain districts as inadequate. That question was, I believe, discussed in this House some time ago and the Government promised to issue orders directing that more polling stations should be provided. Instructions have been already issued enclosing copies of the remarks of the members of this House. Should any member be dissatisfied with the arrangements made, he should draw the attention of the Collectors concerned to the inconvenience caused. The speeches that have been made here will be communicated to the Collectors. But, as has been pointed out by the hon, Member for East Godavari, it will probably cause a considerable amount of inconvenience if much change is made in the number of polling stations.
- "The hon Member for Malabar also asked for some information as to the date on which elections are likely to be held. We can make no definite announcement on that point until we have heard from the Government of India on which date they wish the elections to the Legislative Assembly to be held. But, so far as we can forecast at present, it is likely that the elections will take place somewhere in the neighbourhood of the 8th of November.
- "The hon. Member for Ganjam referred to two points. I will take his second point first, viz., that some of the polling stations in Ganjam should be shifted from the headquarters of the zamindars' estates. That, again, is a matter for the Collecter. I feel sure that hon. Members realize that the Government cannot interfere with the discretion of the Collectors in such details. We can only issue general instructions. The remarks I made in dealing with the number of polling stations apply equally here also.
- "The last and most important matter with which I have to deal is the question of the voting by illiterate voters. Now, we are, of course, most anxious as I have already remarked, that the voters should not be subject to

25th August 1926] [Mr. A. Y. G. Campbell]

any undue influence in recording their votes. The present rule, so far as the Madras Presidency is concerned is as follows:

- If the elector is unable to read the ballot paper and applies for assistance in doing so, the Presiding Officer shall read it for him. If required by the elector to mark the ballot paper the Presiding Officer shall mark it according to the directions of the elector and give it back to him to be put into the ballot box.
- 'In the case of every elector whose ballot paper is marked in this manner by the Presiding Officer, a note shall be made on the corresponding counterfoil, form III-A, by the Presiding Officer of the reason why it was so marked.'
- "For the conduct of the elections in 1923, the following instructions were issued by the Government:
- 'Returning Officers are requested to point out to all Presiding Officers that marking of incapacitated votes under Regulation 24 should be done by the Presiding Officer at his own table and in the presence of the candidates or their polling agents; and these have the right to hear the voters' request and to watch the Presiding Officer when he marks the ballot paper.'
- "The reason for the presence of the candidates or their agents is, of course, to prevent charges against the Presiding Officer that he has abused his power to mark the paper on behalf of the illiterate voters.
- " Now, Sir, this question was discussed in this House last March. Then, there was considerable difference of opinion as to the desirability of adopting the Bombay system or any other system suggested. The Bombay system of giving each candidate a symbol was objected to on the ground that some symbols would be regarded as lucky. Objection was taken to the use of colours on the ground that some electors may be colour-blind. Well, Sir, I think that at this stage it is too late to make any change for the coming elections. The matter has been considerd by a Committee, and as no agreement was come to among the members of the Committee, the Government decided that the present system should be continued during the ensuing elections. It is possible to issue more detailed instructions that the elector shall if possible record his vote himself. Although he may be colour-blind or illiterate, most electors, I think, are able to count. The names of the candidates are placed one below the other and it is possible for the Presiding Officer to explain to the elector, 'the first candidate is so-and-so, the second candidate is so-and-so, and the third candidate so-and-so. If you want to vote for • the first candidate, you must put the cross against his name,' and so on. I propose to consider the desirability of issuing instructions on these lines:

If an elector is illiterate and asks the Presiding Officer to read out the ballot paper, the Presiding Officer shall, in the presence only of the candidates, or their agents after telling the elector to give no indication for whom he is going to vote, read the ballot paper to the elector and explain to him the order in which the candidates are arranged and the method of voting. The Presiding Officer shall then direct the elector to proceed to one of the compartments of the polling station and act as prescribed in rule 23. If the elector expresses his inability to mark the ballot paper himself and if the Presiding Officer is satisfied that the elector is unable to do so, the Presiding Officer shall, in the presence only of the candidates or their agents, note in the counter-foil the reason for his inability, ask the elector for whom he wishes to vote, mark the voting paper accordingly and give it to him to be deposited in the ballet box '.''

Rai Bahadur T. M. NABASIMHACHARLU:—"Mr. President, Sir, with reference to the proposed instructions just now read by the hon. Member, I think there will be some difficulty. These instructions are intended for the vote being given properly by illiterate persons. The Presiding Officer tells the voter that No. 1 is so-and-so, No. 2 is so-and-so and that he can mark the votes. Being an illiterate man, as the paper is given to him he may

noon.

# [Mr. T. M. Narasimhacharlu] [25th August 1926

turn it upside down and if he wants to vote for the second man from the top, he would certainly vote for the second man from the bottom. There will be this danger which will have to be considered by the Government."

The motion was by leave withdrawn.

The demand was put to the House and carried and the grant was made:

Grant XV.

\* The Hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL :- "I beg to move, Sir,

'that the Government-be granted an additional appropriation of Rs. 14,816 under "Grant XV—Police—Reserved" on account of the employment of a temporary additional staff of one Deputy Superintendent of Police, one sub-inspector, eight head constables and twenty-six constables for work connected with the reclamation of Kallars in the Tanjore district, vis., 26-C.—Police—District Executive Force—I. District Police—Police Force—General—Temporary.

Item of expenditure.		1	Ultimate cost per annum.	Oost during 1926-27, sight months' charges.
G Y SHE SHOW ITS	•		Rs.	Rs.
1 Deputy, Superintendent (Rs. 300)			3,600	2,400
1 Sub-Inspector (Rs. 60)	5.50		720	480
8 Head constables (Rs. 27)	5.14		2.592	1,728
26 Constables (Rs. 17) Allowances.	on Fi		5,304	3,536
Uniform allowance-34 (Re. 1)	and the same		408	272
Travelling allowance	5%(3)		9,000	6,000 *
Honoraria (Rewards)	633	5	750	500
Contract contingencies	是学人。	Dk.	750	750
Supplies and services—General—Miss	scellane	0118.	1,200	800
	Total		24,324	16,216
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Assessment of the last	1000	1 2 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	

Leduct cost of one Inspector (Rs. 175) for eight months who will not be employed and for whom provision has been included in the Civil Budget Estimate—Rs. 1,400.

Net extra cost during 1926-27 is Rs. 14,816.' "

The hon. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur:--"I second the motion."

The demand was put to the House and earried and the grant was made'

#### VI

#### ANNOUNCEMENT REGARDING DAYS ALLOTTED FOR NON-OFFICIAL BILLS.

.\* The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"I have to inform the House that under Rule 6 of the Legislative Council Rules His Excellency the Governor has been pleased to allet three days for the transaction of the business of non-official Bills during this meeting."

#### VTT

# A BILL TO RE-ENACT THE MADRAS HINDU RELIGIOUS ENDOWMENTS ACT, 1923.

The hon, the Raja of Panagal:—"Mr. President, Sir, I beg to move that the Bill to re-enact the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act, 1923, be read in Council." 25th August 1926] [The Raja of Panagal]

"The sanction of the Governor-General in Council has been obtained for the introduction of the Bill and the Bill has been duly published in the Fort St. George Gazette. It has been considered that the introduction of the Bill is urgently due.

"With your permission, Sir, I shall briefly refer to the circumstances which necessitate the immediate introduction of the Bill. Many of the hon. Members might be aware that ever since the enactment of the Hindu Religious Endowments Act of 1863 there have been repeated instances of corruption and mismanagement in the administration of several well-known religious institutions in this Presidency and that men of light and leading thought that the recurrence of these instances was due to the defects in the existing law. Eminent men like the late Mr. Vembakam Rama Ayyangar, Sir T. Muthuswami Ayyar, Sir V. Bhashyam Ayyangar, Sir Subrahmanya Ayyar, Diwan Bahadur Rajaratna Mudaliyar, Diwan Bahadur Raghunatha Rao, etc., thought that it was their duty to bring about improvement in the law relating to the administration of the Hindu religious endowments in this Presidency. From time to time they made attempts to improve the law but unfortunately, owing to circumstances beyond their control, their attempts proved infructuous. After the introduction of Minto-Morley Reforms too, vigorous attempts were made by various members in the Pro-vincial Council and in the Imperial Council, Those attempts too shared the fate of their predecessors.

"It is within the knowledge of the hon. Members of this House that, after the introduction of the Montagu-Chelmsford Reforms, this Council interested itself in introducing a Bill-to improve the law, that a committee was appointed and that that committee was presided over by the hon, the Raja of Ramnad. As a result of the deliberations of the committee, a Bill was drafted and the Bill was later on introduced in the Council. The Bill then went through the required stages; it was referred to a Select Committee and, it was considered and finally passed by the Council. Later on, the then Governor, Lord Willingdon, however, returned the Bill for consideration of some amendments recommended by him. The Council passed the Bill as amended and in January 1925 the Bill received the assent of His Excellency the Viceroy: Of course, there was opposition at the various stages of the Bill, but the opposition was confined to a small section of this

Council.

"Sir, after the Bill was passed and became Act I of 1925, the Board of Commissioners was constituted to carry on the administration as required by the Act. The Board has been doing good work as the result of which the management of many of the temples has considerably improved.

"Of late, however, some of the trustees filed suits questioning the validity of the Act and the constitution of the Board. These suits are engaging the attention of the High Court. On account of the institution of the suits and the stay orders obtained by the plaintiffs in the suits, the Board finds itself handicapped in its administration. The contributions due to the Board are in many cases withheld.

a Vide Part IV of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 10th August 1926.

[The Raja of Panagal] [25th August 1926

"The Government have, in the circumstances referred to, been advised to introduce a Bill to re-enact the Act I of 1925 so as to remove any doubts. that may exist regarding the validity of that Act.

"Questions have been raised as to the necessity for the introduction of the Bill at this perhaps final sitting of this Council. If it is not introduced immédiately, large sums of money belonging to the temples will be wasted on litigation. After all, the litigation is merely one of academic interest. If the law laid down in Act I of 1925 is necessary, it is bound to be legislated sooner or later; nothing substantial will be gained by carrying on the litigation. It is understood that commissions have been asked for to examine Lord Willingdon in Canada and Lord Reading in England. The grant of these commissions would mean the depletion of the endowment funds. Minister in charge of religious endowments in this Presidency, I feel it my duty to prevent waste of endowment funds. The trustees who carry on this wasteful litigation do not seem to feel the pinch of this wastefulness. They spend not from their pockets but from endowment funds. If it is possible to put a stop to the wastage of money in this direction, why not we do it? I hope and trust that the hon. Members will agree with me in thinking that it is time to legislate for the re-enactment of the Act of 1925 and vote on the motion."

The hon, Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—" I second the motion."

Rai Bahadur T. M. NARASIMHACHARLU: - "I beg to move, Sir,

'that the consideration of this Bill be adjourned to the next sitting of the Council.'

"I advisedly use the word 'sitting' because on a former occasion when this Bill was considered, it was contended that the Council was one even though there might be general election once in three years. I think you were then the President; you will remember that point. (Voices:—No, no.) Even though it was not in your time, it was in the time of your predecessor. I submit that the consideration of this Bill may be adjourned to the next sitting of the Council, i.e., to the new Council."

\* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"There is difficulty about the word 'consi-

deration.' The hon. Member will use some other word."

Rai Bahadur T. M. NARASIMHACHARLU:-" I move

'that the discussions of this Bill may be postponed to the new Council.'

"My reasons are these. . . . ."

.\* Diwan Bahadur M. Krishnan Navar:—"On a point of order, Sir. My hon. Friend's motion is that the discussion of this Bill be postponed to the next meeting of the Council which, according to him, is the next Council. There is a rule which esays that the Bills will lapse, with the life of the Conneil so that my hon. Friend is out of order."

Rai Bahadur T. M. Narasimhacharlu:—"I'rely on Standing Order 34. A motion that a subject be adjourned can be moved at any stage and if the hon. Member from Malabar thinks that there is the danger of this Bill lapsing we welcome it. That is my purpose. My hon. Friend need not be anxious that we do not want that this Bill should lapse. Therefore my

25th August 1926] [Mr. T. M. Narasimhacharlu]

point is that I am in order and I shall proceed to give my reasons for the adjournment of the discussion of this Bill. It is well-known—and nobody will misunderstand me for stating it—that from the very beginning when this Bill was introduced in this Council I have been opposed to the provisions of this Bill.

Withal my experience of these six years in this Council, I have 12-15 not been able to change my view on the usefulness of this Bill. I began P.m. with objections and will continue to object to the provisions of this Bill as long as I am a Member of this Council, so that no one will take objection or exception to my attitude with reference to this Bill. In the first place . . ."

\* The hon, the RAIA OF PANAGAL:—"May I know, Sir, whether it is a general objection to the consideration of the Bill itself?"

Rai Bahadur T. M. Narasimhacharlu:—"I have got objection from every point of view and I shall now premise by stating that the Bill itself is very objectionable from every point of view and in every aspect. Why I want that the consideration of this Bill be adjourned is this; that from the very beginning, unfortunately for this Bill, its progress has always been characterized by an indue haste and I want to deprecate any undue haste with reference to this particular stage of the measure as well. It is that haste which has brought about this disaster, of bringing in as a Bill for re-enactment, a measure which has been already passed. Now, Sir, originally, instances of haste are patent in this very business. On the 3rd of this month, the Bill was published in the Fort St. George Gazette, and again on the 10th we find another Bill has been published. Well, what is it, if it is not an instance of haste? (Hear, hear.) Then, Sir, we had to conduct our 'ousiness on the 18th instant and perhaps, it was because that only on the 10th instant this Bill was published, and that we would have had just six clear days' notice of it as we all get the Gazette only on Thursdays in the mufassal, that an adjournment of this Council was considered necessary to-day. I do not know what was working in the mind of the Government.

"Now, another thing is that this Bill, if it was merely sought to be reintroduced, there would have been no objection at all. But, we find new changes. A few days' notice has been given that your assistance will be invoked for suspending the Standing Order and for enacting this Bill into law in this very sitting. Well, Sir, I appeal to the Members in the same way as the hon. Minister has done to consider whether this is such a triffing Bill, or such an unimportant measure that we can at one sitting of this Council dispose of it without due consideration being given to its elaborate provisions. I submit, Sir, the same fate will ultimately come to this Bill as it has encountered till now. On account of undue haste we are now brought to the present state of things, and I submit, Sir, therefore, that as it is intended that this Council should take up the further consideration of this Bill and will go to the length of passing it into law, this is not such an unimportant matter which can be disposed of at one sitting.

"Further, I understand, Sir, that the Governor-General in Council has given his consent to the Act of 1923. He has given his consent expressing the hope that certain amendments would be carried out. I find, Sir, no

[Mr. T. M. Narasimhacharlu] [25th-August 1926

indication is expressed by Government that these amendments have been either incorporated in this Bill or that they would be brought forward hereafter for being incorporated in this Bill."

\*The hon, the Raja of Panagal :—" I say, Sir, they have been incorporated."

Rai Bahadur T. M. Narasimhacharlu:—"Anyhow, Sir, this is another instance of haste. Generally, if there is a change in the language or in the intention of the Bill, it will be set in *italies*, and it will be referred to in the Statement of Objects and Reasons. Now, Sir, we find no italics in the revised Bill. We find no mention of the changes in the Statement of Objects and Reasons. No doubt the hon. Minister will say, 'you are expected to compare and contrast'. We have not got time to go through each and every word of the Bill. The Government have not indicated the changes in the revised Bill, so that Members may at once see and then concentrate their attention upon those provisions. Be that as it may. I submit, Sir, that we have not had sufficient notice of the changes that the hon. Minister says that have been incorporated in this Bill. Therefore, we want time to consider these things.

"Again, Sir, most of us have been under the impression that this Bill will be introduced and that it will undergo the usual procedure, that there will be a Select Committee, the report of the Select Committee, publication of the Bill as amended by the Select Committee and consideration of amendments brought forward by the Members for the Bill as amended by the Select Committee. We have had no reason whatsoever to suppose that this will be brought forward and passed into a measure all at once in this sitting, and therefore, we did not think of amendments at all and we were taken by surprise. These are the general considerations. In the first place, this is not an unimportant Bill. In the second place, the changes made by the Government have not been incorporated in the Bill. In the third place, we have had no time given to us to formulate our amendments to this Bill. Apart from these general considerations, I have got objections to the important provisions in this Bill, especially with regard to the inclusion of maths. I am very glad, Sir, that the hon. Sir T. Sadasiva Ayyar is here. It is well known how these maths are managed."

\* The hon, the PRESIDENT:—"I am afreid, the hon. Member is going into the merits of the Bill. He has moved a motion for adjournment of the discussion of this Bill. I would ask him to confine himself to the motion."

Rai Bahadur T. M. Narasimhacharlu:—" Now I shall only briefly refer to some of the points mentioned by the hon. Minister. He said that one great object for hastening this Bill and getting it passed into law was that the Commissioners were unable to do their work now, because the several institutions had not contributed their quota to their proper working, and consequently he wanted to prevent the institutions from spending large sums of money over the litigation that was pending in the High Court. I submit, Sir, that the latter is a very laudable idea indeed . . . (Hear, hear.) Laudable, because it is the object of the people also that the trustees shall not wastetheir money upon litigation. But I submit that it does not come with a

#### 25th August 1926] [Mr. T. M. Narasimhacharlu]

good grace from the Government or the Board of Commissioners who are the defendants in the suit. If this point had been raised before the suit had been instituted, there would have been very great force in that argument, but as it comes now, Sir, now that the suit had been instituted and that the Government are the defendants, the Government do not consider litigation necessary. The Government do not want that we should spend a lot of money over these litigations. The point is no doubt very laudable by itself, but it does not come with good grace from Government at this juncture. This is my answer to that point. It was stated that the Commissioners have not had sufficient funds to carry on their work and that they were prevented by several institutions not paying their contributions. I'am always one who thinks that every one is an honest person and every one believes in what he says. If the institutions think that they are bona fide and think that there is some illegality in this Act, that it is not a valid law and that they are not bound by it, Sir, are we not bound to give them the benefit of it and assume that they are acting bona fide in refusing contributions and litigating the question? That is my attitude with reference to their not paying their contributions. Sir, there are two sides to every question. The Government of course are bound to consider every point of view, to consider both sides of the question. But unfortunately, somehow or other, the Government think that they are the saviours of this province in the matter of religious institutions. I entertain a different view from the Government on this matter, and I think on a most important question like this, the hon. Minister himself has said that sooner or later, this Bill is bound to become law. If it is sooner or later bound to become law, why should it be sooner done than later. A few months' pause will not bring us to ruin and the institutions will not misappropriate or misspend the money. I therefore submit, Sir, that there is no hurry at all at present on an important question of this kind. Even if a good thing is done precipitately and hastily, it is not likely to be received in good grace by the people. Therefore, in the good name of the Government and for the .good name of the hon. Minister himself, whom I always hold in high esteem and veneration, if I may be permitted to say so, I say that we ought to postpone the further discussion of this Bill to the next meeting of the Council. I have no doubt that he will be returned and that he will be the Chief Minister once more. Therefore I say, Sir, let him have implicit faith in Providence for whom he is now legislating and that Providence will not fail him in the hour when he most needs His help. Therefore, let him wait patiently, let him place implicit faith in the people for whom he is doing good work. Then, Sir, he will be able to carry the Council with him when the next Council sits and he will earn the gratitude of the millions of the Hindus for whom he is working. With these words I appeal to him not to hasten the matter now."

\* Mr. K. Prabhakaran Tampan:—"Sir, I wish to second the motion. In doing so, I should like to make my position clear. I come with more or less a definite-mandate from my constituency. There are about 230 voters in my constituency and with the exception of eight or nine Muhammadaus, all the rest are trustees of temples. My family itself own about 14 endowed temples and 32 unendowed temples. Therefore, Sir, you can easily understand why I am anxious to have a fair and reasonable measure. Sir, my point

12-30 p.m.

# [Mr. K. Prabbakaran Tampan] [25th August 1926

is that the Act has been before us for too short a time and we are not now in a position to know in what all ways it is defective. It is a curious thing that the Board of Commissioners, which is the most competent authority to say anything on the working of this Act, has kept silent till now. I thought they would have publicly stated in what ways the Act worked to the detriment of the temples. Sir, the Statement of Objects and Reasons incorporated in the Bill does not represent the opinion of the Board. It was said, that the measure was only, a validating Bill. That is what is stated in the Statement of Objects and Reasons. But now we find that the suggestions made by His Excellency the late Viceroy, Lord Reading, have been incorporated into the Bili. It was only this morning that I heard about it. As a matter of fact, some of us do not remember what the suggestions of the Viceroy were. I have only a hazy idea of the specific recommendations made by the Viceroy and I feel that I am incompetent at this stage to help the Council with my opinion about them. Sir, now that an opportunity has been given to re-enact the Bill, it is up to us to thoroughly overhaul the measure and to get rid of the unsatisfactory features in it. I personally believe, Sir, that in the whole Bill the Board of Commissioners is the most unsatisfactory feature. Speaking for Malabar, I cannot understand how the personnel of the Board ..

\* The hon, the PRESIDENT:—"Order, order. I am afraid the hon, Member is wandering into the merits of the Bill. He must speak on the motion for

adjournment of the discussion of this Bill."

\* Mr. K. Prabhakaran Tampan :- "May I not point out, Sir, in what way the Bill is defective? You will allow me to do that."

\* The hon, the PRESIDENT :—" This is not the stage."

\*Mr. K. Prabhakaran Tampan:—"Well, Sir, then I will confine myself to only one aspect of the question. The Bill has been before the public only for a short time and sufficient notice has not been given to the constituents to help the Members with their opinion. This is a matter which affects the very existence of the Hindu community. This is the fag-end of the life of this Council and anything done haphazardly will not be improving it, but would only injure it. There is no urgency about it and if this is put off now, we will be in a position to go to the constituents and come back with specific instructions to the Council, and then deal with the Bill properly. Until then, Sir, I am afraid that I personally will not be doing my duty to my constituency if I do not support the motion."

\*Rao Bahadur T. A. Ramalinga Cheftiyar:—"Sir, I am sorry I cannot see eye to eye with the hon. Members who have preceded me. They have, to my mind, misunderstood the situation in which we are at the present moment. We are not at the present moment trying to legislate a fresh measure for the administration of the religious endowments of this Presidency. We have done that already. Sir, for a long time this question of legislating for the better administration of the religious endowments in this Presidency was before the country and the consensus of opinion on all sides, official, non-official and of persons belonging to the various communities were directed to find some means of bettering the administration of these bodies. It was as a result of that, that the Government themselves made several attempts to introduce legislation. As soon as

25th August 1926] [Mr. T. A. Ramalinga Chettiyar]

the new reformed Council came into existence, Sir, I gave notice of a motion to have legislation introduced on certain lines. That resolution could not be taken up, but it was in consideration of that resolution that a committee was appointed to draw up a Bill with reference to this matter. That committee sat, and in that committee as well as in the Select Committee which sat on the Bill as introduced in this Council, there were differences of epinion of a serious kind with reference to one or two important matters. Of course, it is the case with almost every measure; and in the case of no big measure can it be said that all the provisions are accepted by any one person. There will be some difference of opinion with regard to some provision or other. For instance, when this question of the Board of Commissioners was proposed by my hon. Friend Mr. Muttayya Mudaliyar, he happened to be on the Committee-I and some others objected to it. But seeing the form which the Bill took in the Select Committee, we thought that there was no way out of it. We had to agree to this Board because we thought with reference to the other provisions made in the Bill we could not do without the Board. Similarly, Sir, with regard to the maths it was thought that ontside interference will have to be objected to. There again, Sir, the same difficulty arose. There was difference of opinion and some of us . .

- \* Sriman Sasibhushan Rath Mahasayo:—" Is that not going into the merits of the Bill, Sir?"
- \*The hon, the PRESIDENT: —"The hon, Member is developing the point that no Bill can be completely satisfactory."
- \* Mr. S. SATVAMURTI :- " What has that got to do with the adjournment motion?"
- . \* Rao Bahadur T. A. RAMALINGA CHETTIYAR :- "If my friend will wait for a minute or two, I think he will find what it has to do with this motion As I said, Sir, no Bill can satisfy anybody in every portion. There will be difference of opinion with reference to particular portions of the Bill on one side or the other. But what was done in this, Council was that the Bill was passed by a very large majority. We find, Sir, that an unsatisfactory procedure was followed by the Government, by the Viceroy and by the Governor: Some of us thought that the procedure was wrong and we showed our displeasure in an emphatic manner by leaving the Council and thereby showed to the Viceroy that the procedure adopted by him was in our opinion wrong. If that objection that we raised had been heeded to at that time and this Bill was then revised and the revised Bill introduced at once, Sir, there would have been no difficulty whatever. Unfortunately our view of the matter was not accepted by the Government and we are now in this position, namely, that that illegal procedure was adopted and the Bill was passed under that illegal procedure to which at the present moment objection is being taken. . Well, Sir, what is now sought to be done is only to re-enact the measure as it was passed by a very large majority in this Council. We are not concerned now, I beg to submit, with the details of the measure. There will be time enough to consider them later . . . (Voices: Why not?) We are now in this position that we have got to see that the procedure in passing it is regularised. Some cloud is being raised with reference to the binding nature of the Act and its provisions. Are we justified in leaving

7

[Mr. T. A. Ramalinga Chettiyar] [25th August 1926

that matter in that position? If the Bill had not become an Act, I can well understand the position of the hon. Members of this Council that we will have to go into it in detail. We will then have to change things here and there according to our own desires; but now we have got an Act about whose validity there is some doubt cast. It is in operation now and the administration of the endowments under that Act is going on. Now, what is the position of the hon. the Minister and what is the step that the Government should take in a matter like this? It seems to me, Sir, in my humble judgment, that it is the duty of the Government, as soon as they thought that there was some reasonable doubt, as soon as they thought that there was some reasonble chance of argument on the other side, they ought to have come up and put this matter on a proper basis. My complaint against the hon, the Minister is that he has not come to this Council soon enough. He has allowed a long time to pass. It will be almost criminal folly on his part to leave this matter unsettled at this time. This Council is coming to an end. It may be, I do not know, that in spite of what my hon. Friend Mr. Narasimhacharlu said, -I do not occupy the advantageous position he does, and I have no right to prophesy,—it is possible that the hon. the Minister who is in charge of this Bill may not be in charge of the same Bill again. What will become then? (Voices: 'Heavens will not fall') Heavens will certainly fall. (Voices: 'You will be in charge of this Bill.') If I am in charge of the Bill, then I will tell you what I will do. Now, Sir, we have to take things as they are. The position will simply be this; if there was no Act, certainly I will agree with those who want an adjournment of the discussion of this matter; but when there is an Act, when that Act is being administered and when a Board is constituted, supposing in each munsif's court and sub-court points are raised in suits that the particular trustee who was appointed has no power to go on with his work because he has been appointed by the Board or by a committee appointed ander this Act, what is to be the position? The elections, Sir, under this Act are to take place soon. What will be the position then? The Board's orders will be invalid. Anybody can raise an objection as regards the validity of the orders of the Board or of the committee or of the trustee. Are we to be in that chaotic condition ? I beg to submit, Sir, that to my mind it seems that it will not be dealing with the people properly. So far as the Government are concerned, they will not be doing their duty if they are going to leave matters in that chaotic condition So, Sir, it will be the duty of the Government as well as of this Council to pass this measure by a very large majority and to put the legislation and the trusts under the Act which has come into force on a sure foundation without any flaw and without any question of attack on this side or that. Well, Sir, there is a point that may be raised, I may mention, with reference to the fact that suits are pending in courts. What is the position? If it is a matter where private vested interests are concerned, it will not be fair for the Government to enact a measure whereby you can take away vested/rights, but it is a matter of administration of public institutions. Such being the case, I beg to submit, Sir, the sooner all doubts are cleared, the better it would be for the country and for the interests of these maths themselves. It is on these considerations, Sir, that I think we ought to re-enact this measure without any loss of tîme.

25th August 1926] [Mr. T. A. Ramalinga Chettiyar]

- "I have to say just a word about the revised Bill that has been published. The safer course that suggests itself to me is to re-enact this measure as it was passed in the old Council, because that Bill was passed by a large majority in this Council and we are bound to pass it in this Council without raising any question If anybody wants, any change, the proper course will be to have the changes to be made embodied in an amending Bill; but now we find one or two changes have been made by the hon. Minister. I would ask him, Sir, in view of the fact that these changes are made, that he would allow some time, a few days, to consider these changes which he has made, especially after what Mr. Prabhakaran Tampan said, that be was not aware of the changes made. If, for instance, Sir, you will agree to have the attention of the Members drawn to the particular changes he has made and you will allow a few days for the consideration of those particular changes, I'think it would be proper for the Council to pass it as introduced. Otherwise, I will strongly advise him to confine himself to the original Act that was passed. Therefore, Sir, for the good name, as well as for the security of the country, it is absolutely necessary that we should pass this measure at the present moment and see that this re-enactment is passed without any loss of time. It is for these reasons that I oppose the motion."
  - \* Mr. R. Shinivasa Ayyangar:—"Mr. President, Sir, it has become the normal feature of this Ministry to rush through Bills of a far-reaching and important character at the fag-end of its life or when its life is fast ebbing.

(The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO: - 'Question').

- "You may question it, Sir, but that is my opinion. The House will perhaps remember that in April 1923, just on the eve of the last Council, a Bill that subsequently became an Act was rushed through in the teeth of strong and legitimate opposition that was presented to it on this side of the House. It fell to my lot to point out what I characterized as the important storm centres of this Bill. The bulk of the members, more than once, attempted to steer clear of the storm centres, but so far it has not been successful. But on coming to the statement made by His Excellency the Viceroy so early as Lebruary 1925—we are now in August 1926—we find the following. His Excellency stated there as follows:—
- 'His Excellency has given most careful attention to the representations which have been made to him in regard to the provisions of the act. He cannot shut his eyes to the fact that there is a large amount of dissatisfaction and apprehension in regard to some portions of the Act and he himself has doubts as to the suitability of some of its provisions. In particular, he is unable to regard as satisfactory the procedure laid down for the modification of schemes already settled or deemed to have been settled under the Act. The measure, however is one which was passed by a majority of the local Council of the Presidency, which included, in fact, a majority of the members of the community primarily affected. It was not to be expected that a measure of this importance would be enacted in the first instance in an unimpeachable form, and there would be no reflection on the action editor of the Minister who was responsible for the measure or of the Council which passed it if an amending Bill were to be introduced at an early date to remedy the defects which have been made apparent as the result of the exceptionally close examination which the measure has been subjected to.'
- "I, for my part, have carefully compared clause by clause of the Bill with the provisions of the old Act and I have not been able to perceive any tangible difference. Here and there, some of the original sections have been split up into two sections and then the old Bill has been made to

12-45 p.m. [Mr. R. Srinivasa Ayyangar] [25th August 1926

appear before us in a new form, with fresh fetters with the sole idea of rendering legal certain illegal acts and making wrongs look right. We all know that 'Haste makes waste', and this hasty legislation on the part of the Government has landed it in a quagmire from which the present Ministry tries to wriggle itself out. I entirely sympathize with the Ministry. It is their own making, their own seeking. They turned a deaf ear to, all briticisms and now at the last psychological moment when we are on the eve of dissolution, a measure of this momentous character is sought to be rushed through. Now, there is absolutely no warrant for a Bill of this character. Two main reasons have been advanced, if I understood the arguments of the hon the Chief Minister, in support of the position that he has chosen to take. The first reason was that he wanted to prevent unnecessary waste of trust funds. As regards that, I may, in passing, tell you and other members of the House that it is open to the court in awarding costs to release the trust property from all obligation and make the trastee liable to pay costs out of his estate if it is satisfied that the action was vexatious and is not prima tacie in the best interests of the institution. Such a provision does exist and I cannot understand why the Minister though the Government is not a party, its machinery the Board is a party as defendant should be very anxious about the interests of the plaintiff. That is the position which I am unable to understand, Let me turn to the Statement of Objects and Reasons. To me it looks that, so far as the Minister and the Government are concerned, no such doubts have been entertained by them and we do not want them, however, to go to the rescue of the doubters who have taken the matter before the highest tribunal in the land. It looks very much as if the Government, unwilling to sustain a defeat at the hands of the judiciary, want to forge a new weapon with a view to paralyse the functions of the highest judicial tribunal of the land or, in other words, they want to cover all their mistakes by rushing through a legislation of this character knowing full well that their action is not proper. Moreover, as has been pointed out by the hon. the Mover, this Bill was published only about two weeks ago. We got a copy only on the 12th. We had no time to put ourselves in communication with the electorate and to ascertain their views on the matter. Some of us may hold individual views, but we here are the trustees and guardians of the people whose views and opinions we are bound to reflect and represent and therefore it seems to me that more time is necessary. There is absolutely no fear of the world coming to an end in these two or three months. This Bill may be held up for another three months because we are on the eve of elections. I hope and trust that the next Council will meet somewhere about the end of November or December and nothing will be lost by granting time or by withdrawing this Bill, whichever course suggests itself to the hon. Minister. There is a large amount of feeling in this country that the Ministry is trying to rush this Bill-I don't want to attribute any motives-more with a view to avoid the possibility and I may say the certainty of a defeat at the hands of the · judiciary."

\* Mr. P. ANJANEYULU:—"Mr. President, Sir, I am rather surprised that my Friend from Coimbatore should have lent his support to the introduction of this Bill at this stage. He reminds me of an old bishop who, when the new world, America, was discovered, sent out deep sighs saying 'Lo1'

25th August 1926] [Mr. P. Anjaneyulu]

behold! there are a number of persons here who cannot reach Heaven because they are not Christians and are not baptised.' Even so, my Friend from Coimbatore seems to think that if the hon, the Raja of Panagal will not introduce this Bill and will not have it made law before the close of this Council and before the new Council comes into being, he fears he may not be there again to introduce this Bill. I do not know whether it is extending a personal courtesy to the hon, the Chief Minister, or whether?

- \* Rao Bahadur T. A. RAMALINGA CHETTIVAR:—"I do not know if it can be said that a personal courtesy was extended to the hon, the Chief Minister. I said it would be criminal folly on his part if he did not do it because he knew that there were certain doubts and it was his duty to introduce the Bill as early as possible."
  - \* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :--" Why did he not do it?"
- \* Mr. P. ANJANEYULU: -- "It is more than 18 months since the receipt of the Bill from the Governor-General with all the suggestions that he was capable of making. We are now on the eve of the dissolution of this Council and he comes forward with a revised Bill. The Bill was published in the Gazette by the 10th August, Sir, and it was translated into one vernacular (only Telugu) on the 17th of this month. There was hardly time for the people who are affected by this Bill to go through the provisions of this Bill and to understand it intelligently. It is not merely we, Sir, that are sitting here that are interested in the Bill, but there is a very large majority in the outside world for whom it is intended to be enacted, to save them from all the folly and all the trouble into which they have been sunk all these long years. The hon, the Chief Minister says many attempts to introduce a Bill of this nature were made, I think, beginning with Sir T. Muthuswami Ayyar and ending with him. For all these many years, people are sunk under the disadvantages everywhere for want of a Bill like this. Cannot they remain where they are for a little longer, three months more? Well, Sir, the dangers are many. Already this portion of the House, on the 3rd April 1924, protested and protested in vain, and they showed their protest by walking out and not taking any part in the discussions of the Bill as it was returned by His Excellency Lord Willingdon with the amendments that he suggested, when we had only to discuss those amendments and not the whole Bill. Now • the whole Bill comes, and it is but right that it should come, but what we ask is why we should not be given some little breathing time. It is not proper to have an imprint of indecent haste on the face of this Bill. Where is the necessity of introducing this Bill when some suits are pending in the High Court? You say that doubts have been thrown as to its validity. Who has thrown the doubts? It is not the law court; it is not the public. The doubts were thrown much earlier than now, and when the doubts were thrown and protests were made, the hon the Chief Minister was not pleased to extend a little more breathing time. He is not prepared to extend a breathing time now. Well, Sir, I am not able to prophesy as much as my hon. Friend from Cuddapah who assures us that the hon, the Chief Minister will again pilot this Bill in the new Council. Well, with those assurances I shall not be able to prophesy whether he or any one will be here. But this much. I shall prophesy, namely, what appears as a speck on the political horizon to-day may develop if time is not given, if opportunity is not taken by the protest

[25th August 1926 Mr. P. Anjaneyulu]

we make here, may develop into what I may call the 'Hindu Khilafat' agitation. And what has been done till now may repeat itself. And what is being done just to rectify mistakes? One Bill is being published on the 3rd August and again a revised Bill on the 10th August. Surely, that is not the It will take long years before a better Bill is introduced. Much, of bad blood will crop up in the meanwhile in rushing this measure with a view to see that the expenses that may be incurred in the law courts are averted. Expenses have already been incurred and a little more money possibly will not matter so much as compared with the bad blood that the hon, the Chief Minister may wittingly or unwittingly be the cause to create.

"In these circumstances, Sir, both the Members of this House and the 1 p.m. large outside public make this request to the hon the Chief Minister. Among the Members of this House there are various religionists. The question of the Khilafat moved the whole world. If a measure of this nature affecting Christianity is brought up, it will certainly move those hon. Members who follow that religious faith. The Hindu element here cast a majority vote last time also that that Bill should not be accepted in the form in which it was introduced. That being the case, I think there is nothing lost if a little time is given for the consideration of this Bill, and if this Bill is introduced after fresh Members have come in after the elections. I therefore appeal to all sides of this House that this being a religious question, we in religious India ought not to rush with this post-haste legislation. We are legislating religion, religion which is higher than all earthly things-a question which in this land, even in these degenerate days, appeals

\* Mr. C. B. COTTERELL :- "Sir, I do not wish to deal with any question of the Khilafat (laughter). I may refer to two points which have been raised by hon. Members who preceded me. The first point was that they had not time to consider the provisions of this Bill. Now, Sir, the Bill simply seeks to make three small changes which I shall refer to shortly. It is almost the same as the old Act which they had an opportunity of studying for a year and a half.

itself most to everybody. In the name of religion, as I once remarked, many atrocities are committed and for the sake of religion many a noble life has been sacrificed. That being the case in this essentially religious land, I hope

time will be given for consideration of a measure of this kind."

"It was complained, Sir, that no information was given as to what the Viceroy and Governor-General wished to amend in the original Act as passed by this Council, and if was asked what amendments have actually been made in the Bill to carry out his wishes. I may now refer to the clauses to which amendments are suggested; they are clauses 57, 65 and 67. only difference between these clauses and the old clauses of the Act is in the direction of greater, freedom-to trustees and interested persons to move the courts in the matter of schemes settled by the Board."

\* Mr. S. Satyamurti:-" Mr. President, Sir, we are all just now so full of elections, of our own chances or hopes, or the chances or hopes of our party-I submit I am speaking for everybody here-we are too full of elections just now to deal with a first class legislative measure with that calmness, detachment, and impartiality which we ought to apply to the consideration of such measures. That is the reason why, Mr President, in really self-governing

25th August 1926] [Mr. S. Satyamurti].

countries, the party in power forecasts in advance, in the speech from the Throne, first-class measures of legislative importance which they propose to introduce in the ensuing session, and I challenge my hon. Friend, the Chief Minister, to say whether in the whole history of English parliamentary life, after which we are trying to pattern our own parliamentary institutions, there is any case of any single measure which is introduced just before the dissolution of the Parliament. The majority here introduces a measure of first-class legislative importance, and the notice which is given to the House seeks to ask the speaker thrice to suspend the Standing Order, thus smothering the rights of the minorities and forcing through legislation at breakneck speed. It seems to me that there can be no greater negation of democracy by which we swear at the hustings, but which we forget the moment we enter this House, assured of a majority and of the support from the Government, My hon. Friend the Minister may have read-I hope he read with profit-the speech of the Viceroy and Governor-General in welcoming the Central Legislature. His Excellency Lord Irwin expressed his keen regret that he was compelled to summon the members of the legislature in August, while the elections were impending in November, and said that his only reason was that some of them would have to suffer the inexorable fate of the ballot box and that he wanted to see them all before that event. I do not think there is any other reason except the one which Lord Irwin gave, and that does not apply to our being summoned here to consider a measure of first-class importance. Lord Goschen has seen all of us. My hon. Friend the Chief Minister in his usual way made a short speech. One thing which he manages to do is to make a series of categorical statements in a definite manner as if statements by themselves were arguments. He said its immediate introduction was absolutely necessary. He has not advanced one single argument to show that its immediate introduction is absolutely necessary. He should have added not only its introduction, but the immediate passing thereof into law. I should like the House to realize that by this motion we are now seeking to rush through not only the first reading of this Bill, but also the second reading, the Select Committee stage and the third reading and the passing into law, all of which the hon, the Minister wants to rush in this House within as few hours as he can manage it. Then, he went into the history of the reasons for this Bill and told this House with pontifical authority that there was corruption and mismanagement in many of the institutions. I challenge him to prove that statement (The hon. the Raja of Panagal; 'Some institutions'.) I am sorry, Sir, I heard him say 'many'. The official report will be handed over to us when we can verify it. However, I take his word. He added that such mismanagement and corruption was due to defective legislation. I want to join issue with him there and say that man never is and never will be made moral by legislation. If our institutions are not so well managed as they ought to be, the remedy is not in a salaried Central Board or in multiplying litigation and hanging the sword of Democles over trustees and heads of institutions but by educating the religious conscience of the people. Instead . of doing that, my hon. Friend the Chief Minister with childlike faith in the efficacy of legislation in making men moral seeks to enact this measure. Legislation is no doubt easy so long as he can command votes. Let him repeat this Bill again and again, even fifty times, and so long as he does not take real public opinion with him, so long as he ignores even orthodox

[Mr. S. Satyamurti] [25th August 1926

public opinion, I challenge him to say whether he will succeed by mere legislation. I hope he will profit by the experience of the world that social and religious legislation much in advance of the times, or not in consonance with prevalent public opinion, is bound to be a failure, and he who ignores history ignores it at his own peril. We were told that this Bill became law in January 1925. The suits referred to by my hon. Friend were instituted long ago. Why then this delay on his part to remove the doubts raised? He says this consciousness suddenly dawned upon him. I ask him, did it or did it not dawn on him after the Government was refused to be made a party to the suits by the High Court? Till then, Government thought that they could pay out of the taxpayers' money for this costly litigation. Where had all his present solicitude for the trust moneys then gone? Till then he perhaps thought that the poor taxpayer could be made to defend the suits on this Bill. the moment the High Court took that view, suddenly my hon. Friend's conscience is aroused, and he says 'we shall not spend trust money on that litigation'. Why did he not bring this Bill earlier? Then, he added that the opposition to this Bill was a very small opposition. In that case, Sir, may I ask the hon, the Minister what is the extent of the opposition which he will consider valid before he consents to this adjournment motion? (The Raja of Panagal: 'A majority.') Because he is in a majority he is there. If he is, in a minority, he would not be there. It is because we are in a minority we have to make this motion. If he and his party are in the minority he will have to ask for the adjournment. If he is in a minority, there will be the need for an adjournment and we will willingly grant it. (Laughter.) Therefore, let him realize this: while he has got the strength of a majority, he has got to consider that we are building up an infant legislature. So I appeal to him to consider that the majority are here to protect the minority in the enjoyment of our rights and privileges. I ask the European Members of this House whether they are really helping us by their being abettors to this act of merely using a majority to rush through legislation of this kind. The Local Self-Government Secretary -I gladly welcome his presence here-contributed his speech, and he said that this Bill had been on the Statute Book for a year and a half and that therefore there was nothing new about it. May I tell him that it is a human fact that all of us are not studying the law on the Statute Book all the time. and it is only when a Bill is sought to be placed on the Statute Book we begin to study it? The fact that the Bill was there for a year and a half does not raise any presumption whatever. It is not until we get notice that this Government intends to introduce a re-enacting Bill that we can be presumed to have studied that law. That argument is at best irrelevant.

"Then, Sir, my hon, Friend contrary to all precedent—I expected you, Sir, to call him to order, probably you did not follow him—commented upon the proceedings in the highest court of the land. He said the delay in the disposal of these suits was solely caused by the plaintiffs, a statement which I question. He said that commissions had been asked for to examine Lord Willingdon in Canada and Lord Reading in England, and why not? Are Lords Willingdon and Reading above the law? Why should they not be examined? Is the Chief Minister going to come here and say to the High Court, 'Thus far, and no further'. That is an aspect

1-15

p.m.

### 25th August 19267

- The hon, the Raja of Panagal:—"I merely mentioned that the appointment of the commissions would mean heavy expenditure. I never said that Lord Willingdon and Lord Reading should not be examined by the commission. My complaint was that these appointment of commissions would cost lake of rupees. I understand a large number of suits are instituted and if every plaintiff in these suits should insist on a commission examining Lord Willingdon in Canada and Lord Reading in England the amount that may have to be spent upon this legislation would be enormous."
- \* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI: "I appreciate the argument, especially as it comes after the judgment of the High Court declining to make the Government a party. But the point is this: the High Court is the highest judicial tribunal in this province, as we are the highest legislative authority in this Presidency. If we, humbler men, are trying to do anything in advance of High Court judgments, we shall be guilty of contempt of court. Are we to take undue advantage of the statutory protection given to us and tell the High Court and all possible litigants: 'We are in a majority and therefore whatever suits you may file in the High Court we will pass a law and say to the High Court, you shall not decide that matter? I challenge my hon. Friend to give one instance in any self-governing country where anticipating the judgment of the highest tribunal in the land the legislature passed a lawanticipating their julgment and saying that whatever the judgment may be, it will not be binding on us. We know the instance of the famous Osborne judgment and the Trade Union legislation that followed upon it. There, the Government took the case to the highest court in the realm, and when they were defeated, then they brought degislation in order to remedy the defects. The obvious course for a Government which believes in the majesty of law and order by which we swear at all times, is to await the verdict of the High Court, if necessary even then to take it to the Privy Council, and then to bring up legislation to remedy what they consider an obvious defect.

"It seems to meithat here the whole process is reversed. What is there to prevent to-morrow this very party oringing in a Bill that decrees against the members of it shall not be executed? The Civil Procedure Code will stand amended and they will say, 'we shall not allow decrees to be executed against the members of our party'. Is this the way you are going to educate the country to respect law and order? The High Court is there and that the transfer of the say is 'You shall not decide the matter, because we shall take it out of your hands?'

"My hon. Friend says: 'The board is handicapped and contributions are withheld.' Well, it is either due to the fear that the law is not valid or due to unwillingness on the part of the trustees to co-operate with the Board. Whatever it is, you will not by re-enacting the Bill make things better. Does my hon Friend think that because he rushes this Bill through, there will not be litigation again? He is very much mistaken if he thinks so. If there is litigation and if it goes on, how will it improve matters? Unless you pass a Bill saying that there can be no suits filed in the High Court relating to the Hindu Religious Endowments Bill."

The hon, the Raja of Panagal:—"I dare say it is necessary to amend the law so as to prevent waste of endowment funds on unnecessary litigation."

3

[25th August 1926

- \*Mr. S. SATYAMURTI:—"I should not be surprised. This party is so full of the consiousness of its own powers that if they continue in power they will by and by interfere with the private life and private finance of not only trustees but of other people also. My hon, Friend has made it clear. His mentality and the mentality of his party seem to be that they are going to be so paternal in their administration of charitable endowments that they are going to tell the trustees 'if you spend money on litigation which we consider frivolous, you shall pay for it."
- \* The hon, the Raja of Panagal:—"Sir, I did not object to trustees spending endowment funds for necessary litigation. I objected to funds being wasted on unnecessary litigation. If the trustees are anxious to undertake such litigation let them spend from their own pockets."
- \* Mr. S. Satyamurt: —" My point is this: Trustees are interested in preventing inroads on the trust property or on their rights over that property. The idea that trustees cannot have vested rights will not commend itself to any lawyer. The trustees have vested rights as much as heads of religious maths have got vested rights. They do not have them in their personal capacity, but they have them in their public capacity. The whole point of my hon. Friend has been that he wanted to prevent the wastage of money on what he considers frivolous litigation. Are we going to be a party to a measure of this kind just in order to tell the trustees not to waste money on litigation? I say it is impossible. I say it is futile. You cannot by legislation prevent these things.
- "Regarding my hon. Friend on the front opposition bench, I hope some means will be found by which the metaphorical crossing of the floor will be soon followed by the physical also."
- \*Rao Bahadur T. A. RAMALINGA CHETTIYAR:—"May I say at once that I have not crossed the floor and joined the other party? I am as much in the opposition as my Friend the hon, Member for the University."
- \* Mr. S. Satyamurti :—" But it is not the business of the Opposition to support any business of the Government."
- \* Rao Bahadur T. A. RAMALINGA CHETTIYAR:—"It is neither the business of the opposition to oppose everything." (Ministerialist cheers.)
- \* Mr. S. Satyamurti :—" I shall explain my point. When you are in the opposition you oppose bad measures because they are bad, and good measures because when you are in power you can introduce better measures."
- \*Rao Bahadur T. A. RAMALINGA CHETTIYAR :--"When I want to take lessons, I will go to him."
- \* Mr. S. Satvambert: -- "If the hon Member knew he wanted lessons, he would have done so long ago.
- "My hon. Friend repeated the same arguments of Mr. Cotterell that this is not the first attempt at legislation. I ask him not only as a matter of form, but in substance, whether a Bill is not a Bill. This is a Bill placed before the House and is not this House entitled to consider it on its merits? Are we bound by the acts of our predecessors, in which case it will mean that every time the Council passes a Bill the subsequent Councils have

25th August 1926] [Mr. S. Satyamurti]

nothing to do with it whatever? I consider and claim that this is a new Bill and a fresh Fill and, as such, we have a right to have all the privileges of this House when a new Bill is introduced and to consider it on its merits at every stage.

"My hon. Friend asked us not to be concerned with the defects of the Bill. I hen what are we here for? Are we merely to enact a Bill which is placed on the table of the House without looking into the defects or otherwise of it? That is not my conception, Mr. President, of the duties and privileges of the members of this House.

"I should like, Sir, to just advance one or two arguments why nothing will be lost by agreeing to the adjournment of this business. Whatever people may say, the Act is in force. The Act is on the Statute Book. putting this Bill off for three months, you lose nothing. On the other hand, you gain this thing: We place this Bill before the electorate. Why should the hon, the Chief Minister be afraid of facing the electorate? Why should it happen that again and again, he should introduce the Fill just before a general election? It was introduced in March or April of 1923 and again, it is introduced this time in August. Why this fatal fascination for the fag-end of a Council? Why not wait till November? What will happen? I am told the heavens will fall. The heavens will never fall. Even assuming that something serious will happen, is it expected to be so serious that three months' adjournment will fatally affect the Bill? I am yet to hear any such argument. What is going to happen in these three months if this is adjourned? The High Court proceedings can wait and will wait. Sir Kumaraswami Sastri the other day adjourned a suit, pending this legislation. So, if by a resolution of this House this Bill is adjourned for three months, nothing will happen. The Board will function. The Act is in force and to the extent to which you can exercise your powers you are exercising it. On the other hand, we shall be able to educate the electorate on the merits or otherwise of this Bill and come back to this House with a clear mandate one way or the other. And, moreover. the Government are interested in this matter. If they are serious, why not postpone the elections till December or January and give some more time to consider this Bill? If you think that we ought to face the electorate. •put off the elections and let this Bill be considered after we have got the clear opinion of the people whom we represent or are supposed to represent here. Moreover, Sir, although this is not the stage, I want you to realize that your exceptional powers to suspend the Standing Orders will have to be exercised thrice before the hon, the Raja of Panagal can get this Bill through this sitting. Is that going to be done?"

The hon, the President:—"We shall consider that later, not now." (Laughter.)

\* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI:—"I apologise. I did not mean to forecast your judgment. What I wanted to know was, is it going to be asked that you should suspend the Standing Orders thrice at the fag-end of the Council in order to rush through a measure of this kind?

"When we come to the preamble, Sir, we are told that

'Whereas diverse doubts have been raised . . . it is expedient to remove those doubts . Therefore, . . .

# [Mr. S. Satyamurti] [25th August 1926

"What follows? When we pass a Bill, if some people file suits against us in the High Court, we are then be called upon to re-enact a Bill. Is that the position to which you want to reduce this Council ?. I submit it is a position of contempt. Because, litigation would go on although this House solemnly sits and says we have re-enacted this Bill. It would have begu far better if the hon. Minister had consulted his legal advisers and said that this Act is invalid and therefore we ought to re-enact it. I can understand it, but on the other hand, from the vigour with which they are fighting the suits, I see they think that it is perfectly valid. Why then this legislation now, except for the reason that there are suits pending in the High Court? Then what is the precedent you are establishing? are establishing a precedent that the moment there are suits against any legislative measure of this House, you ought to ask this House to solemnly re-enact that Bill. It seems to me that for these reasons nothing will be lost by agreeing to this motion for adjournment of this business to the next Council, but a great deal will be gained which will be in consonance with public opinion. It will enable all sections of this House to bring an unbiassed and well educated mind on this subject and to enact a measure, if need be, which will really carry out the objects which the hon, the Raja of Panagal and those who are in his confidence say they have. I have great pleasure in supporting this motion and I appeal especially to the non-Hindu Members of this House to realize our position and to imagine what their feelings would be if Christian and Muhammadan endowments are sought to be affected in this manner and at least to remain neutral, if they cannot vote with us."

\* The hon, the RAJA of Panagal: ""Mr. President, I have indeed little to reply because those who opposed the motion have not denied the two main facts upon which my case rests. One of them is that the administration of the Board is handicapped and the other is that in name of litigation which I maintain to be one of mere academic interest large sums of money are being spent by the trustees from out of trust funds. These are the two things which I thought it was my duty as the Minister in charge of religious endowments to prevent. Some of the hon. Members questioned as to why I should rush with this legislation at this stage of the Council. My reason is that the Government are advised that a Bill of the kind should be immediately introduced to remove all doubts regarding the validity of the Act I of 1925 and that if the Act be not re-enacted the kingation questioning the validity of the Act will continue to the great detriment of the trusts."

\* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :- " By whom?"

"The hon, the Raja of Panagal: -- "By the advisers of Government (Laughter).

"Then, Sir, some her. Members opposite took me to task for not introducing this Bill earlier. I could only introduce a Bill when its validity is questioned. These suits were instituted, it is true, a few months back. But there are steps to be taken before a Bill can actually be introduced in the Council. Time is required for taking these steps. That accounts for the delay. One of the Members stated that I had not the mandate of the electorate to take up this legislation. In reply to this all that I can say is that I had no mandate to the contrary either. I have to use my discretion. Either the Bill is desirable or net; if it is desirable it ought to be

25th August 19267

[The Raja of Panagal]

introduced and if it is not I take the risk. We have the general elections staring us in the face. If I am the author of a Bill which is considered to be undesirable I will not get support in the coming elections. (Hear, hear), It is more my concern not to introduce an undesirable measure. I cannot understand why the opposition should show so much concern at my taking the risk by introducing the Bill at the fag-end of the Council's life.

"Then, it is argued that the Members have not been given sufficient time to consider the various clauses of the Bill. In fact, as has been pointed out by the Hon'ble Mr. Cotterel except in two or three minor matters the clauses of the Bill are identical with the sections of Act 1 of 1925 which was passed after a great deal of consideration, consideration ad nauseum. Under the circumstances I do not see any reason why I should accept the motion that has been moved by my hon. Friend from Cuddapah."

The motion for adjoarnment was put and declared lost.

A poll was taken and the House divided as follows:-

#### Ayes.

- 1. Mr. K. Prabhakaran Tampan. 16. Mr. P. Anjaneyulu. 18. n. Nahammad Meera Sahib.
  18. n. V. Nahammad Meera Sahib.
  18. n. V. Pantulu Ayyar.
  19. S. R. Y. Ankingdu Prasad Bahadur.
  20. Mt. Chuvadi K. Subrahmanya Pillai.
  21. Rao Bahadur A. S. Krishna Rao Pantulu.
  22. rai Bahadur T. M. Narasimhacharlu. " D. Manjayya Heggade. V. Madhava, Raja. 3. ", V. Madhava Raja.
  4. ", B. Ohalesappa.
  5. Rao Bahadur C. V. S. Narasimha Razu. 6. Mr. J. A. Saldanha, 7. Sriman Biswanath Das Mahasayo. 8. Mr. A. Chidamabara Nadar. 23. Sriman Sasibhushan Rath Mahasayo. 9. ,, M. Gangarazu. 10. ,, C. Gopala Menon. 24, Mr. Sami Venkatachalam Chetti. ,, K. Srinivasa Ayyangar.
  L. K. Pulasiram.
  , K. Uppi Sahib.
  ,, C. V. Venkataramana Ayyangar. 25. 10 ,, S. Muttayya Mudaliyar. ,, P. Peddiraju. 26. 11. 27. 12. " M. Sitayya. " B. Venkatapathi Raja. 28. 13. 29. , J. Naganna Hegde. 30. , C. Venkatarangam Nayudu.
- Noes. 1. The hon. Mr. N. E. Marjoribanks. 2. , Mr. T. E. Moir. • 3. , Khan Bahadur Muh 26. Rao Sahib P. V. Gopalan. 27. Mr. J. Kuppuswami. 28. , R. Madanagopal Nayudu. 29. , T. Mallesapp. 30. , P. N. Marthandam Pillai. Muhammad ,, Usman Sahib Bahadur. Mr. A. Y. G. Campbell. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. N. Sivage .. 31. Rao Bahadur B. Muniswami Nayudu. 32. Mr. M. Mungappa Chettiyar. 33. , C. Muttayya Mudaliyar. 34. , K. S. Ponnuswami Pillai. nanam Pillai. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. Patro. the Baja of Panagal. 6. 8. Mr. T. R. Venkatarama Sastriyar.
  9. Rao Bahadur V. T. Krishnama Achariyar. 35. a., G. Premayya. 36. ., P. Sagaram. 37. Diwan Bahadur M. Krishnan Nayar. 10. Mr G. T. Boag.
  11. , V. Pandrang Row.
  12. , C. B. Cotterell. P. Kesava Pillai. 39. Rao Bahadur T. A. Ramalinga Chettiyar. 40. Dr. P. Subbarayan. 41. Mr. B. T. Rajan. 42. Rao Bahadur P. Raman. 43. Mr. J. D. Samuel. 13. Diwan Bahadur Sir T Sadasiva Ayyar. 14. Mr. F. Venkataramana Rao Nayudu. 15. Khan Bahadur Abdulla Ghatala Sahib Bahadur. 16. Mr. S. Arpudaswami Udayar. K .. Sarvarayudu. 45. Rao Sahih R. Srinivasan. 46. Mr. K. Sitarama Reddi. 47. , R. Veerian. 48. , K. Venkatachala Padayachi.
- 17. ,, A. E. Rencontre. 18. ,, J. A. Davis. 19. ,, B. Ari Gowder.

14.

15. " S. Satyamurti.

- 20. Rai Bahadur Sir K. Venkatareddi Nayudu.
- 21. Rao Bahadur C. Natesa Mudaiiyar. 22. Mr. A. V. Bhanoji Rao.
- 23. Rao Sahib S. Ellappa Chettiyar.
  24. Ms. A. Ramaswami Mudaliyar.
  25. Diwan Bahadur P. C. Ethirajulu Nayudu.
  26. Mr. Haji Abdulla Sahib.
  27. "T. M. Moidu Sahib.
  28. Quadir Muhideen Sahib.

yar.

49. Kao Bahadur P. K. A. Ct. Virappa Chetti-

[25th August 1926

Neutral.

Mr. Chambers, G.W.
 Sir Alexander MacDougall.

3. Mr. A. Ranganatha Mudaliyar.

Ayes 30. Noes 52. Neutral 3.

. The motion was lost.

The Council then adjourned for lunch at 1-30 p.m.

#### After Lunch (2-30 p.m.)

- \* Mr. J. A. Saldanha:—' Mr. President, Sir, the main reason given for the introduction of this Bill is to remove all doubts that might exist as to the validity of the old Act, certain actions taken undef it and certain things done in pursuance of it. The best way to remove all doubts which the hon. Minister has characterized as frivolous doubts is not this. The hon. Minister's characterizing the litigation as frivolous might amount to a contempt of court if the word was used outside this House."
- \* The hon, the PRESIDENT :- "The courts have nothing to do with that."
- \* The hon, the RAJA OF PANAGAL:—"My hon. Friend from South Kanara has misunderstood me altogether. I never intended any contempt to the High Court. The courts are there and there are cases on their files. Does it not happen that some of the cases that go before courts are frivolous; it is an admitted fact that there are such cases filed in courts."
- \* Mr. J A. Saloanha :- "To say that any litigation is frivolous and to give that as a reason for this is not at all the proper way of doing things; but I am not going to lay stress on that point. On the other hand, the best way to put an end to this costly litigation would be for the hon. Minister and for the Government to abolish the Board which is the cause of all this trouble. The whole machinery of this Board and the costly paraphernalia must be put an end to. We must wait until the elections are over and then a new Bill must be introduced after very careful consideration. At this stage the provisions of the Bill are entirely new to me and to so many of us. We have not gone through the whole thing on its merits. We, have hardly had the time to consider the fundamental principles underlying the Bill. After going cursorily through the various provisions of the Bill, I put the question to myself as to what would be my attitude as a Catholic Christian if such a measure was brought forward in relation to our religious endowments. (Mr. S. Satyamurti: 'Hear, hear'). No one who has read the history of the struggles between the Church and the State from the ancient times and also what takes place in Mexico and other places in modern times will fail to see how we, Christians especially Catholic Christians, have contended with all our might against the interference of the State with the religious endowments. There have been men who died as martyrs for the cause. The struggle was intense in the middle ages and much blood was shed during the period of the Reformation. The principle for which we stand is that the Church should be autonomous in the administration of the religious endowments and should not be interfered with by the Civil power. But here the

25th August 1926] [Mr. J. A. Saldhanha]

Board is created by the Government and the President is appointed by the Government or by the Governor with the advice of the Ministers. They are salaried servants of the Government and herein lies the fundamental defect of the Bill. So far as religious endowments are concerned there is a very simple provision for checking maladministration. There is no costly liti-gation. The Religious and Charitable Trusts Act XIV of 1920 provides an effectual machinery for checking maladministration of religious endowments. This Act is not very much known and I have not heard of any applications under the Act. It is not a costly process and probably because of that, pleaders have not resorted to that. The process consists in simply putting an application on a stamp paper of 12 annas before the District Court and to ask the Court to call upon the trustees of a temple or even of a math to give a detailed statement of the trust property and place the accounts of the trust before it. If on examination it is found that a prima facie case of mismanagement has been made out, the Court is empowered to order an audit at the expense of the institution or endowment. The Court then would suggest a scheme for the management of the temple or the endowment, and if the scheme is not adopted within a certain period a suit would be allowed to be filed at the expense of the institution itself. Under the circumstances, I am sure that most of the trustees will be very unwilling to run the gauntlet of such a process and would set right matters immediately they come to know that an application has been filed or is going to be filed in the Court regarding the endowments under their charge. They would finally accept the scheme proposed by the District Judge and mend their ways. With this provision we can check all mismanagements, however big the institution may be and however large the endowments. So far as the Muhammadans are concerned we have got an Act providing for the better management of the Wakf properties."

\* The hon the PRESIDENT :- "May I remind the hon. Member that we are dealing with the Hindu Religious Endowments Bill?'

.\* Mr. J. A. Saldanhas:—"I am just coming to that, Sir. I am only saying that we have got ample provisions in the existing Wakfs Aut to meet the needs of the situation; whereas we are having before us a most complex Act which will lead to costly litigation and much more mismanagement so far as Hindu endowments are concerned. This is a very unworkable piece of legislation-the Hindu · Religious Endowments Bill. A more simple and workable Bill has been brought forward by Sir H. S. Gour in the Legislative Assembly on the lines of the Wakf Act. I do not know what has become of it. Of course there are abuses in a large number of endowments, even in some Christian endowments. But we have got a machinery, especially among the Roman Catholics to check such abuses. We have got the Canon laws, the Bishops and the Holy See to interfere in case of mismanagement or wrongful alienation. We have got a thorough organization to meet the needs of the situation arising out of mismanagement of any of our endowments.

"I think the Hindu's must have an organization which is to be worked" 2-45 according to the principle of the Dharma Shastras. The State, if it finds that there is no proper organization, must make use of the high principles laid down in the Dharma Shastras for establishing an organisation which must be autonomous. What I say is that the State should help our Hinda

[Mr. J. A. Saldanha] [25th August 1926

brethren in establishing an autonomous organization, a kind of self-government or what I would call swaraj among the Hindus themselves for managing their endowments. This can be done very easily, I think. If we require a central body for advice, and for giving directions let it be a body elected by the electors."

- The hon, the President:—"Order, order. I am afraid the hon Member is outlining the elements of a new Bill. May I ask him to confine himself to the Bill before the House?"
- \*Mr. J. A. Saldanha:—"I am outlining a new Bill, for by outlining a new Bill, I am pointing out that this Bill is wrong altogether. This Bill ought not to be passed by this House. There should be another Bill. The skeleton might remain, but I would change the flesh and blood and the spirit of it entirely. If I had time for it, but there is very little time for it, I am afraid, I would prepare a Bill more or less keeping the wording of the present Bill but change it in flesh and blood and animate it with a higher spirit that must keep the organization on an autonomous basis for looking after great Hindu religion. Neither this Legislative body nor the Ministers should have anything to do with the details of the administration of temple properties and endowments, except in enabling the Hindu religious bodies to look after their institutions, give them a legal or a statutory saiction and to establish them on an elective basis. You cannot go and interfere with them as the Mexican Government does with the religious endowments of Catholics in their country.
- "This Bill, I censider, is of a confiscatory character which interferes with the endowments of a religion to which all of us do not belong. But, I speak for a general principle. My opposition to the Bill is based on a cardinal constitutional principle. I speak for a principle for which our Church has fought for nearly twenty centuries. What applies to us, Sir, I think, should apply to the Hindus, to the great body or bodies of the Hindus in this country. Surely, the Hindu religion must contain fundamental principles for the administration of their religious endowments. There are principles, which, if I had time, I would quote word for word from the Daarma Shastras. We should find an autonomous body, a swaraj, from the Hindus themselves."
- \* The hon, the Raja of Panagar :-- "I should like to know what my hon, friend is going to quote from."
- \* Mr. J. A. Saldanha.—"I can quote from the Dharma Shastras themselves. Unfortunately our library contains only English books. You want to carry the Bill in a hurry. I know there are authorities and can find them. It is only, ignorance on the part of our Chief Minister of his own religious laws that has made him bring a Bill of this character, which is interfering with the religious autonomy of his own religion. My principle is this, Sir; no autorasy of the civil power but autonomy of the religious organization to which they belong. That is the formula on which this Bill must be amended.
- "There has been no time to go through the sections of this Bill. I, therefore, say that with the ample power in the Civil Procedure Code and

25th August 1926] [Mr. J. A. Saldanha]

in Act XIV of 1920, if this Council is called upon to establish an organization to look after the Hindu religious endowments, it should be of an autonomous character, and not of this autocratic character. That is my formula. I think the House will see that the principle underlying this Bill is of a character which this House cannot and ought not to sanction. With all respect we ought to have for our religion and that of every one, I think we should condemn this Bill. If no Muhammadan or Christian could stand the principle of this Bill, how can a Hindu?

"My suspicion is that if you can pass the Hindu Religious Endowments Bill to-day, there will come a time when you will bring a Bill for the Christian endowments (A voice: 'Hear, hear.') That is the way the State has been interfering in France, in Italy and elsewhere with religious endowments. That is the way the State interferes in Mexico with religious autonomy, and our Catholios are fighting there against such interference. If I stand against this Bill, I am sure therefore it is on a fundamental principle of independence of religion, and I hope I shall not be misunderstood by my co-religionists. Because I am a Christian, I have not ceased to be an Indian. What I respect in my own religion, I respect in principle in other religions. I hope I stand for the principle, and the principle for which I stand will be accepted by this hon. House."

\* Mr. S. ARPUDASWAMI UDAYAR:—" Mr. President, it was not my intention to take part in this debate. But a few remarks that have fallen from the hon. Member from South Kanara make it necessary that I should say a few words on this subject.

"The hon. Member said that in the Christian church, in the Roman Catholic church, there is a perfect organization, that the canon law is the customary law, the law recognized and enforced by the church, that the bishop is the custodian and administrator of all ecclesiastical property and answerable to the sovereign pontiff as to the way in which the income and the revenue of that property is utilized. \*In other words, as he himself admits, there is an organization, and because there is an organization, there is no justification whatever for Government interference in regard to Christian endowments. The Government are pledged to observe neutrality. They are not obliged to interfere in religious matters, they never do, nor will they ever do, nor can they be said to interfere in religious matters here, because they co-operate with the majority in this Council in passing a measure of this nature. My friend, the hon. Member from the University, himself admitted, in a speech which he made on a former occasion in regard to this very Hindu Religious Endowments Bill, that he was in favour of a Hindu Endowments Bill, but not in favour of this present Bill. There is a demand therefore for legislation. So here the question arises of the lack of organization or of the lack of authority in a certain body, authority, perhaps, latent but not operative. Hence, there are misunderstandings and large sections of the Hindus, who see that the trust property and endowments are not properly managed or devoted to the ends and purposes for which they were intended, want a legislation. They may not agree with all the points in this particular legislation but they are in favour of legislation. Therefore, Government merely co-operate with this large body and consent to a. measure introduced by it, in order to see that funds, which have been set

[Mr. S. Arpudaswami Udayar] [25th August 1926

apart for religious purposes, are properly managed and are used for the purposes for which they were originally intended. This interference is with trustees and purely financial. This does not mean that Government may bring any measure affecting Indian Christian endowments. In that case, it will be interfering directly in religious matters. There is no authority for Government to do that. They know very well that there is an efficient organization existing in the church, that the churck authorities are sufficiently vigilant, and the moment the property is badly handled cr mismanaged, those church authorities are promptly dealt with, are liable to the most severe ecclesiastical censures such as excommunication and other severe measures. Should this Council or Government bring any legislation of that nature which should interfere with religion, certainly there will be occasion for not only my hon. Friend from South Kanara but for every member professing Christian religion in this Council to stoutly oppose that measure. and even go to the length of sacrificing, as my hon. Friend said, his life for But no such case arises, nor can ever arise, and therefore, I think, my hon, Friend is labouring under an illusion."

Rao Bahadur A. S. Keishna Rao Pantulu:—"This House must, indeed, be grateful to the hon. Member from South Kanara for his having placed before this House clearly some of the issues underlying this Bill. If any one in this House had any doubts about some of the features of this Bill, those doubts must have been dispelled after hearing the remarks of the hon. Member Mr. S. Arpudaswami Udyar. He has told us that because in the Christian church there is a well-recognized and well-organized institution, and because the Government are pledged to a policy of strict neutrality, any attempt made by the Government or this House to legislate so as to interfere with any of the institutions would be stoutly opposed and persons will be even prepared to shed their blood.

"Sir, may I point out that if this aspect of the question affecting the feelings and sentiments of a large number of persons professing the Hindu religion had been kept in view and if persons of other religions had kept themselves neutral, it would have been much fairer. It may be that so far as the Government are concerned, apart from the religion which the several Members of the Government profess, there are conventions requiring them to vote in a particular manner. Regarding others, I think that it would have been much fairer if this question had been left for consideration by the Hindu Members themselves: Other hon. Members are perfectly entitled to vote; it is not a question of their right to vote. I cannot congratulate the hon. Minister upon the attitude which he has adopted in dealing with this Bill at the end of the sitting. What do the figures of voting indicate? They are 30 against 52.

"I understand that so far as the elected Hindu Members are concerned, 26, hon. Members voted for the motion and 24 against it. I mention it to show that though the vote of this House was in favour of the action of the overnment—even the hon. the Minister thought that sufficient consideration should be shown to the feelings of hon. Members who are in a minority but who are a respectable minority representing as they do a large portion of the elected Members of the Hindu religion—he should have considered it twice hefore he thought it fit to proceed with this Bill through all its stages

8 p.m.

# 25th August 1926] [Mr. A. S. Krishna Rao Pantulu]

at this session of this House. Since he has chosen to adopt the other course of rushing this Bill, I felt that I should have no hesitation in oppposing the introduction of this Bill, whatever might have been my views on a former occasion. When the Bill was introduced in December 1922, as I am reminded by the hon. Member from Chingleput, I began by saving that I rise to support the introduction of the Bill and the main provisions which. it embodied. No one in this House would advecate that there was no scope for the reformation of these institutions; nobody would state that there are no evils to be remedied. But the question for consideration will be 'What is the act which would really solve the problems involved, in a satisfactory manner?' We have been told just now by our hon. Friend Mr. Arputhaswami Udayar that where institutions were well managed and conducted, there ought to be no interference so far as these institutions were concerned. Temples, maths and other institutions coming within the scope of this Bill are so many in number and there has been no enquiry in any regular organized manner with regard to the history of each one of these insti-They say that all these institutions are ill-managed; let us clutch jurisdiction for dealing with the institutions. As was done when similar Bills were passed in England, if enquiries had been made regarding the history of the various institutions and if it was found that there was a clear case of mismanagement to remedy which some legislation was necessary, and steps were taken to that end, it would have been a different thing altogether. Without a regular enquiry to find out what institutions are well managed and what institutions are not, and whether interference is necessary or not, to have a legislation like the one suggested so as to give jurisdiction to various bodies to deal with all of them in this manner is, I think, not a proper step to be taken. If, notwithstanding the fact that I feel that there are some provisions in this Bill which require consideration and that this Bill should be proceeded with through its different stages, I have decided that I should oppose the introduction of this Bill, it is because of the long history of this Bill from the stage when it was first introduced. It will be within the recollection of the House that the Select Committee introduced several radical changes which were not in the original Bill. The provisions relating to the Board of Commissioners were introduced by the Select Committee. So far as maths were concerned, provisions were introduced at that stage. I do not wish at this stage to go in detail to the various provisions. Suffice it to point out that after the Bill was introduced, various amendments were made by the Select Committee and the hon. Minister, with the majority in this House of which he is proud, was able to carry these and other amendments introduced at the later stages of the Bill. Subsequently, we find that there was a discussion which took place in this House when a message was received from the Governor and when there was a further opportunity of discussing further amendments, and the Bill was passed into law. It is in view of these various circumstances which took place since then that I thought it was better to review the position I took up on a former occasion.

"Another point for consideration is this. The Board of Commissioners have been doing work for the last one year and when there is an opportunity for us to consider whether the Bill has worked satisfactorily or not, is it not reasonable for us to ask whether any account of the work done by the

# [Mr. A. S. Krishna Rao Pantulu] [25th August 1926

Commissioners has been placed before the House for consideration? Are we in a position to find out what work they have been doing and what difficulties and obstacles they have encountered,? We have nothing of that sort. It is true that the President of the Board of Commissioners has been appointed as an expert Member. 'It may be that in course of time we will have his valuable advice during the further stages of this Bill. But we are entitled to ask for the account of the work done by the Board of Commissioners during this period and to pender over it before we are asked to give our assent to the provisions of this Bill. If it had been possible for us to have before us the reports not merely of the Board of Commissioners but also of the other subordinate hierarchy of officials, Inspectors and others who have been going from village to village, from place to place and inspecting some of these institutions, we will be in a position to obtain information regarding them, and our views regarding the provisions of this Bill might be entirely different. We have not got the benefit of that. Even in the Statement of Objects and Reasons there is no information whether the Commissioners encountered any difficulties. There was no reference to that fact even in the speech of the hon. Mover. Now, to say that we should re-enact the provisions communicated by the Government of India without a knowledge how the Act has been working and what has been done during the interim period is, I think, very unreasonable.

"Having stated so far regarding some general aspects of this Bill, may I ask whether it is fair that we should not have additional time to consider the provisions and to find out whether it is possible to come to a satisfactory understanding regarding those questions; or, are we going to be taking votes and divisions, we know with what result? Even now it is not too late, if the hon. Minister is really satisfied that there is wide difference of opinion regarding the main principles of this Bill, to see if he cannot further wait and take the opinion of the country during the next few months. The hon. the Minister in introducing this Bill referred to the history of this measure and referred to the various committees appointed during the previous four or five decades; he finally referred to the latest committee, of which the hon, the Raja of Ramnad was Chairman, as a result of whose deliberations this Bill was introduced. I may point out to this House that the Chairman of that committee whose deliberations were responsible for the introduction of this Bill made observations on the motion in this House on 2nd April 1924 that the consideration of the message of His Excellency the Governor do stand adjourned to a date in March 1925. While supporting that motion, he made these remarks which I would read to this House so that it would at least be clear as to the impression created in the country even after the Bill was passed into law subject to further steps being taken-

'In the case of maradhipathis, as against three courts to which they were subjected, they are now going to be subjected to four courts, the Board, the court of first instance, the High Court and the Privy Council. Is that an advantage, I ask? Then, again, Sir, the hon, the flajs of Panagal was claiming for his Bill that it would prevent costly litigation. Does he still say that because the matter is not going to rest with these courts, now, but with four courts, it is going to prevent costly litigation?

I personally think, Sir, that the Bill contains very many good provisions and that those provisions must be passed into law and come into force very soon. I have personal experience of the working of several devasthanams, being myself a member of the committees of more than one devasthanam and a hereditary trustee of a large number of devasthanams. I have stated my conclusions very clearly in the earlier stages of the Bill.

# 25th August 1926] [Mr. A. S. Krishna Rao Pantulu]

"One who entertained such views and who was the Chairman of the committee whose labours led to the introduction of this Bill has put it so. He then goes on to state as follows:

But somehow there is the fact that public opinion is unanimous that this Bill is not to their advantage; there is no denying that fact. It may be that the vested interests are rebellious, or it may be that people are ignorant of the provisions of the Bill, or it may even be that they really apprehend some danger which the Bill does not provide for. Profably some of my Brahman friends think, Sir, that it will spell their extinction, or it may be that some of the orthodox Brahmans fear that they may be made non-Brahmans. Whatever may be the merits or the demerits of the Bill, there is no denying the fact that it has evoked a universal opposition from all quarters. Are we to pass a Bill which has such unanimous opposition? That is my point.

"The hon. Member himself has been managing several devasthanams and has had better experience of them. He thought that some remedial measures were necessary and he acted as the Chairman of the committee which led to the introduction of this Bill. He expressed that there was universal opposition to it. Now, I ask Sir, if there is such a feeling, is it not a case in which the hon. Minister should certainly wait, collect public opinion on the eve of the next elections and fortify himself by the decision of the people before he rushes to legislation which cannot be denied to have opposition from various quarters? This is a matter affecting the religious sentiments of the people and there is difference of opinion. The minority have expressed themselves against it and without making attempts such as are necessary for the purpose of allaying the rumour in this respect, I think it is not reasonable for the hon. Minister to take the present step.

"Having stated generally my objections to the Bill, I wish to refer to three or four portions of this Bill. In the first place, I would point out that there ought to be no difficulty in excluding maths from the operation of this Bill. The hon. Mover referred to Sir T. Muttuswami Ayyar's Bill in the course of his remarks. May I point out that that committee while sending up its report . . ."

\*The hon, the Raja of Panagal.:—" May I know if the hon, Member is referring to Sir T. Muttuswami Ayyar's first or second Bill?"

Rao Bahadur A. S. Krishna Rao Pantulu:—"I am referring to his Bill of 1893. The report says:

One way in which we have endeavoured to limit the spope and application of the proposed Act is by placing matama outside the field of its operations. It may be stated here that matama were to a greater or less extent brought under control by the fills of 1878 and 1886. The considerations that weighed with us in proposing the exclusion of matama from the operation of the Act are—

(1) That matams are institutions primarily and specially designed for imparting spiritual instruction, not places of public worship in their essential character.

(2) That Regulation VII of 1817 was not applied to them, nor was Act XX of 1863.

Disciples who receive spiritual instruction are the persons directly interested in the welfare of these matams. Such disciples constitute bodies of different sects, and to them belongs the right, as far as such right exists, of securing a proper administration of the funds of the matam. The extent to which a matadhipati can be held to hold in trust the funds of the matam and his amenability to render an account of his stewardship of such funds are points involved in doubt and difficulty. Though the committee is far from being convinces that the manner in which matam funds are administered is such as to render check and supervision unnecessary, it is still strongly of opinion that the wiscat policy is to leave each sect to take ear of its own matams. It seems undesirable to subject matams to the control and serutiny of committees of a general character, with no special sectarian leanings and no motive to pay the heads of matams that deference which their position of high priests makes them feel they are entitled to.

3-15

p.m.

[Mr. A. S. Krishna Rao Pantulu] [25th August 1926

"He gave also other reasons.

"That is the first point to which I wish to draw the attention of this House. I think that if they (maths) are taken from the scope of this Bill, it will remove a volume of opposition to this Bill which has been generally evoked in this country when this Bill was taken up. Another provision to which I wish to make a reference is the provision relating to finance, rather a taxation clause in this Bill.

\* Olause 69.—(1) Every math and temple shall pay annually for meeting the expenses of the Board such contribution not exceeding one and a half per centum of its income as the Board may determine. (2) Every temple other than an excepted temple shall pay annually for meeting the expenses of the committee such contribution not exceeding one and a half per centum of its income as the committee may with the approval of the Board determine. (3) Religious endowments the administration of which is governed by a soltene settled under section 92 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908, shall, notwible anding anything to the contrary contained in such scheme, be liable to pay the contribution under this section.

"It will be remembered that, so far as this provision is concerned, it does not apply only to cases of maths which are not managed properly, it does not apply to cases of maths or temples which on enquiry have been found to neglect their duties; but it applies to all maths and temples, even those which have not got adequate means to properly look after the work entrusted to them, and to those which have been administering their affairs properly without any objection and without any sort of complaint whatever. Such a taxation measure is, I submit, Sir, one of the gravest objections to this Bill. If the Government are so solicitous as to take a keen interest in the management of all these institutions or that they should be managed properly, whether they are working properly or not properly, it might be well for them to consider whether they have sufficient funds or otherwise.

"The taxation of even an institution which has not got sufficient funds to manage its affairs properly is, I think, one of the most objectionable portions of the Bill. I cannot understand the reasons for the provision. It is because they wanted to pass the Bill the Government thought it necessary to have a costly machinery for running the administration of such endowments. That is the real reason for this taxation. The temple committees are doing their respective work in the districts. There is the Board of Commissioners created under the Bill. They have got to make provision for expenditure on that account. For the purpose of defraying the expenses of this costly machinery, this Bill is introduced in the teeth of strong opposition. It was noticed even by the Select Committee at a late stage that it was necessary to introduce provision for taxing these institutions, irrespective of their nature or character, irrespective of the service they render irrespective of the question whether they manage their affairs well or not. This taxation clause is therefore inserted in this Bill in order to introduce a costly machinery for the purpose of managing these affairs and to justify the measures the Government have taken. There are some other objections also. But I do not wish to enter into details as there are further amendments to be considered.

"I wish now to make a reference to another clause of the Bill and that is clause 67. This is how the clause stands:

Application of Endowment Funds.—The Board may, after holding an enquiry in such manner as may be prescribed, by order, declare that the purpose of a religious endowment has from the beginning been, or has subsequently become, impossible of realization or that the

### 25th August 1926] [Mr. A. S. Krishna Rao Pantulu]

machinery for effectuating the original purposes of the endowment has failed or no longer exists, or that after satisfying adequately the purposes of the endowment and after setting apart a sufficient sum for the repair and renovation of the buildings connected with the math or temple or the endowments attached thereto there is a surplus which is not required for such purposes; and may, by such order, direct that the amount of the endowment or such surplus as is deplared to be available, as the case may be, be appropriated to religious, educational or charitable purposes not inconsistent with the objects of such math or temple.

"Later on, there is provision for modification of the order and for the trustee having to file a suit in a court to set aside or modify such an order. While considering this question, Sir, it is necessary to bear in mind the principles which were applied in dealing with similar matters in other countries where such questions crop up. We find that wherever it is found necessary to make provision for diversion of funds in this manner, it was found desirable to obtain the consent of the persons whom these institutions affect or to give a specific reference in the provision itself to the needs of the locality. I find, Sir, that as early as 1840, when the Court of Directors had to consider this question, they expressed themselves as follows:

'We are of opinion that all grants and endowments should be, in the first instance, appropriated if possible to their original purposes; when the funds are more than adequate to that end, instead of allowing them to accumulate without limit, they should be applied to purposes of general utility, taking care that the particular district in which the endowments are situated should derive full benefit from the new appropriation of the surplus.'

"I am placing before this House for consideration the principles which were applied in passing similar measures in other places and on other occasions, but here is a general provision given solely for a specific purpose. That is a different matter altogether. If you take into account the provisions of the Endowed Schools Act of 1869, we find a provision corresponding to this. It is to this effect:

'By section 30, the charity commissioners are empowered with the consent of the governing body by scheme to devote to educational purposes endowments for any of the following purposes, viz., doles in money or kind; narriage portions; redemption of prisoners and captives; relief of poor prisoners for debt; bans; apprenticeship fees; advancement in life; or any purposes which have failed altogether, or have become jusignificant in comparison with the magnitude of the endowment, if originally given to obstitable uses, or before the year 1800.

"I will ask the House to remember the words with the consent of the governing body which are contained in section 30. But the provisions of this Bill are generally vague, indefinite and have even given them descretion without any specific restriction as to the mode in which this amount is to be diverted and without any specific direction on that behalf. The Board is given the power to modify and to institute a suit for the purpose of setting aside that order. The Court may very well say, 'Discretion is vested in the Commissioners and therefore we do not know what view the Court may take.' I think that, so far as this clause is concerned, this is also one of the objectionable portions of this Bill.

"These are some of the main objections, that I wished at this stage to make. There will be occasion for placing before this House some more objections. There are many such grave objections to this Bill and I would ask this House to consider whether it is desirable to allow the introduction of this Bill at this stage when we are going to close our labours of this Council and when we are on the eve of the next elections. If the House is satisfied that there are various clauses which deserve a very careful consideration, I would ask it to pause before rushing it through and to give a next chance for the introduction of this Bill."

[25th August 1926

\* Mr. A. RAMASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—" Mr. President, so much has been said in regard to the introduction of this Bill and so many hon. Members spoke on either side and for such a long time, that I think I should crave your indulgence if I were to take a little more than the usual time allotted. on a matter of this kind. The hon. Member, Mr. Saldanha, was one of those who rushed in where many of us, who believe to be devout Hindus and know a little of Dharma Shastras and have tried to understand something of our own religions, hardly yentured to tread. I do not wish to quarrel with him, because that is the usual temperament which he displays in this House.

"Let us now turn to the political issues that were raised by my hon. Friend representing the University. My hon. Friend said that a political programme is first issued in the King's speech detailing the subjects that were going to be taken up for discussion during the next session of the Parliament. My hon. Friend completely forgets that by no stretch of imagination could it have been possible for the hon, the Chief Minister to have known at the stage when this Council first began its sittings that there was a possibility of doubts being raised on the validity of this measure. It was not an Act; it was passed by the Council, but did not receive the assent of the Governor, and was not sent to the Governor-General. My hon, Friend knows, little of the history of this measure and I am not surprised at his ignorance. I ask him in what manner it could have been possible to prophesy things that have subsequently taken place, to have thought of the difficulties that have been as a matter of fact encountered in the administration of these trusts and to have foreseen that a suit would be launched with regard to the points of doubtful legality with reference to the procedure that was adopted in connexion with this Bill. My hon.

\* Mr. J. A. Saldanha: - "Doubts were raised a year ago."

\*The hon. the PRESIDENT :- "Order, order. I would ask the hon. Member to rise only to a point of order or to a point of personal explanation."

\* Mr. A. RAMASWAMI MUDALIYAR :- "My hon. Friend, the Member for the University, said that most of the Members had not read the Bill, they could not have time to read the Act, though it has been in force for the last one and a half years. Surely, my hon. Friend is doing an injustice to himself. Does he forget the fact that this is a very momentous Bill? The hon. Member for the University will remember the Bill by which he sought to set aside this Bill. He wishes to repeal the very Act that has been put on the Statute book. My hon. Friend sought to introduce a private measure, had given notice of it, I believe it was balloted on one occasion, that he was going to repeal the Hindu Religious Endowments Act, but what on earth are his reasons for the repeal of the Endowments Act, the measure which we seek to re-enact? Are not the issues identical? Are not the members, who are supposed to know the facts with reference to the repealing measure, supposed to know the facts also when a re-enacting measure is brought into force? Did the hon. Member for the University think that when he introduced a repealing measure, every Member of this House would be full to the brim with knowledge regarding the provisions of the Bill and that they would have followed the working of the measure."

## 25th August 10267

- Mr. S. Satyamurti:--" On a point of personal explanation, I did not then intend to rush my Bill at one stage by asking you to suspend the Standing Order. I gave notice of it, and if I got permission of this House it would have been published and referred to a select committee and things would have been considered most carefully and auxiously."
- \* Mr. A. RAMASWAMI MUDALIYAR: "I leave it to you, Sir, to decide the relevancy of this explanation which does not explain anything. My hon. Member says it is a personal explanation. Let him explain his personal explanation if he chooses. Now, Sir, the point is that my hon. Friend says that no Member of this House is thoroughly posted with the provisions of the Act, and that the law requires every citizen to have a knowledge of this Act. My hon. Friend has been doing nothing since October 1923 except going about the country haranguing on a hundred platforms against the Hindu Religious Endowments Bill. Surely, he does not take sufficient credit for himself when he says that the country is not well posted with the measure and he surely does not give sufficient credit for other members, when he feels that we are coming to this Council knowing nothing of this Act and knowing nothing of the provisions of the amending Bill. Friend for Coimbatore introduced an amending measure to exclude from the scope of the Act, the maths. My hon. Friend for Tinnevelly introduced a measure as early as more than a year ago regarding certain amendments and they have now been incorporated in the amending measure. With all these facts before the hon. the Member for the University, it is futile to suggest that either he or those who are sitting with him or that part of the country which is supposed to be furious with agitation over this measure do not know what its provisions were. My friend asks 'why on earth take up the measure on the eve of the elections?' The hon, the Chief Minister has already explained this. If there is any inconvenience at all, if there is any danger and if there is anybody who is damnified by introducing a measure of this vital importance, which according to my hon. Friend is so keenly controversial in nature it is the sponsors of the Bill, who have decided to support this measure and not my hon. Friend. We are giving you a priceless advantage on this occasion and we are putting on the Stattute Book a measure which is so unpopular, so opposed to public opinion, so opposed to all canons of Hindu law and the rest of it that we are bound to suffer at the coming elections which is not very far off.

"My hon. Friend on another momentous occasion quoted the Ides of March. I do not know what the corresponding day is; but November is fast approaching and my hon. Friend can take this measure before the country as he is going to do. I say that he, as a publicity officer for his party, will find this as one of the invaluable materials for him regarding which to issue pamphlets ..."

\* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI:—" What about the nominated Members, the official Members?"

\*Mr. A. RAMASWAMI MUDALIYAR:—" He will point out, Sir, that the nominated Members and the official Members voted with the party and the measure was placed on the Statute Book. But, Sir, we are prepared to face the country with this Bill passed by this Council. We take the risk, as I said, and we allow every fair chance to our friends on the other side, to

3-30 p.m. [Mr. A. Ramaswami Mudaliyar] [25th August 1926

make what use they like, to exploit as best as they can this measure at the coming elections. I know how some of them exploited this source on the last occasion in my district. When I was standing for the last election, a pamphlet was issued a day before the election and circulated by three motor-cars—I know who sent these motor-cars—and in the pamphlet it was stated that Mr. Ramaswami Mulaliyar voted for a measure by which the temple funds were going to be utilized for the purpose of a drainage scheme for the City of Madras. Misrepresentation cannot go further and a grosser case of misrepresentation, I have not come across. Those invaluable chances are still open to those who are prepared to issue anonymous pauphlets in connexion with the coming elections.

"Sir, my hon. Friend, Mr. Saldanha, I am sorry I have yet to do something to do with him, speaks about this measure . . . . "

Mr. J. A. Saldanha :-- "I am also sorry."

- \* Mr. A. RAMASWAMI MUDALIVAR: "Yes. I am sorry because of his ignorance in this matter. He speaks of this as a great Governmental measure interfering with religion. My hon. Friend, I am perfectly certain on this occasion, does not know even the elements about the history of this question. He does not know how these institutions have been conducted in the past. He does not know the history of the last 15 years, at least with reference to these religious institutions. And for his delectation, if not for his knowledge and information. I should like to place the history of this question before this House. With reference to these religious institutions, any body who has gone through the history will realize that it has been the solemn duty of every Hindu Raja -- and it is still so in every Native State -- to look after the temples That duty was cast on them from time immemorial. It has its origin in the Dharma Sastras which my friend can so eloquently quote if he has got the chance of quoting. Those who have followed the history of the temples will know that the Hindu Rajas at any rate looked after these temples and later on, dynasty after dynasty of foreign rulers thought it was their kingly duty and their privilege—not merely privilege but their absolute duty—to look after the temples. We hear a good deal about the Muhammadan rulers of those days and a host of others. In the case of the Muhammadan rulers, with rare exceptions, who forgot the very tenets of their religion just to wreak their vengeance upon a foreign aggressor, even in those days the Muhammadan rulers were conspicuous for the part they played in regard to the Hindu temples For instance, Tippu Sultan was one of the greatest of such rolers; but to this day you can see the standing monument in his fort, in his very capital of Srirangapatam, where facing the Daria Daulat there is a Hindu temple where the presiding deity, God Sri Ranganatha, is being worshipped to this day."
  - \* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI:-" But did he manage that temple himself?"
- \*Mr. A. Ramaswam Mudalivar:—"Yes, he managed it and most efficiently managed it through those agents of his, just as any Hindu king or any king of My-ore would have done in those days. My friend should know a little of the history of the Mysore State if he wants to know how Tippu Sultan managed that temple. And when the British East India Company came there, they found it was their privilege and their duty to

25th August 1926] [Mr. A. Ramas wami Mudaliyar]

look after those temples. From the year 1775 up to the year 1842 they took hold of any temple whenever they found there was mismanagement. In Southern India, at any rate, most of the Hindu temples were in the hands of the East India Company and the East India Company were managing all those temples through their officers, the tahsildars and the revenue inspectors. They did not go to the temples themselves, though the orthodox Hindu of these days allow them to go into the temples unlike the orthodox Hindu of that day who would not allow them into the temples, because they were foreign. That is not the way in which they understood respect of orthodoxy in those days. The East India Company managed for them through Hindu Dharmakarthas and through their Hindu officers. In 1817, they passed a special regulation, the Regulation VII of 1817, by which they wanted to regulate more effectively the management of these institutions and a change came about only because Hindu sentiment was for it. I beg my Friend Mr. Saldanha to look at the history of these temples. There is a beautiful book called 'Dissociation of idols and forms of worship,' a book of about 500 pages. My friend would not read the Dharma Sastras, but I dare say he will understand a little if he will but read this particular book. My Friend, Mr. Saldanha, will then know more to the point as to how the Hindu temples were managed in those days. Then an agitation arose, not from the orthodox Hindus or social reformers, but from Christian Missionaries who were interested in proselytising and said that their capacity to get converts was poor so long as a foreign Government, a Christian Government, was associated with managing and reinvigorating the Hindu temples. What, after all, has been the secret of the success of Hinduism which has withstood a series of dynastic invasions when wave after wave of dynasties came to rule over us? I venture to think it is not your Vedas, it is not your puranas, it is not all that old system of Hindu philosophy which we are never tired of praising, and justly too, but it is the temples in the land, the temples managed by the foreign Government which maintained Hinduism when there were then living thousands and thousands of illiterate Hindus who never looked at the Vedas and never knew what philosophy meant. Therefore, Sir, those temples were maintained by the Government and the Christian Missionaries agitated in this country against the action of a British Government, a Christian Government, in encouraging idolatrous forms of worship. They, however, did not succeed in this country. The Governor-General in those days was against it, the Local Governors were against it and the Civilians of those days, the old Civilians who were nominated to the Indian Civil Services, almost to a man, Collector after Collector, the Collector of Chingleput, the Collector of Trichinopoly, the Collector of South Arcot, the Collector of North Arcot and the Collector of South Kanara, of which we are going to hear so much from Mr. Saldanha later, all these gentlemen were against the idea of the covernment dissociating themselves from the management of these temples. They said it was the supreme duty of the Government, it was incumbent on them as the supreme rulers of the land, to see that their connexion was not severed. Then, the Christian Missionaries carried their agitation into the floor of the House of Commous where they could not have a Hindu opinion against them, where they were not even opposed by the Collectors who were associated with the daily life of the people and who knew the troubles which these temples would

[Mr. A. Ramaswami Mudaliyar] [25th August 1926

come to if the disconnexion was given effect to. And in Parliament the Secretary of State who was bound to carry out the opinion of the majority there, even as the Ministers here are bound to carry out the opinion of the majority, came down on the East India Company, on the Board of Directors and the Governor-General and said that by the 1st of December 1842 the Government must disconnect themselves with every single Hindu temple or Muhammadan temple with which they were connected for a long time. That is the history, Sir, of how the British Government came to be disconnected with these temples. My friend does not know a bit of that."

\* Mr. J. A. Saldanha: "I know that." (Laughter.)

\* Mr. A. RAMASWAMI MUDALIYAR :- "Perhaps he knows it now, after I have told him. In 1842, the Government went about offering men, whoever they might be, every Tom, Dick and Harry, and whoever came in, the management of these temples. I shall show several instances where the Government had approached people to be trustees and when anybody asked to be excused, they gave the trusteeship to whomsoever they liked. When Government published that conclusion, a cry came up from the Jeer of Tirupati, the Thathacharya of Conjeeveram, the Thirthakar of Srirangam and other orthodox people of most undoubted character for whom even the mover must have the greatest of respect. But the order did come from the headquarters, from England. There was no question of the 'man on the spot' theory propounded in those days, and therefore the Government had no other alternative but to give up all convexion with those temples. For 20 years, it went on like this and then even the British Government felt that the things were going from bad to worse, that there was no control, no sort of scrutiny, that temple funds were being squandered and wasted; and in 1863 they came with the Endowment Act XX of 1863. Within seven years of the passing of the Act, men began to say that the 1863 Act was a farce, that it was an unworkable thing and that no guarantee could be given to any of the temples in the land. The most orthodox of men came forward then, My hon. Friends know the hon. Mr. V. Rama Avvangar's Bill of 1871 and subsequently for every ten years at least there was a committee appointed to consider this question. It was succeeded by Robinson's Bill which was itself later succeeded by Sullivan's Bill. That, in its turn, was succeeded by Sir T. Muttuswami Avyar's second Bill. In previous Bills, the very same doubt was expressed as has been expressed in this Bill, that is, that these temples have to be brought under control. My hon. Friend knows that in previous measures, at least Sir T. Muttuswami Ayyar's and Robinson's Bills, this very point was raised as to whether maths should be brought under control. As regards the later measure, the second Bill of Sir T. Muttuswami Ayyar, from which an extract was read, there again the Government of India came in the way. They thought that being a British Government, being a foreign Government, a Government which did not understand the Hindu sentiments, a Government which was easily unnerved at the cry that the religion was in danger-a little boy had only to cry 'boo, religion is in danger' in those days, and the history of the greased cartridges was fresh in their minds—they should lay an embargo on the measure that maths should be included and said to the Local Government 'Please see that its scope is as narrow as possible'. Fortunately, Sir, we are

25th August 1926] [Mr. A. Ramaswami Mudaliyar]

living in better days, we are living at a time when we can safely take such measures in our own hands and have them passed by the elected voice of the people themselves, passed through one of our own elected Ministers, and therefore the Government, that portion of it which was nervous need not be nervous any more.

"It was asked 'how is it that a Bill is being brought to day '? My hon. Friend has forgotten the whole history of this Bill. It is not that we are trying to enact a measure anew. My hon. Friend wants it to be placed before the country. He says it is a new Bill; but it is not, it is not one on which the country has not expressed an opinion nor had any opportunity of discussing the details. My hon. Friend wants this Bill to be published, certainly for opinion; but what is there in an Act which has been passed by the Council, what is there that they do not know on this subject? It is the same thing that has been going on for a long time; a select committee sat at which evidence was taken, at which responsible gentlemen from all parties came and gave evidence, and I think the whole country has been discussing this subject. I submit it is a futile suggestion to make now that it should be placed before the public again.

"My hon. Friend goes into the details of the Bill and when we go into the details, I shall have many arguments ready for him. He however refers to one detail. Sir, I have heard a great deal, Sir, said of the Cypres doctrine. My hon, Friend seems to forget that after all the Cypres doctrine is not one of those things that are quoted in the Dharma Sastras as my hon, Friend has discovered, but it is a doctrine which has been propounded by English Courts of Law, who knew nothing of the Hindu customs and manners. Sir, in every Bill that was passed before this time, this very question of application of surplus funds has found a place. I ask you again, Sir, whatever may be the opinion now, has Hindu opinion been so unanimous after all against the doctrine of Cypres and its application? What is the hon. Mr. Seshagiri Ayyar's view? Before I go to that. may I draw my hon. Friend's attention to the Tirupati case which went up to the Privy Council? On both sides (very eminent lawyers and barristers were engaged: on one side was Sir V. Bashyam Ayyangar, the late Mr. Krishnaswami Ayyar and several other men of eminence in the legal line; and on the other side there were equally brilliant lawyers. On the presiding Bench was that great Hindu jurist, Sir Subrahmanya Ayyar. The question came up as to how surplus funds of the great Tirupati temple were to be applied, and the scheme that they proposed in those days, in 1905. and the fate of that scheme will show what the Hindu opinion of those days was and I am trying to tell you that all this exploitation of the Cypres doctrine to-day will not hold water because Hindu opinion has been against it. In the course of the judgment, Sir Subrahmanya Ayyar says:

Proceeding on the cypres principle, the following are the objects on which both sides '-

<sup>&</sup>quot;I have tried to tell you who the both sides were-

<sup>&#</sup>x27; are agreed that the surplus funds may be appropriately spent '.

<sup>&</sup>quot;They then quote examples:

<sup>&#</sup>x27;1. The establishment of a college in Lower Tirupati for the promotion among Hindus of a knowledg; of the Hindu religion and sastras, such college to be styled, "The Sri Venantes wara Vidyasala" with a library attached and with suitable buildings inclusive of residential

#### Mr. A. Ramaswami Mudaliyar] 725th August 1926

quarters for the teaching staff as well as hostel accommodation for students who may be permitted to remain in the premises of the college, the annual expenditure in the upkeep of the college . not exceeding, until further orders, the sum of twenty-four thousand rupees.

- 42 The distribution of prizes annually to persons possessing proficiency in the one or other of the various Hindu Sattas, to an extent, until further orders, not exceeding supers dwelve thousand. Rules for the minagement of the college and the award of prizes shall be made by the trust es from time to time subject to the approval of the District Court.
  - ' S. The foundation and maintenance of a hospital on the hill for the relief of the numerous pilgrims and worshippers visiting the place.
  - '4 The construction and maintenance of a choultry or rest-house in the same place for the use and accommodation of all classes of pilgrims visiting the shrine.
    - ' 5. The introduction of good water-supply on the hill.

3-45

'6. The improvement of the road communications to the shrine.'

"These were the objects, Mr. President, which a real Hindu Judge did p.m. not feel inconsistent with the institutions either according to the tenets of religion or according to the principles regarding the Hindu religion which my hon. Friend from South Kanara expounded. These were the objects that both the sides felt were not alien to the endowment. Subsequently, of course, in England, in that far off-land, in the Privy Council, when the case came up undefended, the other side not being represented, four European Judges, ably assisted no doubt by another European barrister, none of whom had 'ever seen India or known anything about the Hindu religion, stated that the whole scheme should be scrapped. What happened later? A few schools had been established, schools not of the description which was given there, not Hindu Patasalas, not Hindu Universities, not schools which encourage Hindu Sastras but a school in Vellore and a school in Tirupati, the type of schools which a 'Satanic' Government is pleased to encourage. For these schools a Bill was passed by the preceding Legislative Council, sponsored by Mr. T.V. Seshagiri Ayyar, the ther. High Court Judge, sponsored by Mr. V. S. Srinivasa Sastri, now the Right Honourable Member of the Privy Council, sponsored by Mr. L. A. Govindaraghava Ayyar, sponsored by all the Hindu orthodox opinion of the day and tacitly proposed, perhaps overtly accepted by my hon. Friend from Nellore, because I know in those days also he was as elequent as he is to-day. (Laughter.) The Bill was passed for those schools by this very Legislative Council, Sir, after doubts had been thrown on the validity of spending sums from temple funds. Those doubts were set at rest by an Act of this Legislature invalidating the Tirupati Derastanam' School at Vellere. opinion which my hon. Friend says is now represented here? Now my hon. Friend finally winds up by saying not depend upon your majority'. My hon. Friend in one breath talks of democracies and in the other says that it is incompatible for him to suggest that the majority should not have their way. I fail to understand where democracy comes in and where it steps, out. In considering the case with reference to my hon. Friend, so long as the Legislature or any particular body accepts his views in the matter, democracy is safe but so long as it differs from him democracy is in danger if it has not been buried five fathoms deep-to use the phrase which my hon. Friend used in another place . . . (Mr. S. Satyamurti: I used that phrase while referring to the Justice Party). My hon. Friend has bitter re-

collections of the recent past that I do not wish to refer to that phrase again.

# 25th August 1926] [Mr. A. Ramaswami Mudaliyar]

"I have only one word to say, Sir, and I have done. I know that it would have been a simpler method, it would have been a more easy task, if following the lines of least resistance, my hon. Friend, the leader of my party, the hon, the Chief Minister had allowed things to drift, had not introduced this measure to-day, had not taken the pains to put us all to that severe discipline of attending the Council, at a time when we are busy with. the work elsewhere and taking part in the Legislative Council meeting. But, Sir, there are people who rise above personal inconveniences and my friend, I do not wish to say, more especially in his presence, is one of those who feels that if he is convinced that a certain thing has to be done it must be done. My hon. Friend there took courage in his hands to come forward to this Legislative Council, placed the Bill before you and asked you to pass it through saying that the very administration is in danger, that temples will not be properly looked after if this sort of things should continue. What is the present position, Mr. President? The legality of this Act is being questioned in courts of law. It is a new Act which we are for the first time trying to enforce. Parties are non-co-operating with the Eudowments Board. They raise the excuse that things are in a state of chaos with reference to the legal position. The old Act has been annulled, you cannot go back to the old provisions. The new Act is in a state of suspended animation and what is the position of the Hindu temples, what is the position of the Minister and of the duties that he has to perform as a member in charge of the Religious Endowment Bill? Is he to suggest that it has become a failure? When the Government apparently advanced monies to the Hindu Religious Endowment Board and when the Finance Member pulls the strings of the purse a little more tight, shoul i he allow the temples to go to rack and ruin? Is that the position which my friend suggests? My hon. Friend let the cat out of the bag at a very inconvenient moment. He said he should be prepared to see this position, that the hon. Member should not bring in this Bill until the High Court had given its decision, and if the decision was against the Board, until the Privy Council's decision had been obtained. The hon the Raja of Panagal has already said there is nothing which militates against to e doctrine, that matters sub judice should not be discussed on the floor of this House. My hon. Friend the Raja of Panagal quite legitimately stated that commissions were being sought to be applied for and probably given, by which witnesses far away would have to be examined. What will be the length of time taken in these commissions being sent out, in these commissions being returned? Apart from the cost involved what would be the delay-two years, perhaps three years? My hon. Friend on other occasions will say that one or two years is a great delay. May I ask him what is the position of these temples and maths? If, after two or three years, you have the High Court decision that the law is invalid, is not the Minister in charge of this Endowment Bill, unless he thinks that the whole Act should be repealed, bound to take up the position that at least after the lapse of those two or three years he should come again with a re-enactment to this Council? What is the position of the Minister? Is he to wait for these two or three years and say that the Board costs additional expenses and that the temple trutsees squander money in any way then like and that they were exactly in the place where we are to-day on the 25th of August 1926? That is the sort of administrative. efficiency which my friend with his large ideals of Swaraj tries to import into

[Mr. A. Ramaswami Mudaliyar] [25th August 1926

the discussion. We, Sir, are not such advanced politicians. (Hear hear.) We are content to take up the position that everyday a man has to take up what he considers as his duty. Therefore, it is in that spirit that this Bill has been brought forward and I appeal to every section of the House to throw prejudice aside and try to pass this measure unanimously, if possible."

"Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :--" Mr. President, Sir, the motion of my hon. Friend the Chief Minister is that this Bill be read in Council. This is the stage, Sir, at which this House has got to deal with the main principles of the Bill and state its objections thereto. Before I do so, I want to remove one possible misapprehension. I was not a party to the Hindu Religious Endowment Board Act as it was passed in 1923. This House has every right when the Bill comes before it for the first time, to examine it on its merits, and offer its criticisms thereon, and oppose the introduction of this Bill. It seems to me that the Ministerialists cannot afford to have the cake and eat it too. Either it is a valid Act or it is not. If it is a valid Act, there is no need for this Bill. If it is an invalid Act, this Bill comes before us. It is a Bill and you cannot with one breath say there is no Act, therefore have this Bill and in another say that this Bill, is a mere formality, there was an Act already and therefore go merely through the formal processes. I therefore suggest to every hon. Member of this House to look at this Bill as if it were a measure, consider it on its merits and then vote upon it one way or the other. My first objection to this Bill, Mr. President, is that it violates the well-known principle of religious neutrality, which all modern Governments have observed and must observe. One knows that it was through a painful process of quarrels and wars between States and Churches. Christians and non-Christians, that the modern conception of the State has been evolved when the State as such has nothing to do with the Church. Where the State is a mere secular State and rules over people belonging to different religions, it leaves those religions to follow their own tenets, manage their own institutions and arrange their religious places and customs as they like, and especially in a country like India where you have got at least three great religions, Hinduism, Islam and Christianity, I suggest that it will be a fatal blunder on the part of Government to enact any Bill which goes beyond the minimum required for securing the proper administration of the finances of these institutions. I concede, Mr. President, at the very outset that if this Bill were confined to one or two financial provisions, say, that there should be a budget, that there should be an audit by auditors appointed by Government, and that these and other similar things should be provided for, I for one, would not object to this Bill. But what I do object to, is and I believe, all enlightened public opinion objects to is, the attempt on the part of the secular · (Hovernment to interfere with the details of management of religious institutions. My hon. Friend from Chingleput referred to the fact that the hon, the Chief Minister being a Hindu to-day is proof positive that the foreign Government which was obsessed by the fear of interfering with religion was wrong and that this Government is right. He forgets, and quite rightly forgets, for six years is a long time, that in that place a Christian may sit, a Muhammadan may sit. or an Englishman may sit and is it suggested, that because he is the Chief Minister he ought to have that right and that a Hindu Religious Endowment Bill ought to be enacted by a Hindu Chief Minister, and a

25th August 1926 [Mr. S. Satyamurti]

Muhammadan Religious Endowment Bill by a Muhammadan Chief Minister '? No, Sir, conceptions of the relation of the State and the church are governed not by the caste or the religion to which the members of Government happen, for the time being to belong, but on well-recognized undoubted principles, the most important of which is that it is good neither for the State nor for the church-for the State to interfere with the details of management of the church. That is my first and foremost objection to this On that matter I desire to point to this House the authoritative commitments given by the Government of this country to the people of this country. I need hardly remind this House of the famous Proclamation of Queen Victoria who said: 'We do strictly charge and enjoin on all those who may be in authority under us that they abstain from all interference with the religious belief or worship of any of our subjects on pain of our highest displeasure'. Do you know, Mr. President, that this Bill contains extraordinary provisions, about dittams of temples, how many coconuts should be broken, how many seers of oil are to be used for abhishekam purposes and how much panchamrutham has to be made? Should these be decided by a secular board, which may consist of heterodox people who do not believe in these things, who have a contempt for them? Is that not interfering with the religious beliefs, and the religious forms of worship of Hindus to whom religion is dearer than life?

"Then Sir, we have section 22 of the Imperial Act XX of 1863 which 4 p.m. says:

'It shall not be lawful (after the passing of this Act) for any Government in India, or for any Government in his official character to undertake or resume the superintendence of any land or other property granted for the support of, or otherwise belonging to, any or other religious establishment, mosque, temple . . . . etc.'

"Is it suggested that because we have got a kind of Transferred half, a kind of similurum of self-government called Diarchy, that we can go back upon all those principles and empower officers of Government called Members of the Central Board-they are officers appointed by this Government, subordinate to them and removable by them—to interfere with the internal management of temples and musth properties?

"Then, Sir, coming to much more recent times we have in the famous, report of Mr. Montagu and Lord Chlemsford—I am reading from page 124 of that report—the following:

We have of course no intention of laterfering with questions of a religious nature or bound up with religious beliefs, which it is the duty of Government so far as possible to respect and to leave alone:

"Are these creatures of the very Montagu-Chelmsford Reforms which gave them these powers going to tear to tatters the words of authority of those authors of the Reforms? It is the imperative duty of the Government so far as is possible to leave religious questions and religious beliefs severely alone. On this very matter, when this question was being agitated in this country and when a deputation waited on Lord Reading, the then Viceroy and Governor-General of India, said:

'The Governor-General's duty is not, as you well know, merely to give effect to the views of the majority; a particular exception is in relation to Bills which contain provisions affecting religion or religious rites. And no doubt, the reason is because the policy of the British Government and of the Government of India is not to interfere with religion or with the religious

# [Mr. S. Satyamurti] [25th August 1926

rites, but allow them full liberty to carry on their own religion in their own way according to their own belief. That is, I believe, one of the essential principles of British rule which has caused it to be regarded as a just and liberal administration.

"Concluding the Viceroy said:

'There is the fundamental principle of the British Government in India which the British I arlian ent has taken care should be preserved the freedom of religion from interference. Yet will remember—these are my final words—that the provisions of our constitution make it imperative, as it seems to me, upon the Governor-General to consider not only whether the Bill affects religion but how far it affects elici and what its consequences may involve.

"I may mention in this connexion that under the Reservation of Bills Rules governing our legislative business, the Governor is bound to reserve for the consideration of the Governor-General all Bills 'affecting the religion or religious rites of any class of British subjects in British India'. Unfortunately, the rules are defective, in that it leaves the matter to the Governor, and we cannot take it to a court of law. I am mentioning this to show the particular care that the British Government has taken in order to preserve the doctrine of religious neutrality intact. I ask the Chief Minister and his supporters whether they accept the continuity of policy of the British Government or not. Do they suggest that because this subject is a Transferred one they are free, and they are criticled, to give the go-by to all principles of religious neutrality and say 'they are more scraps of paper and we care a two pence for them 'and drive a coach and pair through them? I want a definite answer from the Chief Minister with regard to those solemn pledges of the Government of India and of the British Government.

"Then, Sir, there is a continual obsession on the part of those who support this Bill against those who eppose it, as if there is no remedy existing at present against mismanagement of trusts and charitable properties. It is a fallary, and I do not know if it is deliberate or otherwise. It certainly betrays ignorance of law. It is not as if even to-day the worshippers of temples are helpless in the matter of correcting mismanagement or breach of trust in the case of any public temples. They have remedies enabling them to go to a court of law. The courts of law have ample powers in that matter. Apart from private parties, my hon. Friend the Advocate General, whom I do not see here, has got power to file suits to compel temple managements to be brought under schemes. I should like to know in what respect this remedy is defective. Why should not the temples be allowed to be conducted subject to the well-known safeguard of action by courts of law at the instance of relators or the Advocate General in this province, by means of which soits may be instituted against the trustees or managers of temples and schemes framed in order to bring the temples and their trustees under proper control? I should like to know from the Chief Minister and his supporters the reason why this remedy is considered defective, and why they want to substitute for the courts of law, their own machinery which is bound to be dominated by the views of the party in power, however eminent the Members of the Board may be otherwise.

"Then, Sir, in this Bill itself, there is a most mischievous provision—and I speak with full authority on it—which has been sought to be used for party advantage already, viz., the right of arbitrary exemption of temples vested in the bands of Government. Fither all these temples want this control, or they do not. If you think this Bill ought to be enacted,

25th August 1926] [Mr. S. Satyamurti]

enact it. Why keep in your hands the sword of Democles which you may hang over various people whom you may reward if they please you, and punish when they are against you, and which you may use by either exempting or not certain temples according to the measure of loyalty of the trustees or managers of these temples to your party? I should like to know the reason for this unjust, arbitrary provision. I should like to ask the expert Member, the President of the Religious Endowments Board, who was an eminent High Court Judge himself, whether he can support this doctrine, viz, that the Local Government which is allied to a political party whose exigencies know no bounds should have this power of exemption of this temple or that temple according to the sweet will and pleasure of the Minister. It is not intended with a view so much to take control over temples and maths but to create a political electoral machinery from Berhampur to Tuticorin, to act as agencies who will get votes for the party, to reward those who work with them and for their candidates and punish people who work against them and their party. It is therefore very clear that it is not in the interest of the temples for which they profess profuse anxiety, but it is rather in the interests of a party which is dying and which wants some prop or other to keep it in life. . . .

"Now, Sir, I very strongly object to a Central salaried Board. I know that in that matter I have the high authority of the hon the Development Minister who as a non-official Member of this House and as a Member of the Select Committee on this Bill wrote a dissenting minute against a Central salaried Board. I have yet to hear from him any reason why he changed his mind, except that he has transferred his seat from another place to the Treasury Bench. I should like to ask his Colleagues for what reasons they want a salaried Board, except that you want thereby to oust the jurisdiction of the civil courts and substitute an agent of your policy, and you want on that Board only people professing Hinduism. You must have people on that Board, if you must have that Board, only people who not merely profess but follow Hinduism. I am sorry I have to refer here to one person, who is present here, viz., to the President of the Endowments Board, who is not an orthodox Hindu but who holds peculiar views."

\* The hon, the RAJA OF PANAGAL:—"On a point of order, Sir. The speaker is indulging in personal remarks against an hon. Member of this House. I think the Member should have the protection of the chair."

\*The hov. the President: - "He is only asking whether one of the members of the Endowments Board is an orthodox Hindu or not, and as the hon. Member concerned is present in the House I am sure he can take care of himself."

\* Diwan Bahadur Sir T. Sadasıva Ayyar: —"I do not want the protection of the chair." (Laughter.)

Mr. S. Satyamuri:—"I have the honour of knowing the President of the Endowments Board. If he holds any views, he is strong enough to express them. He does not hold orthodox views, but he holds peculiar and peculiarly strong beliefs on these matters. Are you going by means of this Board to try and introduce into our religious temples beliefs to which orthodox Hudus may be opposed—they may be right or wrong, they may be reasonable or not? Are you going to allow them to continue under their

# [Mr. S. Satyamurti]

[25th August 1926

old orthodox views, or are you going to allow a secular Government whose composition may vary from day to day to appoint ad hoc committees on which men of orthodox or heterodox views or of no religious beliefs whatever may find a place?

"Then, the powers of the Board are extraordinary. They can settle schemes; they can do anything with reference to the management of the religious institutions; they can deal with cypres application of endowment funds and I will come presently to this matter of cypres application. Before I do so, may I suggest that a mere perusal of the sections of this Bill leaves a bad taste in the mouth of one who believes in courts of justice? There is throughout this Bill a very deliberate and a very conscious suspicion of courts of law. Wherever anything has got to be decided, the Board has got to decide it first. Then you can go to a court and then the court may decide the matter. Why this continual suspicion of courts of law, may I ask? It is not as if you avoid litigation, because you may take it, Mr. President, human nature being what it is, most of the decisions of the Board will be taken to courts, so that you will be multiplying litigation. First of all, the Board gives notice to the parties who appear through pleaders, an elaborate enquiry is held, and the Board comes to a decision. happens to be adverse to the parties concerned, they again go to a court of law. I therefore object very strongly to this ouster of the jurisdiction of the courts. That is a tendency, Mr. President, not only in this country, but in several other countries including England, to constitute ad hoc special tribunals to take the place of regular courts of law. I appeal to this House not to allow this tendency to substitute for the decisions of constituted courts of law the judgments of an ad hoc tribunal appointed by the Executive Government, removable by them and subordinate to their control. We talk continually of the majesty of law in this country, and my friends on that side every morning pray to God for the continuance of law and order maintained by the British Government in this country. Why then make this wanton attack on law and order, which is maintained and which is administered by courts of law? Why do you create this distrust of the courts of law by saying that, so far as you are concerned, you prefer the judgment of your own servants to the judgments of the courts of the land?

"With regard to the doctrine of cypres application, Sir, my hon. Friend from Chingleput, who is probably so tired after his speech that he has gone out, suggested that that cypres doctrine was completely justified because of a judgment of an eminent Judge of the Madras High Court. He waxed eloquent over the supremacy of the Madras High Court. I should like to know from him since which date he began to treat with contempt the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council. Those of us who subscribe to the cypres doctrine do not object to the specific application of specific endowments for specific purposes, if such matters are particularly brought before this House or before courts of law. I do not object if legislation is brought with regard to any particular temple on those lines. To take the Tirapati temple for example, it will be considered on its merits. If anybody sues for the framing of a scheme for a temple, I have no objection. But I object to the very wide, arbitrary power of declaring that so much of temple or math funds are quite enough for their legitimate purposes and that the Board

25th August 1926] [Mr. S. Satyamurti]

can then specify or direct that the remaining funds may be applied to any of the purposes not inconsistent with the original object of the trust or charity. Moreover, Sir, if you look at that section carefully, you will find that it ought to lead to a situation by which in no temple or math there will be funds for the application of the cypres doctrine. If the temples are to be maintained in the manner in which orthodox Hindus love and if all those festivals are to be conducted in the manner in which we believe they will be for our spiritual and religious well-being, I do not think we shall have funds left for any cypres application. But the secular Board can interfere with those things and say 'you must have a school here or a hostel there', which you may perchance ask the Viceroy to open, and then you will say 'Look at the effect of our Endowments Bill. I have built this school here or that hostel there'.

"We say that doctrine of the cypres application should not be applied to our temples or maths because there are purposes connected with these temples or maths for the correct and complete service of which alone funds will be always less than sufficient. But, assuming for the moment that there are funds, why should this Board be given authority to do what it pleases subject of course to an appeal again in a court of law? Again, these are the words not inconsistent with. What do they mean? The Advocate-General or the expert member will kindly explain it. What is the purpose which is not inconsistent with the foundation of our temples or maths? Nothing will be inconsistent: schools, hospitals, music classes, fireworks, they will be able to let them go during the festivals of the Gods. If you teach carpentry, you can have vahanams, etc., constructed. Where is it to stop, this cypres doctrine? It seems to me that if you want this you must say, 'purposes wholly alike or akin to the purposes for which the temples are founded.' But I object to this cypres application altogether.

"Now, so far as the maths are concerned, I entirely agree with my hon. Friend from Nellore who, pleaded for their exclusion from the Act. A good deal of confusion is sought to be created in this Presidency by the suggestion that the opposition to this Bill is purely Brahman and that the non-Brahmans are all united on this matter. The vote on the motion this morning showed the falseness of that suggestion, and the vote on this motion will show it again. Brahman maths are being, one after another, excluded from the operation of this Act. It is the non-Brahman maths which are sought to be brought under the operation of this Act. So, if the Brahmans were merely to sue the Raja of Panagal and ask him to exclude the Brahman maths, I am sure they will succeed. So, the opposition is not based on the Brahman-non-Brahman question as it is continually misrepresented to be in the press and on the platform. It is really an objection to State interference with maths. With regard to maths, Mr. President, I want to tell you one thing. I do not know if the heterodox Hindus and the heterogenous non-Hindus had appreciated it. If I go to my Acharya, the head of the. Kumbakonam math, I must go with bated breath and in whispering humbleness, fall at his feet and stop there until he directs me to take my seat. You may laugh at it but that is the position. All those who come from the Tamil Nadu are in the same position, viz., that with regard to our Acharyas we look upon them as our spiritual heads and gurus. Lobject

4-15 p.m.

### [Mr. S. Satyamurti]

[25th August 1926

very strongly to the proposition that they should be compelled to send an accurate and verified statement of accounts saying: 'I, so and so, swear that this thing is quite correct'. And when he sends it up, my hon. Friend the President of the Board will make an enquiry and correct it and send it back to him. I object strongly to that. I feel it an insult to my religion. My Friend, owing to party exigencies and Brahman-non-Brahman controversy in this province, thinks that the religious susceptibilities of the people are not sufficiently excited over the matter. But let this Act remain in force for some time more and then he will find that the religious conscience of the Hindu public is roused and people will see the inequity of this measure. We are betimes warning this Government of the dangerous steps they are taking in violating what the Hindus consider most sacred—the rights of the heads of the maths, the heads whom they look upon as their spiritual gurus.

"With regard to maths there are extraordinary powers taken, but with regard to them there is a series of judicial decisions. Let us consider the opinion of eminent publicists here and elsewhere. They hold that these maths are not public trusts and that they ought not to come under the control even of courts. Speaking for myself, I am perfectly willing to have financial control. But I object to the supervision by the Board. I object to the cypres doctrine. I object to the continuous, methodous, detailed interference of the secular ad hoc Board in the administration of these great maths. I hope Members of this House will realise the position which we occupy with regard to these maths and not allow the Government to lay very light-heartedly their profane hands on these sacred maths.

"Then, we are told that one of the main objects of this Bill is to prevent litigation. Past experience has shown and let me warn, future experience will show that this hastily drafted, ill-conceived and indecently hastily rushed Bill is going to be the father of much greater litigation than is ever dreamt of. Therefore it is not going to achieve that object. Secondly, may I repeat what I said on another occasion this morning, that social and religious legislation of this kind should not be undertaken by a party on the mere strength of its majority.?

"My hon. Friend from Chingleput waxed elequent a few minutes ago over the rights of the majority. I am willing to accept that challenge. If this House consisted, as it ought to consist, only of eletted members, this morning you would have had to give your casting vote one way or the other as to whether this Bill is to be passed or not. And if you gave the casting vote, I should hope, in favour of keeping the status quo ante, that motion would have been defeated. If, on the other hand, the non-Hindu elected Members of this House were chivalrous enough to stay their hands and did not interfere in a matter which does not concern their religion, this morning you would have found, Mr. President, that by a majority of two this House would have accepted the motion for adjournment. Therefore, there is no use throwing challenges knowing all the time that there is a solid block of votes behind you which knows only to vote as you vote.

"My hon. Friend the Raja of Panagal and his lieutenant the hon. Member from Chingleput told me that they took the risk of the general elections. I would have admired their courage had they added: "we will

### 25th August 1926] [Mr. S. Satyamurti]

either introduce this Bill or keep it back according to the votes of the elected members of this House'. Where does the courage come in otherwise? When in spite of that every one or some of them come back again relying upon the votes of persons whom neither I nor anybody else can replace as they are permanent fixtures, and turn round and say, we will pass this measure, I would only appeal to their chivalry to fight a square and clean fight. I will face them and say: Do not depend on non-elected members and then say 'please take this challenge'.

"My hon. Friend from Chingleput said that they entertained no possibility of doubt about this Bill and therefore they did not bring forward this Bill early enough, I want to refresh his memory. When Lord Willingdon sent this Bill with his recommendation, almost all the points of law raised in these suits were raised on the floor of this House by my humble self and by many others, but they fell on deaf ears. My complaint against the Government is that they were badly advised by their legal advisers or that they did not take their advice. I find that time after time when points of law are raised, they either brush aside the advice of their legal advisers-I hope the hon, the Chief Minister will profit by the experience of the last three years -or they have no competent legal advisers. They should abide by the advice of the legal advisers and not compel them to advise according to political exigencies. I say this that any lawyer, had he been merely asked to advise on this matter, apart from political exigencies, would have advised this Government that this Bill was wrong and was bound to be declared invalid by reason of serious flaws in the procedure in regard to the passing of that Bill and yet, in spite of that we are told that they were not responsible for the delay in bringing this Bill. My bon. Friend from Coimbatore said that Lord Willingdon sent back this Bill and that the Minister was not responsible for it. May I remind this House that the Raja of Panagal said that the Bill was returned by Lord Willingdon with his consent and on his advice? All the amendments which were sent by Lord Willingdon were sent by Lord Willingdon with the advice of the Chief Minister. Therefore that procedural defect was again due either to wrong advice given to the Minister or to his not accepting the right advice given and relying merely upon his party majority.

Sir, my hon. Friend also waxed eloquent over my repealing Bill and said that therefore. I must know the Bill and every body else must know the Bill. I take a more serious view of the responsibilities of hon. Members in this House. On such highly controversial measures I am here not only to give my views ex-cathedra, but also the opinions of those whom I seek to represent. My complaint this morning was and my complaint now is that no sufficient time has been given to the Hindu public affected by this Bill to consider it in all its aspects and to give its opinion on the Bill to this House. There is also another fallacy, Mr. President. To say that a Bill is on the Statute Book and is therefore before the public is a far cry from saying that a Bill is before this House and is going through various stages and the public themselves are invited to be alert and give their suggestions. I appeal to human experience. I ask my friends the lawyers with whom many cases are lying for months together, whether they make a detailed study of all of them as soon as they are received. Is it not the case that only a few days before they are expected to come up in the court that they

### [Mr. S. Satyamurti]

25th August 1926

study them? If they are told, you filed your vakalat two years ago, unless they have the memory of a Ramesam, they may not be able to know the particulars of the case. It seems to me, to say that the Bill has been on the Statute Book and therefore the public are presumed to know all about it, is merely begging the question. My complaint is that after the Bill is brought before the House, sufficient time has not been given to the public as provided for by our own rules and standing orders for the consideration of this Bill in view to the discussion on the floor of the House of the various clauses of that Bill.

"Then his Parthian short was, 'we as a party are determined to go on with this Bill. Go and do your worst. Go to the country and fight us. If I were as irresponsible as he, I would accept that challenge. I believe that we are here not to score mere party advantage, but that all of us are here to do our best according to our humble lights to serve the interests of the people of this Presidency. May I ask the Government whether they are going to allow the strength of the majority to be used to destroy the opposition? They say: We have the power. Go and destroy us in the public eye. You and I are fighting. Are all these temples and maths to be used as pawns on the chess-board of party warfare? It seems to me that the remarks of my hon. Friend are most light-hearted ones and I regret to have to say it in his absence. But I repeat, no responsible Member of this House can answer a serious objection to a serious Bill by saying 'I care a tuppence for your objections. I will go on with this Bill because I have a majority. If you are dissatisfied, go out and fight me.' Then why are we here? We may as well stay outside, watch the proceedings of this House and expose them. We come here to offer our suggestions, our criticisms and our advice, and, although my hon Friend may feel impatient and may not like all these processes and may like to have a three minutes' sitting to have the Bill read, considered and passed into law, everybody singing halfeluajs. But some of us take a more responsible view of the functions of a chamber like this. We believe that there is a place for the Opposition in this Council. We believe that responsible governments are not to pass legislative measures on the strength of a majority party. I want them to consider on their merits the objections of the Opposition. That is why we offer the opposition we are offering to-day.

"I regret to note the cynical and supercitious tone in, which the hon. the Minister spoke on the matter and the obvious acquiescence of the party in the matter. Remember it is a game at which two can play.

"On a historical occasion, your predecessor said 'I cannot take notice of interruptions made by Members sitting in their places'. So, I leave it to you, Mr. President, to say whether interruptions such as these made by hon. Members sitting in their places can be allowed. But Mr. President, I do hope that all sections of the House will consider this Bill on its merits, and will not allow it to be read in Council, but will vote against it, and thus teach the party in power that in a complex piece of legislation like this they must not be too hasty, but that they must take public opinion along with them and also assure the temples, maths and matadhipathis and the vast orthodox Hindu public that this Council is not going to be a party to confiscatory legislation forcing into our temples traditions of the heterodox

4-30 p.m. 25th August 19267

[Mr. S. Satyamurti]

usages and traditions, traditions which are not consistent with the traditious of the British Government or of any decent secular Government, viz., that the State and the Church shall remain apart for all time for the mutual good of both."

Rai Babadur Sir K. VENKATAREDDI NAYUDU:-" Sir, arguments have been advanced just now, arguments which are intended to go to the very root of the Bill, and arguments which were intended to induce this House to reject this Bill which was twice accepted by this House, not merely in the first Legislative Council of the Reforms but also in the second Legislative Council. One would have expected something in the nature of a convincing kind, something new, something appealing which would show that times have changed since the Act was passed. That would have been in order to change the minds of the Legislative Council. But what are we told? What are the arguments that were advanced? The first is of course the red rag which every one of those who have spoken against the Bill and those who have supported them has always shown, namely, the red rag of religion in danger. We are told, very seriously told, that the religious neutrality so solemnly promised to us in the famous Magna Charta, the Queen's Proclamation, has been violated. Forsooth! Violated! How? It has been violated as it was violated when Sati was abolished. There were men in those days who said that religion was in danger, because women were not allowed according to that Act to go to the funeral with their husbands. When again the Widow Remarriage Act was passed, it was said 'religion was in danger' and there were orthodox men in those days like the hon. Member for the University who would throw off their threads, and take off their clothes and cry 'Hindu religion is in danger'. What do you find to-day? You find to-day a few of those happy women who were originally condemned to a life-long misery, have chosen the path of happiness about which, I take it that the hon. Member for the University will not be sorry to-day. Again, Sir, when the Age of Consent Bill was passed quite recently, we heard the same cry from orthodox sections 'religion is in danger', 'religious neutrality has been violated', 'Queen's Proclamation has not been honoured'. How, I ask? These alarms will always be raised and these arguments will always be advanced, let me hope with the same result which they had when Sati was abolished.

"But, Sir, I ask, after all, have we violated any religious neutrality in this Bill? I ask in all seriousness whether hon. Members who put forward these arguments really and honestly believe that there is any question of religious neutrality involved in this Bill. Is it not purely a matter of secular nature? Is it not purely a matter concerning the management of the properties of temples and maths? My hon. Friend the Member for the University referred to the Act of 1863 and drew our attention to a clause therein where they said they would not interfere in actions which were purely religious. Have we not got a similar provision in this Bill? Is it not possible that settions 79 and 80 of the Act have not attracted the attention of the hon. Member for the University? There, you will find that sufficient protection has been given when it came to a question of religion proper. Certainly, religious liberty this Council should not interfere with. But if the hon. Member for the University should consider that employment of dancing girls in temples is also a religious matter, I, for one,

## [Sir K. Venkatareddi Nayudu] [25th August 1926

let me hope every free thinking man in this House, will not believe that. If that he religion, woe be to it. References have been made to certain other things, namely, the manufacture of fire-works, etc., and it was added that this Bill would interfere with Hindu religion. Sir, in this land, Hindu religion is mixed up with so many other matters, social and secular, that, sometimes, at least in foreign circles, we have become a laughing stock. Let not another thing be added to it to-day to show to the world at large that we are considering these secular matters to be part of Hindu religion. Sir, I conceive Hindu religion to be of a superior kind. I place it on a higher plane and would prefer not to mix it with social and secular matters. Let me assure this House that there is not a single provision in this Bill or in the Act which seeks to violate anything which we have accepted as religion.

"The next thing we are told is that we should have waited till the next Council came. We are asked to consult our voters. I admit that a mandate from the voters in our constituencies would unquestionably be a very good thing indeed to proceed on. But may I ask again, have we not had the mandate of the people? When the Bill was passed we know that many pamphlets were issued and was not the agitation as great then as it is to-day? I would only refer the hon. Members of this House to the amount of ink that must have been spent by the numerous newspapers at that time in Madras which (by the by I may say) practically with a single exception opposed the Bill, trumpetted to the electorate that heavens came down because we legislated in this Council the Religious Endowments Act and that the wicked Ministry which was responsible for this legislation had no right to sit in the Council? And yet, in spite of our passing this Act, have we not been returned to this Council? I can assure the hon. Member for the University even if we go to the country we will come here in as strong numbers as we are to-day."

# • Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :--" A pious hope."

Rai Bahadur Sir K. VENKATAREDDI NAYUDU: - "The question of mandate from the electorate comes with some ill-grace from my Friends on the other side. These men when they withdrew from the Council and when they walked out did not care to go to the electorate and consult them. They walked out of the Council, not because they had a mandate from the electorate, but because they had a mandate from a higher authority, i.e., the All-India Congress Committee, which has nothing to do with the electorate. But what is more I ask, who is the electorate? Our masters are the Your masters are the All-India Congress Committee. these members left the Council they never took care to inform their constituencies. Under the mandate policy, had they consulted the electorate perhaps they would not have allowed them to walk out? Now they come to us and say 'you go and consult the electorate'. I ask with whose permission the members on the other side came back to the Council? I say not with the permission of the electorate, but with the permission of an ad hoc body, the All-India Congress Committee. So they have no right to ask us to go to the electorate. We have got every right; we have come into this Council after the passing of this Act.

25th August 1026] [Sir K. Venkatareddi Nayudu]

"Then, again, Sir, the hon. Member for the University was not satisfied with the President of the Religious Endowments Board. When he said that, it fell very harsh in my ears. There were occasions when I differed from that gentleman with regard to his principles, and creed on certain matters. We differed very widely. But I thought he would earn his laurels as well as those of the people of this Presidency when he was placed as the President of the Religious Endowments Board especially adorned as he did once the bench of the highest tribunal in the land. If my hon. Friend the Member for the University is not satisfied with him, I do not know who else can satisfy him. It is impossible for any Minister, even a Swaraj Minister, if ever he happens to be on this side, to find a more honourable man whose integrity, whose sincerity of purpose and whose conscientiousness of judgment can ever be surpassed by any one."

\* Mr. S. Satyamurti :—" On a point of personal explanation. I never questioned the honour or the integrity or the conscientiousness of the President of the Religious Endowments Board."

Rai Bahadur Sir K. Venkatareddi Navudu;—" I am exceedingly glad and I accept the statement of my hon. Friend the Member for the University. I have already said that I hold no brief for that gentleman but I really thought that it was unfair that any reference should be made derogatory to his position.

"Now, Sir, the next objection that was put forward was that this Bill showed a kind of disrespect to the authority of the courts. We are told that there is a continual suspicion in the Act about the courts, exhibited both by the Bill itself and its advocates. To me it is a kind of surprise coming from the other side. Four or five years ago we had known hon. Members sitting on the other side saying 'Why all these courts of law '? I know of non-cooperating lawyers unwilling to go to courts, and to wear foreign cloth. They said they would never be going to courts. No doubt at a later stage most of them applied for regular sanads. May I ask how this wonderful solicitude for the courts has been developed now by the Members of the Swaraj party? After all, this Act is an attempt on the part of the Legislative Council and of the Ministry to see that a simpler machinery is invented which would decide the cases with the least delay—for, we know, Sir, delay in law courts is so proverbial now.

"Under the Estates Land Act when the jurisdiction of the civil courts was ousted and jurisdiction was vested in the revenue courts, similar objections were raised. But experience has shown that we have not been the worse for it; on the other hand, cases which took years for disposal in the district courts were disposed of in days or months in the revenue courts. I think, Sir, by the creation of this machinery we have added something to the advantage and the benefit of those that are directly concerned in this matter. We are told that the existing provisions of law, especially those contained in the Civil Procedure Gode and the powers conferred on the Advocate-General are quite sufficient. After three years of discussion in the press, the platform and the Legislative Council, to say that the existing provisions of law are quite sufficient, betrays a lamentable ignorance of the state of affairs. As soon as the Act of 1863 was passed, responsible men who had something to

4-45 p.m. [Sir K. Venkatareddi Nayudu] [25th August 1926

do with the administration of temples told us that that Act was found wanting in many respects. It is why so many attempts have been made in this Province and elsewhere for the amendment of this Act. How else can you explain the existence of the numerous committees whose reports were placed on the table of the House? Sir T. Muttuswami Ayyar and Mr. K. Rama. Ayyangar have had to tackle this problem and gave it up; but when the Reformed Councils came, there was one man who took courage in his hands and tried to do what others had failed to do. Therefore, these objections fall flat upon us now and do not carry conviction to our minds. There is enough evidence to show that the existing law is quite insufficient to meet the growing needs of an advanced age.

"We have been told that State interference in religious matters is not desirable. But I take it that it is now recognized in all civilized countries that what is called Church property is really the property of the State. The hon. Member for the University must be aware that almost all these properties were endowed by the ancient Rajas who were the releas of the country in those days. Endowments made by them must have come from State

property. They belong to the Nation . . .

"Reference has been made to the Cypres Doctrine. I cannot understand why it is referred to here, except because it is found in the margin of one of the sections of the Bill. An erudite lawyer, not a Member of this Council, has in an article in a well-known journal also referred to this. All the arguments advanced by him have been practically repudiated in this House. The one great blunder committed here is that what is said in the margin of an Act is not part of the Act. These shrewd lawyers ought to have been aware of it. Even the preamble is not part of the Act; only what is contained in the body of the Act is part of the Act. So far as the body of the Bill is concerned, there is no mention of the Cypres Doctrine at all. It is mentioned in the margin only for the purpose of indicating what the section is aiming at. We in this country are not in any way bound by the Cypres Doctrine of England. English Judges in this country have so often imported into this country the notions of the laws of their own country, as for example the laws relating to the relation between the janmi and the tenant in Malabar. They have introduced English principles where they could not find corresponding principles in this land. They have blundered very badly.

"We know that some of these endowments were founded centuries ago. Even the Inam Commissioners were not able to find out what the object of certain endowments was. To-day we find disputes in courts of law, the archaka contending that the property was given to him, the trustees contending that it was God's property. Let us pause for a moment and see whether the intention can be discovered at all in many cases. Day after day you will find devotees coming to the Tirupati temple about whose scheme reference was made in this House, and giving their offerings—women offering whatever they might have had on their person while they were suffering and praying to be cured of the illness and children offering all their jewels and praying that they might be saved. For which purpose they made their offerings never occurs to their minds at all. They never think of the Cypres Doctrine. You cannot by any stretch of imagination say that the offerings

25th August 1926] [Sir K. Venkatareddi Nayudu]

were made only for the purpose of certain objects. They never thought of the hospital at Tirupati or the Sanskrit school there. How can you say what their intentions were? Once you recognize that these offerings were made to God and that God's property is Nation's property, the Nation will have a right to deal with it just as it pleases.

"We are told that this Legislative Council cannot do that simply because of the weight of the majority; we are told that because the 'wicked Justice party ' is now larger in numbers they should not pass confiscatory legislation, confiscation for sooth? It was not confiscation when the head of a religious institution for whom the money was not meant squandered it on women of questionable character; it was not squandering the money when thousands and thousands of rupees were paid to vakils; it was not diverting the money for other purposes when thousands and lakks of rupees were spent for election purposes just to meet this very Bill. We are told in all seriousness that it is diverting the funds from its original purposes if it is given to a hospital and if a few sheds are built on those hills where no shelter could be had. I wonder how the gentlemen who oppose this measure got this idea of confiscation of the properties of the temples. Is it seriously denied that for years past, are for ages past, the funds of these temples and of these maths have been squandered on unreasonable and indefensible purposes. Have we not known and seen temples allowed to deteriorate with walls fallen down, with flagstaffs broken down and cars burnt down and yet the temple authorities appropriating or misappropriating every pie of the funds for their own purposes without spending a tenth of that money for the purpose of the temple? Therefore when once we see that reform is necessary I fail to see why the Bill should be objected to.

"We are told that in matters like this it is not usual to introduce Bills at a very late stage. We are asked to provide for more time. For four years they have been thinking over this matter. If four years are not sufficient it would be futile to say that four months more would be enough. Gentlemen who have put forward their own measures, hon. Members who wanted to repeal the Act or amend it in various ways, are the very gentlemen who now come forward and say that sufficient time is not given for the consideration of this Bill; and that the provisions of this Bill are entirely new to them and that their minds are just like clean sheets as regards this Bill. I say, Sir, there must be something wrong and something rotten in the State of Denmark. It would serve no useful purpose to allow this Bill to be dragged on to the next Council. The question whether this Bill can be taken up at the next Council or not is not free from doubt. I believe, Sir, that you must have been acquainted with a certain rule that the Government of India have recently made in connexion with the transaction of business in the Assembly and the Council of State. If I am not mistaken it is to the effect that if a Bill was not passed into law during the life-time of a Council it must be considered as a new measure when it comes before the next Council. If that is so, any attempt now made on the part of the Opposition in this Council can only be interpreted as a device for allowing the Bill to die a natural death. We have set our hearts on this measure not because we are in a majority, not because there are temples in the possession of Brah. mans, but because we feel that our gods are being degraded by these

# • 94 A BILL TO RE-ENACT THE MADRAS HINDU BELIGIOUS ENDOWMENTS ACT, 1928

[Sir K. Venkatareddi Nayudu] [25th August 1926

archakas and temple trustees, because our conscience dictates to us that the existing state of things is a disgrace, a national disgrace to the temples and those that surround them. That disgrace must be wiped out and something must be done to regain the lost honour so that we might be held in respect amongst the other nations and in the meanwhile prevent the profiting of interested persons engaged in defending these things."

The House then adjourned at 5 p.m. to meet again at 11 a.m. the next day.

R. V. KRISHNA AYYAR, Secretary to the Legislative Council

### APPENDIX I

[Vide answer to question No. 2279 asked by Mr. J. A. Saldanha at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 25th August 1926, page 14 supra.]

Subject matter of the report.	Whether for official	Month of publication of report.		
Subject matter of the report.	or for calendar year.	Report for	Published in	
Report on the administration of the Stamp Act.	Official year	1921-22 1922-23	August 1922. October 1923.	
2. Report on the administration of the Labour Department.	Do. 00163	1924-25 1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	August 1926. January 1923. October 1923. September 1925.	
3. Report on the working of the Planters Labour Act.	Calendar year	1922 1923 1924	March 1923. ,, 1924. 1925.	
4. Report on the administration of the Jail Department.	Do	1922	July 1923. June 1924.	
5. Report on the administration of the Forest Department.	Official year	1921-22	December 1922. March 1924.	
6. Report on the administration of the Cinchona Department.	Do	1921-22	, 1928. April 1924. March 1926.	
7. Report on the working of the Indian . Factories Act.	Calendar year	1922 1923	July 1923, ,, 1924. June 1925.	
8. The Administration Report of the Government Press.	Official year	1921-22 1922-23	January 1923. December 1923. October 1925.	
9. Report of the Chief Inspector of Steam Boilers.	Do. ,.	1921-22 1922-23	September 1922 July 1928. March 1926.	

## APPENDIX II.

• [Vide-answer to question No. 2286 asked by Mr. R. Veerian at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 25th August 1926, page 18 supra.]

List of untrained teachers in the Labour Elementary schools, Trichinopoly district.

Educational qualifications of the

Taluk and village.	Educational qualifications of the untrained teachers.	Date of appointment.	
Karur—	5 5 5 7 50		
	Read up to V standard	1st June 1925.	
Kulittalai-	O. St. Street British St.		
2. Pozgaipatti	Studied IV standard	1st July 1925. Two teachers, one un trained.	
3. Vengaikurichchi	Secondary School-Leaving Certificate	Do.	
4. Kallappalli	Headmaster—Passed II form and III class in the Army School, Quetta division. Second teacher—Studied IV standard	Manual Company of the	
Do	Becond teacherbudled IV standard	16th December 1925.	
5. Kottamedu	Headmaster—Studied III form Assistant—IV standard	1st December 1924.	
Do.			
6. Nandankottai	Studied IV standard	1st April 1926.	
Lalgudi— 7. Pallivadai	Sessional School Certificate	1st July 1923.	
7. Pallivadai 8. Kiramangalam	STEEL STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE		
	TO SEE FOR THE SECOND S		
9. Kulur 10. Tinniyam	Do	let January 1924.	
11. Edayathamangalam.	Do	•20th April 1925.	
12. Narasingamanga-	Sessional School Certificate	2nd July 1925.	
lam.	Douglonar bolloor Cottingence	and bury 1220.	
13. L. Abishegapuram.	No certificate	1st March 1925.	
14. Manakkal	Elementary School Leaving Certificate-		
11. Manakaul	holder.	and a containty reads	
15. Pirakambi	Sessional School Certificate	1st January 1924.	
16. Maramareddipalem.	Do. •	Do.	
17. Pambaramsutti	No certificate	1st July 1925.	
18. N. Sirupattur	Elementary School-Leaving Certificate	2nd September 1925.	
19. Kil Ambil	Do	1st January 1924.	
20. Kamanore (Malvayi).	Passed Primary Examination	15th March 1924.	
21. Ulundangudi	Sessional School Certificate-holder	1st February 1924.	
• 22. Mela Siddevi-	No certificate	1st January 1924.	
mangalam.	- ONL		
23. Kuttur	Elementary School-Leaving Certificate	15th January 1924.	
24. Kariyamanikkam.	Do.	1st April 1924.	
25. Kallagudi	Sessional School Certificate		
26. Tiruvasi	Ex-army man		
27. Devimangalam	Elementary School-Leaving Certificate		
28. Kannagudi	Sessional School Certificate		
29. Tiruvallarai	Studied IV standard	. 1st March 1926.	
30. Perovalanallur	Sessional School Certificate-holder , .	.º 2nd May 1926.	
Musiri			
31 Eragudi	Sessional School Certificate-holder	. 1st January 1922.	
32. Adanur			
33. M. Kalathur			
34. Uppiliyapuram			
35. Kattupputtur	Hadanasas tugining but failed	1 . T THE TRACE	
36. Melamanjamedu	Studied III form	. 1st March 1925.	
37. Okkarai		. 1st December 1925.	
38. Siplaputtur			
39. Chittur	Special second-class certificate of Ceylon .	. 1st April 1924.	
40. Ariyanampettai	Undergone training, but failed		

## List of untrained teachers in the Labour Elementary schools, Trickinopoly district—cont.

T	aluk and village.		Educational qua			the		Date of appointment.
Trich	inopoly—							•
	Arasangudi		Sessional School Cert	ificate				1st January 1924.
	Vengur		Studied IV standard		•			9th August 1994.
	Sholamadai							1st November 1923.
			Headmaster-Studied				••	
***	Manganam						• •	Do. '
	Do.		Assistant-IV standa	ru .			• •	Do.
	Veedivadangan		Studied IV standard				• •	20th June 1924.
46.	Alattur		No certificate-Milita	ary Per	nsione	r havi	ıg	1st July 1925.
			taught recruits for	15 yea	rs.			
	Pappakuriehehi		No certificate	Miles				15th June 1925.
48.	Kalligudi K.		Do.		-			1st July 1925.
49.	Kuttappar		Studied IV standard	LO 60				1st November 1923.
50.	Ramanathanallur		Assistant-Read IV	standar	do	A.C.		28th January 1925. Two teachers.
51	Sathinadhapuram	1	Secondary School-Le	avine (	ertific	ate .		1st September 1925.
	arpalaiyam—	1. 6	Bosonian's Boncor 20	at any	or bridge		35.	180 September 1020.
	Pukkuli (hamlet	46	Studied IV standard		-	7.00	3.00	1-4 T 1000
02.		01	Studied IV standard	ELARIBRE .		9 17.	*	1st January 1926.
	Silambur).	5.7	N	DELICE SHE		J. (1)		
D.N.	Vadaveekam (ham of Tandalai).	niet	No certificate	27312	••	13	4:5	1st September 1925.
54.	Muttuservaimatan	m.	Studied IV standard	MARC: SON,		-	2	1st January 1926.
55.	Amirtharoyankot	tai	Do.	\$25.75-PR		-		Do.
	(hamlet of Sho	la-°	ASSESSED FORM	Security Sec			10	
	madevi).	Maria	程度立起源 图第	是重要企			1	. D E
56	Vettiyarvettu	Span	Sessional School Cer	tifinata		-		Do.
	Puduppalaiyam	Elemen	Studied V class	社会的海巴斯拉斯		-		Do.
01.		Name of the last	Studied V Class	J. Charles	**			Do.
	(bamlet of Adan	ak-	- Electron	ACO.SA	3.53			
	kurichehi).	, Spins	m · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.0.	TES.	****		1 / 35 1 1000
58.	Palayappady (han of Annimangala		Training School Cert Mathematics.	incate,	out 1	aned	ın	1st Maron 1926.
	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	and the same of	www.commencerists.com/STPSPSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS					

# APPENDIX III.

[Vide answer to question No. 2301 asked by Mr. J. A. Saldanha at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 25th August 1926, page 26 supra.]

Subject matter of the report.	Whether for of		Month of publication of report.		
AL	or for galendar	year.	Report for	Published in	
1. Report on Agriculture	Official year		1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	October 1922. November 1923. September 1925.	
2. Report on the working of the Co- operative Department.	Year ending June.	30th	1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	February 1923. April 1924. February 1926.	
3. Report on the Veterinary, Department.	Official year		1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	September 1922. October 1923.	
4. Report on the working of the Fisheries Department.	Year ending June.	30th	1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	November 1922. ,, 1923. April 1926.	
5. Report on the working of the Industries Department.	Official year	••	1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	November 1922. January 1924. October 1925.	
6. Report on Weights and Measures	Do.	••	1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	September 1922. 1928. October 1925.	
	0		0		

## APPENDIX IV.

[Vide answer to question No. 2303 asked by Rao Sahib P. V. Gopalan at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 25th August 1926, page 27 supra.]

Statement showing details of appointments made from April 1924 to April 1926.

-178	from	er of applications in fishermen and qualifications.	Num	ber of appoint	ments ma	de; easte and e	lucational qua	lifications o	of those appoint	ed.
Designation of appointments.	Num-	Qualification.	Brahmans.			nman Hindus.	- 3	Muham- madans.	Christians.	Anglo- Indians.
	ber.	(3)	•(4)	Nayars, etc.	Thiyya.	Fishermen.	Others.	(9)	(10)	(11)
Clerks	3 {	2 S.S.L.C 1 read up to IV form.	S.S.L.C. Shorthand Higher, Type- writing,	1 S.S.L.C.				,		l European High School examina- tion.
Yard officers	15	3 Graduates. 1 Intermediate. 9 S.S.L.C. 2 Unpassed.	( etc.	Do.		2 Gradu- ates 6\ 1 lnter- mediate. 13 S.S.L.C.	1 S.S.L.C.	•	4 { 1 Graduate 3 S.S.L.C.	••
Petty yard officers and peons	30		PA	7.60	o Ĝ u	1 Clan	1 lot April 10	6	•	

Note.—Assistant yard officers' appointments were abolished on 1st April 1924.

[25th August 1926

### APPENDIX V.

[Vide answer to question No. 2305 asked by Mr. J. A. Saldanba at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 25th August 1926, page 29 supra.]

Subject matter of the report.	• Whether for official	Month of publication of report.			
budgest matter of the report.	or for calendar year.	Report for	Published in		
1. Report on the Settlement of Land Revenue in the Presidency (Jamabandi Report). 2. Report on the administration of the Court of Wards. 3. Report on Survey, Settlement and Maintenance of Revenue records and Registry. 4. Report on the administration of the Agency tracts.	June). Do.	1921-22 1922-23 1924-25 1921-22 1922-23 1924-25 1921-22 1922-23 1924-25 1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	May 1923. ,, 1924. August 1926. April 1928. March 1924. April 1926. October 1922. ,, 1923. December 1925. October 1923. Ganjam, May 1926. East Godavari, May 1926. Vizagapatam, May 1926.		

## APPENDIX VI.

[Vide answer to question No. 2310 asked by Mr. J. A. Saldanha at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 25th August 1926, page 31 supra.]

		   Whether for official	Month of publication of report.		
	Subject matter of the report.	or for calendar year.	Report for.	Published in	
1.	Report on the administration of the Police Department.	Calendar year	1922 1923	August 1923. September 1924.	
2.	Statistics of Criminal Courts	N Do. TRIV.	1924 1922 - 1923	October 1925. January 1924. December 1924.	
3.	Report on the administration of Civil Justice.	Do	1924 1922 1923	November 1925. ,, 1923. ,, 1924.	
4.	Report on the working of Village and Panchayat courts.	Do	1924 1922 1923	October 1923. " 1924.	
5.	Report on the administration of the Public Works Department (Irri-	Official year .,	1924 1921-22 1922-33	September 1925. February 1923.	
6.	gation). Report of the Electrical Inspector to Government.	Do.	. 1924-25	April 1926. r the years prior to were being embodied	
			of the Proment, F	administration report ablic Works Depart- Part I. A separate as published for the	
	76		first time	in respect of 1924- report was issued in	

25th August 1926]

#### APPENDIX VII.

[Vide answer to question No. 2316 asked by Mr. J. A. Saldanha at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 25th August 1926, page 33 supra.]

Subject matter of the report,	Whether for official		Month of publication of report.		
	or for calendar y	ear.	Report for	Published in	
1. Report on Public Instruction	Official year		1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	April 1923. February 1924. March 1926.	
2. Report on the working of the Gov- ernment Museum and the Commemora Public Library.	Do.		1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	August 1922. ,, 1923. ,, 1925.	
3. Report of the Commissioner for Gov- ernment Examinations.	Do.		1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	November 1922. October 1923. 1925.	
1. Report on the administration of the Public Works Department.	• • Do.	••	1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	February 1923. December ,, January 1926.	
5. Report on the administration of the Excise Department.	Do.		1921-22 1922-23 1924-25	October 1922. January 1924. December 1925.	
5. Report on the administration of the Registration Department.	Calendar year	1	1922 1923 1924	July 1923. May 1924. ,, 1925.	

### APPENDIX VIII.

[Vide item III a 'Communications to the Council' on page 34 supra.]

Proceedings of the first meeting of the Finance Committee for 1926–27
• held on Sunday the 11th July 1926 at 12–30 p.m. at the Cabinet
Chamber, Fort St. George.

#### PRESENT:

The hou. Mr. T. E. Moir, c.s.i., c.i.e., I.C.S. (Chairman): M R.Ry. P. N. Marthandam Pillai Avargal, m.l.c.

K. PRABHAKARAN TAMPAN Avargal, M.I.C.

,, Diwan Bahadur K. Suryanarayanamurti Nayudu Garu,

Khan Bahadur P. Khalifullah Sahib Bahadur, M.L.C. G. T. Boag, Esq., I.C.S., M.L.C.

25th August 1926

# A.—SCHEMES FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE CURRENT YEAR.

I.—SCHEME INVOLVING LOSS OF REVENUE.

# Amendment of rule 92 of the Madras Educational Rules so as to levy fees at half the rates in the case of all girls irrespective of the question of poverty.

Abstract of the proposal.—The proposal that rule 92 of the Madras Educational Rules may be so amended as to provide that half the standard rates may be levied from girls irrespective of the question of poverty was placed before the Finance Committee at the meeting held on the 5th February last and the Committee made the following observation:—

'The Committee were of opinion that the present rules place an unfair burden on the parents and on the authorities who are under the existing rules empowered to grant certificates. Before any retrograde measure is adopted the question should be considered whether the responsibility for giving the certificates should not be transferred to the headmasters or the management

of the schools concerned '.

The Director of Public Instruction to whom this suggestion was referred pointed out that the initial responsibility in regard to these certificates rests upon the headmaster and managers of the schools concerned, and it is only in cases where the headmaster is in any doubt as to the circumstances of the parent that he should insist upon the production of a certificate of poverty. The Director's remarks were communicated to the Finance Committee and their opinion was again asked in regard to the main question as to the levy of fees at half the standard rates in the case of all girls irrespective of the question of poverty.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The Committee was of opinion that no case had been made out for restoring the general concession and considered that the present provision for reduction of fees in cases of poverty was sufficient.

II.—SCHEMES TO BE MET BY REAPPROPRIATION.

# (1) Permanent retention of the temporary clerk in the District Munsif's Court, Razam.

Abstract of the proposal.—The clerical staff of the District Munsif's Court, Razam, consists of six parmanent clerks including the head clerk and one temporary clerk, whose employment was first sanctioned in August 1920. The work of the court has considerably increased, the number of original suits instituted having been 705 in 1923, 984 in 1924 and 963 in 1925. Each of the other courts in the district has an establishment of 7 clerks which is the minimum ordinarily required. It is not therefore possible to transfer a clerk from any of the other District Munsifs' courts in the district to Razam. It is recommended that the temporary clerk should be made permanent. Provision exists in the budget estimate for the temporary clerk.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended by the Committee for acceptance.

101 .

25th August 19267

## (2) Appointment of an additional upper division clerk in the office of the Director of Public Instruction.

Abstract of the proposal. -- The establishment sanctioned for the office of the Director of Public Instruction in connexion with the scheme for the · control of expenditure consists of a junior superintendent, one upper division clerk and two lower division clerks. It is found that this establishment is not adequate to deal with the work which involves control over the expenditure of over two crores of rupees. The work done by this staff falls generally under the following heads :--

(1) Consolidation of the accounts of the subordinate officers and their transmission to the Accountant General.

(2) Watching the rate and correctness of expenditure.(3) Audit of accounts of the subordinate offices with reference to powers, funds, etc.

(4) Rectifying wrong classification, adjustments and correspondence.

(5) Supplying figures for the Public Instruction Report; and,

(6) Supplying figures for the budget in its various stages. The staff is working at a high pressure sacrificing many holidays, and the experience of the last eleven month's has proved that with the present staff efficient scrutiny and concurrent check of the subordinate controlling officers' accounts and other cognate matters relating to it cannot be satisfactorily performed without further clerical help and that an additional upper division clerk on Rs. 65-4-125 is absolutely necessary.

> Extra cost. . 1926-27. Ultimate cost. RS. RS. 1.200 455

Recommendation of the Committee. The proposal was recommended for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

### (3) Additional staff for the Government Victoria College, Palghat.

Abstract of the proposal. - The Director of Public Instruction has recommended that the following additional staff will be necessary in connexion with the opening of the senior B. A: class in 1926-27 in the Victoria College, Palghat:

(i) One Asssistant Lecturer in the Subordinate Educational Service for the English department.

(ii) An additional School Assistant for the High School department.

(iii) A library attender.

Recurring

The Assistant Lecturer is required owing to the anticipated increase in the strength of the college on the opening of the senior B. A. class. The . appointment of an additional school assistant in the high school department is necessitated by the fact that the Assistant Lecturer in Mathematics and the Demonstrator in the college department have hitherto been doing the work in the high school in addition to their work in the college classes, and that this arrangement will not be feasible when the senior B.A. class is

25th August 1926

opened. As regards the library attender he is required to help the librarian who finds it impossible single-handed to maintain the necessary registers, to see to the general upkeep of books and to attend to the demands for books from the students of the college and members of the staff. The extra cost in the current year can be met by reappropriation from the provision under "31. Education—Voted".

Extra cost.		
The Contract of the Contract o	192627.	Ultimate cost.
	RS.	RS.
Recurring	. 1,696	3,902

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

# (4) Appointment of an additional Sub-Judge to the Sub-Court, Cuddalore.

Abstract of the proposal.—The pendency on the file of the superior courts of the South Areot district was found on the 15th February 1926 to be 119 ordinary suits of which 43 were over one year old and 458 appeals of which 273 were over six months old. There were also 190 references under the Land Acquisition Act. There has been a fall in the disposals in 1925 as compared with the figures for the two previous years. The decline is attributed to more days having been spent in criminal work, to an increase in the number of land acquisition cases as a result of acquisition of lands for the Villupuram-Trichinopoly Railway, to the trial of two long and complicated cases, each of which occupied more than three months and to the filing of contested land acquisition cases and election petitions. The arrears are sufficient to occupy a Sub-Judge for about two years. The appointment of an additional Sub-Judge, with the usual establishment of 3 clerks and 3 peons, for one year is therefore recommended. The extra cost in the current year will be met by reappropriation from the existing provision under "24. Administration of Justice".

Extra cost.	100 27,	2
107, 00 Call 00	1926-27.	1927-28.
MAI OUT TO	Rs.	RS.
Non-recurring	$5,932\frac{1}{2}$ .	$3,559\frac{1}{2}$

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

# (5) Appointment of an additional Sub-Judge to the Sub-Court, Nellore,

Abstract of the proposal.—On the 16th April 1926 there were pending on the files of the District Court and Sub-Court, Nellore, 133 original suits of which 56 were over one year old and 291 appeals of which 169 were over six months old. Besides, there were 41 original petitions, 98 execution and 9 insolvency petitions pending disposal. On the oriminal side, 7 sessions cases were reported to be pending trial, while a large number of cases filed during the recess will be ready for trial after the courts reopen, including one

25th August 19267

exceptionally big case with about 60 accused, 67 prosecution witnesses and more than 100 defence witnesses. The disposals have been somewhat below the standard outturn, but the institutions have been above the standard. It is recommended that an additional Sub-Judge with the usual establishment may be appointed for five months, i.e., until the Christmas holidays, the question of further retention being considered with regard to the state of arrears at the end of November 1926. The extra cost in the current year. will be met from the existing provision under "24. Administration of Justice."

Extra cost.

1926-27.

RS. 3,955

Non-recurring

Recommendation of the Committee .- The proposal was recommended for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

# (6) Permanent retention of the temporary clerk in the office of the Inspector-General of Prisons.

Abstract of the proposal. - In connexion with the transfer of the control of Reformatory and certified schools from the Director of Public Instruction to the Inspector-General of Prisons and the new scheme for the control of expenditure, the Government sanctioned the employment of a temporary clerk on Rs. 35 a month in the office of the Inspector-General to the end of February 1926 and the sanction was subsequently extended up to the 31st July 1926. The Inspector-General states that the work in his office has considerably increased with the transfer of the administration of .Reformatory and certified schools, the receipts and disposals for the period August to December having risen from 7,354 and 5,247 in 1924 to 8,459 and 6,628 respectively in 1925. It is therefore recommended that the clerk should be retained permanently from the 1st August 1926. Provision has been made in the budget estimate for the temporary clerk for a full year.

Extra, cost

1926-27. Ultimate cost.

Rs. 245 570 per annum.

Recommendation of the Committee .- The proposal was recommended for acceptance, the expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

## (7) Permanent retention of the Government Muhammadan High School, Guntur, and the employment of additional staff therein.

Abstract of the proposal.—The Government Muhammadan High School. Guntur, was started on a temporary footing in the year 1918 as a middle · school and has since been continued from year to year, the present sanction . expiring on the 30th June 1926. During this period the school has

[25th August 1926

developed into a complete high school. The strength on the 31st March 1925 was 142 and on the 1st December 1925 was 158. The Director of Public Instruction considers that the strength which is steadily increasing is satisfactory for a Muhammadan High school and that, taking into account the fact that this institution is the only one of its kind for the districts in the north of the Presidency, the permanent retention of the school with . effect from the 1st July 1926 is justified. The Director also recommends the employment of a Telugu pandit on Rs. 40 a month for a period of one year and a laboratory attender on Rs. 12-18 a month. The employment of a Telugu pandit is recommended on the ground that Telugu is the language of the courts and other public offices and that the absence of provision for instruction in this language will retard the further progress of the school. The services of a laboratory attender are essential as physics is taught as one of the optional subjects for the S.S.L.C. course, and the only peon in the school cannot attend to the laboratory in addition to his work. The existing temporary posts will be made permanent and included in the appropriate cadres. There is provision in the budget estimate for the current year on account of the temporary staff and the extra expenditure in the case of the Telugu pandit and the laboratory attender will be met by reappropriation from the existing provision under, "31. Education-Transferred".

B. d.	$E^{\alpha}$	etra cost:	1928-27.	Ultimate cost.
F	DAG B	M Charles	RS.	RS.
Recurring	1	15.0	9,436	11,642

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

# (8) Permanent retention of the temporary staff employed in the office of the Deputy Inspector of Schools, the Nilgiris Range.

Abstract of the proposal.—In 1928 the office of the District Educational Council, the Nilgiris, was amalgamated with that of the Daputy Inspector of Schools, Nilgiris Range, the office of the latter being strengthened by the employment of a temporary clerk on Rs. 35—60 and a temporary peon on Rs. 12—18. The arrangement was sanctioned as an experimental measure. The President of the District Educational Council now reports that it is not possible to suggest any other course than the present amalgamation of the office in the interests of elementary education in the district, and recommends that the present arrangement may be made permanent. The alternative will be to have a separate establishment for the council which will entail heavier cost. There is provision in the budget for the staff under "Temporary."

672		Extra cost.	1926-27.	Ultimate cost.
			RS.	Rs.
Recurring	• • • • • • • •		370	900
				per annum.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reapprepriation.

. 25th August 19267

Recurring

# (9) Permanent retention of the additional Sub-Assistant Inspectress and establishment, West Godavari.

Abstract of the proposal.—Last year it was brought to the notice of Government that the additional Sub-Assistant Inspectress of the Kistna Girls' range had 165 girls' schools to inspect against the standard of 100 schools. An additional Sub-Assistant Inspectress with the usual establishment consisting of two clerks on Rs. 35 – 60 each and two peons on Rs. 12—18 each was sanctioned as a temporary measure for one year. The Kistna range was also divided into Kistna and West Godavari ranges with 79 and 86 schools respectively. The Director of Public Instruction now reports that the number of schools in the two ranges has increased to 114 and 101 respectively and that it is proposed to open 38 more schools in the West Godavari range. He has accordingly recommended that the post of additional Sub-Assistant Inspectress with the establishment may be retained permanently with effect from the 4th July 1926 on which date the current sanction expired. There is provision in the current year's budget on account of the staff under "Temporary."

Extra cost.		and the last of th
第五本記憶 77間 RGS-E-4	1926-27.	Ultimate cost
STARS WE DIE	Rs.	9 Rs.
STATE OF THE PARTY	3.900	3.420

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

## (10) Appointment of an additional District Munsif in each of the District Munsifs' courts of Narasapur and Tanuku.

Abstract of the proposal.—The pendency on the 1st April 1926 on the files of the District Munsifs of Narasapur and Tanuku were 308 and 528 original suits respectively, of which 143 and 147 were over one year old. The disposals in the two courts during 1925 fell below the standard outturn but this decline in outturn is attributed to the tendency of parties to adduce a large body of oral and documentary evidence, to there being more title suits than money suits and to the courts having been presided over by acting and inexperienced District Munsifs. In order to clear the arrears it is proposed to appoint for five mouths from the 23rd July up to the commencement of the Christmas holidays two additional District Munsifs with the usual establishment of three clerks and three peons for each officer. The extra cost in the current year will be met from the appropriation under "24. Administration of Justice".

Extra cost.

1926-27. Rs.

Non-recurring .. .. 4,410

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

Recurring

725th August 1926-

# (11) Permanent retention of the temporary staff in the Madras Trades School.

Abstract of the proposal.—When the Madras Trades School was placed on a permanent basis in 1924 its teaching staff was strengthened by the appointment of a senior lecturer on Rs. 200—20.—500 and an Instructor on Rs. 60—4—100 for a period of two years. The staff was further augmented in 1925 by the appointment of an Assistant Lecturer on Rs. 100—5—150 and a peon on Rs. 15 up to the 31st March 1926. The school with its branch at Perambur had 530 students on the rolls in 1923 and the number has now risen to 750, while the teaching staff has been increased by three from seven to ten. It is therefore recommended that the temporary staff referred to above may be made permanent. The extra cost in the current year will be met from the provision made for temporary establishment.

Extra cost.	Eal	
	1926-27.	Ultimat
STATE OF THE PARTY OF	RS.	Rs.
TREE TO TAKE	7,160	7,196

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

# (12) Additional establishment in the office of the Forest Panchayat Officer.

Abstract of the proposal. - According to the reclassification of forests made by Mr. Whitehead, an area of 4,186 square miles of reserved forests has been marked as fit to be handed over for panchayat management and an additional establishment of ten Special Deputy Tahsildars has been sanctioned for the purpose of forming panchayats for this area. Six of these Deputy Tahsildars have already been appointed. The work in the Forest Panchayat Officer's office has therefore been nearly doubled and, when the remaining four Special Deputy Tabsildars are appointed during the next official year, the work will increase still more. The Board of Revenue has accordingly recommended that an additional establishment, consisting of one Upper Division clerk on Rs. 65-4-85, one Lower Division clerk on Rs. 40-65 with Shorthand allowance and a peon on Rs. 15-20, should be appointed in the Forest Panchayat Officer's, office. 'The Government have, in anticipation of the approval of the Finance Committee, sanctioned the employment of the establishment for a period of three months. The expenditure in the current year will be met from the appropriation of Rs. 31,000 for "Pay of establishments" under the head "8-c. Forest-Establishment -vi. Panchayat officer"

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended by the Committee for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

APPENDIX 107

25th August 19267

### (13) Appointment of an Accountant for the Logging Engineer, Forest Department

Abstract of the proposal.—At present one Extra Assistant Conservator, six Rangers, one Surveyor, 12 permanent and 15 temporary foresters and four temporary peons work under the Logging Engineer. To meet the pay and allowances of this staff, the Logging Engineer passes their bills and sends them to the District Forest Officers of the districts in which they are working for disbursement and for inclusion of the expenditure in their accounts. This system causes confusion when comparing the actuals with the budget appropriation and involves frequent transfers of funds from the Engineering Branch to the respective districts. And there is also considerable delay in the disbursement of pay and allowances to the staff and in effecting recoveries of over-payments disallowed in audit. It is therefore proposed to make the Logging Engineer an independent disbursing officer and to constitute his charge into a separate division for the purpose of rendering accounts to the Accountant-General. This change will result in increased work in the office of the Logging Engineer and it is recommended that an Accountant on Rs. 40 per mensem may be employed temporarily up to the 28th February 1927. The expenditure will be met from the existing appropriation in the budget estimate for 1926-1927 under 8. Forest

10/4	Extra cost	PI	790	72
FE	2000年	ku.Eh		1926-1927
IE	WATER ST	57/19		RS.
Non-recurring		241		• 280

Recommendation of the Committee.—The Committee recommended the proposal for acceptance, the extra expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

### (14) Re-engagement of the Chief Forest Engineer.

Abstract of the proposal.—With the approval of the Secretary of State for India, the Government appointed with effect from the 14th August 1923 Mr. C. S. Martin as the Chief Forest Engineer for a period of three years on a salary of Rs. 3,000 per mensem. The Engineering branch under the control of Mr. Martin came into existence from October 1923. Although a considerable amount of work has already been done in the Forest Engineering branch there is yet scope for further development of exploitation and consequently increased utilization of the timbers in the forests of the Presidency. When Mr. Martin was first appointed it was hoped that it would be possible to select and train specially chosen officers in the handling of modern lumbering machinery and in up-to-date methods of exploitation with a view to create in the Forest department itself a staff carable of preparing and carrying out schemes without the aid of imported specialists. The proposal was not, however, accepted by the higher authorities on the ground that there were already in India officers who had received the requisite preliminary training. At the instance of this Government and

[25th August 1926.

officer who was serving in the North Western Province was transferred to Madras but he has not joined duty. There is consequently no officer in the department at present capable of taking over the control of the Engineering branch when Mr. Martin's term of contract expires in August 1926. Even if an officer trained in America were to be transferred to Madras, it will be undesirable to entrust him with the charge of the Engineering branch until he has had the benefit of a considerable period of service under Mr. Martin. It is therefore proposed to retain the services of Mr. Martin for a further period of three years. As Mr. Martin wishes to go to the United States after the termination of the present agreement, he will be reappointed for a further period of three years from February 1927 on the same terms as those contained in his present contract. The extra expenditure in the current year will be met by reappropriation from the appropriation under "8-A. Forest Capital outlay charged to revenue".

Exti	ra cost.	32 10 108	
2.8	NE TON	1926-27.	Ultimate
TRANS	THE STATE OF THE S	Rs.	RSa
The state of	D DOM	11,400	39,600

Non-recurring . . . . . . . . 14,000

Recurring

Recommendation of the Committee,—The proposal was recommended by the Committee for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

### (15) Formation of a new temporary Forest Utilization Division.

Abstract of the proposal.—At present the various forest depots in the Presidency are in charge of different forest officers with the result that the prices of the same material in different depots vary and the customers have to write to several officers concerning large orders This not only entails great delay in complying with orders but also prevents the marketing of forest produce to the test advantage. It is therefore essential, especially in view of the large development of sales anticipated, to have an anthoritative Central Agency with which the consumers of forest produce can deal direct, instead of writing to individual Conservators and District Forest Officers. The Forest Utilization Officer has recently returned after a course of training in the United States of America and he is most suitable to assume control of such an Agency. With the transfer of all forest depots to his control, the work in his branch will increase considerably and he will have to undertake frequent tours in connexion with the inspection of depots, the periodic sales of timber in the depots and the passing of the sleepers and other timbers for the Railways. It is therefore proposed to sanction the appointment of an assistant who will be an officer of the Indian Forest Service with headquarters at Madras and a Presidency allowance of Rs. 150 per mensem, an Accountant on Rs. 65 for the audit of travelling allowance bills of the staff, payment to coolies on muster rolls, keeping detailed commercial accounts and attending to other accounts work of the branch, a clerk and two peons. The

#### 25th August 1926]

staff will be sanctioned temporarily from the 1st August 1926 to the 31st March 1927. The extra cost in the current year will be met by reappropriation within the head '8. Forest.'

#### Extra cost.

Travia cos	0.		
	1926-27.	192728.	
	RS.	Rs.	
Non-recurring	2,520	360	•

Recommendation of the Committee.—The scheme was recommended by the Committee for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

### (16) Training of apprentices in the Government Industrial Institute, Madras.

Abstract of the proposal.—The Government Industrial Institute, Madras, is now engaged in the manufacture of ink including printers' ink. It is also proposed to undertake experiments in the manufacture of jars. The results of the experiments already made indicate that the manufacture of ink in Madras on a commercial scale will be a success. But before transferring the manufacture of ink to private enterprise the Government consider that a few apprentices should be trained in the art. The apprentices will be of 18 to 21 years of age and a completed Secondary School Leaving Certificate with Chemistry as optional subject will be prescribed as the minimum qualification for admission, preference being given to those who are connected with the industry or are likely to be able to utilise the knowledge gained in an existing factory or in starting new factories. The period of training will be two years and the students will be required to pay a fee of Rs. 100 per session or Rs. 200 for the whole course. It is proposed to take four apprentices and to utilize the laboratory attached to the Leather Trades Institute for the purpose of training the apprentices. The extra cost will be met from the revenues of the concern which is a commercial undertaking.

### Extra cost.

110001	1926-27	. 1927-28.	1928-29.
14	RS.	RS.	RS.
Recurring	532	300	68
Non-recurring	75		

There will be receipts from fees to the extent of Rs. 400 in each of the years 1926-27 and 1927-28.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The Committee agreed to the proposal being given effect to in the current year.

### (17) Loans to fishermen co-operative societies on the 'West Coast for the purchase of boats and nets.

Abstract of the proposal.—The fishermen on the West Coast borrow money from local sowcars or middlemen at ruinously high rates of interest for the purchase of boats and nets and discharge the amount during the fishing months by the sale of fish to these middlemen at the price distated

by the latter. Ordinary co-operative societies are of no help to them and the Malabar District Co-operative Bank has refused to advance the loan on the ground that the security available, viz., boats and nets, is inadequate. It is therefore proposed to organize in the West Coast two fishermen cooperative societies for the purpose of purchasing and owning fishing boats and nets for the use of the members who will exclusively be men actually engaged in fishing and to advance a sum of Rs 1.500 to each of these societies during the current year. The amounts will be repaid by the societies in three years with interest at 71/4 per cent per annum. It is considered that, if the experiment succeeds, the Banks themselves will agree to advance similar loans. The expenditure will be met by reappropriation from the provision of Rs. 6 lakhs in the current year's budget for "Loans to Cooperative House Building Societies.'

Recommendation of the Committee .- The Committee recommended the proposal for acceptance, the amount being met by reappropriation.

### (18) Employment of additional staff in the Government School of Indian Medicine

Abstract of the proposal .-- The existing clerical staff of one clerkaccountant and one typist-librarian for the School of Indian Medicine was sanctioned in 1924 on the basis of the maximum number of admissions to the school being fixed at 90 per annum for all the three sections. Since then the maximum number of admissions to the school has been increased to 120 per annum. A hospital and a dispensary have also been attached to the school from the 1st July 1926. The hospital and the dispensary will be open in the mornings and evenings while the school hours are from 10-30 a.m. to 4-30 p.m. . It will therefore be impossible for the existing staff to work both in the school and in the hospital. It is accordingly proposed to sanction an additional staff of one steward-accountant and one typist-clerk, each on Rs. 40--65. The establishment will be sanctioned temporarily during the current year and its permanent retention will be considered in connexion with the budget estimates for 1927-28. The extra expenditure in the current year will be met by reappropriation from the existing provision under " 32. Extra cost Medical".

1926--27. R8.

Non-recurring

640

Recommendation of the Committee .-- The Committee recommended the pronosal for acceptance the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

### (19) Employment of a shroff in the Deputy Tahsildar's office, Addanki, Guntur district.

Abstract of the proposal.—The Deputy Tahsildar's office at Addanki in the Guntur district which has a sub-treasury attached to it has three clerks in the lower grade. The head clerk has heavy work on the administrative side and cannot cope with the work of shroffing coins and issuing stamps which is ordinarily done by a shroff. The cash transactions at the subtreasury are heavy and it is necessary to employ a shroff on Rs. 20-1-35

for the office. It is accordingly proposed to sanction permanently a shroff on Rs. 20-1-35. The extra cost in the current year will be met by reappropriation from the existing provision under "22. R. E. General Administration."

Extra cost.

	1926-27.	Ultimate.
Recurring	Rs.	· 345
necurring	• 150	340

Recommendation of the Committee,—The proposal was recommended by the Committee for acceptance, the extra expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

### (20) Excavation of a new high level channel from the Kumukkumanniar for irrigation of sanded areas in the Tanjore district.

Abstract of the proposal.—The question whether the excavation of high level channels for the irrigation of sanded areas in the Tanjore district is a cheaper solution than the purchase of tramway plant for their reclamation is being considered by the Board of Revenue. Meanwhile it is proposed to excavate a new high level channel from the Kumukkumanniar for the irrigation of about 384 acres of sanded areas in the Kadalangudi and Mudikondavallur villages in the Tanjore district. The estimated cost of the work is Rs. 9,900 and it is reported that if the channel is not excavated the loss in revenue will be Rs. 3,000 a year. The rgots have promised to contribute towards the cost of the new channel, but the Board of Revenue is not inclined to recommend a contribution in any case in which the work will be remunerative without it. It is therefore proposed to carry out the work entirely at the cost of Government. As the work will increase the capital value of the Cauvery Delta system, its cost will be charged to the open capital account of the system under the head "55. Irrigation-Capital outlay not charged to revenue". The expenditure in the current year will be met by reappropriation from the grant for the Thippayyapalayam project under '55' and from the grant for the Buckingham Canal under "XIII. Maintenance and Repairs ". .

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended by the Committee for acceptance, the capenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

# (21) Permanent retention of a school assistant in the . secondary training class attached to the Training College, Rajahmundry.

Abstract of the proposal.—The staff of the secondary training section attached to the Training College, Rajahmundry, consists of a headmaster and an assistant with a temporary school assistant on Rs. 75 plus Rs. 183—.

temporary addition to pay. The temporary assistant was sanctioned in 1920 in order to cope with the increased work consequent on the introduction of a two-year course for secondary training in place of the one-year course that had been in force previously. The training class has been working in two sections since 1920 and the number of students trained in the class was 90 in each of the years 1924-25 and 1925-26 and the same number of students is expected in 1926-27 also. It is the refore recommended that the additional assistant may be retained permanently with effect from the 23rd September 1926 when the present sanction for his employment expires and that the post may be included in the cadre of school assistants. Provision has been made in the budget estimate for the current year for the school assistant under "Temporary".

	Extra cost.	1000000000	
	2 GISLA TO	, 1926-27.	Ultimate.
- /	の、しない地には	R8.	RS.
Recurring .	、公子・開発を開発する	665	1,472

Recommendation of the Committee.—The Committee recommended the proposal for acceptance, the expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

### (22) Permanent retention of the temporary post of Assistant Lecturer in History in the Government Arts College, Rajahmundry.

Abstract of the proposal.—In 1923-24 Group V-B Economics was introduced in the Government Arts College, Rajahmundry, for the B.A. Degree Pass Course. An additional post of Assistant Lecturer in History in the Subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate Hranch, was sauctioned temporarily for a period of one year from the 1st July 1924 and the post is being continued year after year. The Director of Public Instruction points out that the strength of the classes has been increasing from year to year and that 57 hours of work (inclusive of six in the library) are being done by the staff of the History Department, which consists of one officer of the Madras Educational Service, and three officers of the Subordinate Educational Service including the temporary assistant. It is considered that the Economics Department has come to stay, and that it is necessary that at least one college in the Telugu districts should have courses in Economics. The figures reported by the Director of Public Instruction show that the course is popular. It is therefore proposed to retain the temporary assistant permanently. Provision has been made in the budget estimate for the current year for the assistant under "Temporary."

### Extra cost.

			1926-27.	Ultimate.
			R8.	RS.
Recurring	 	• •	 1,300	2,250

Recommendation of the Committee.— The proposal was recommended by the Committee for acceptance, the extra expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

APPENDIX 113

·25th August 19267

### (23) Appointment of two clerks in the Temporary Sub-Court at Devakottai.

Abstract of the proposal.—The establishment of the Sub-Court, Devakottai, consists of 7 clerks including the head clerk. The District Judge Ramnad, reports that unless the court is given a staff of 10 clerks, arrears will accumulate in all branches of work. The High Court supports the recommendation of the District Judge and requests that the establishment may be further strengthened by 2 clerks on Rs. 35—60, thus raising the total number to 9. An examination of the files of the other Sub-Courts in the district shows that the work in the Sub-Court at Devakottai is heavy, and that the proposal of the High Court is justified. The extra expenditure in the current year will be met by reappropriation from the existing provision under "24. Administration of Justice."

Extra cost.

1926-27. Ultimate.

Rs.

Rs.

490 1,140

Recommendation of the Committee.—The Committee recommended the proposal for acceptance, the expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

# (24) Payment of contribution to the Corporation of Madras for clinical instruction of students in acute infectious diseases at the new Isolation Hospital, Tondiarpet.

Abstract of the proposal. - The existing arrangement for giving clinical instruction in infections diseases to the students of the Madras Medical College are very unsatisfactory. The teaching in this subjuect is now confined to short courses of lectures illustrated by diagrams and to such clinical instruction as is possible on the few cases of infectious fever which are treated at the General Hospital. According to the resolution adopted by the General Medical Council of Great Britain in May 1922, a course of instruction in acute infectious diseases has to be included as part of the University course in Medicine and the revised regulation of the Madras University giving effect to it will come into force from the academic year 1926-27. The only institution where efficient clinical instruction in infectious diseases can be given to medical students is the Isolation Hospital at Tondiarpet. This institution is now under the immediate charge of a Sub-Assistant Surgeon while the Health Officer, Madras Corporation, exercises general supervision and control. Neither of these persons is suitable for giving clinical instruction to the students of the Medical College and the appoinment of a medical graduate to be in charge of the Isolation Hospital is therefore essential. The Corporation of Madras which maintains the hospital at Tondiarpet cannot be expected to employ at its own cost an officer of a higher grade for the professional charge of the institution merely in the interests of the education of medical students. It is anticipated that the Corporation will agree to the proposal, if the Government are willing to pay a contribution equal to the

[25th August 1926.

difference between the pay of the assistant and that of the sub-assistant surgeon. The extra cost in the current year will be met by reappropriation from the existing provision under "32. Medical".

Extra cost

Extra cost.	1926-27.	Ultimate.
	RS.	RS.
Recurring	1,400	2,400

Recommendation of the Committee.—The Committee recommended the proposal for acceptance, the extra expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

### (25) Additional staff for the Chief Conservator of Forests.

Abstract of the proposal.—The existing staff which was sanctioned in 1922 consists of a manager on Rs. 200-250 and a head clerk on Rs. 125—175, six Upper Division clerks on Rs. 65-125, five Lower Division clerks on Rs. 40—80 and three typists on Rs. 40-80. Since then work has considerably increased owing chiefly to the following causes:—

- (1) The additional details now required in connexion with the preparation of the budget:
- (2) Creation of the Engineering Branch;
- (3) Introduction of commercial accounts;
- (4) Curtailment of the powers of the Chief Conservator in the matter of sanctioning temporary establishment:
- (5) The increased attention now devoted to the preparation of working plans.

The introduction of the scheme for the central of expenditure is another item of work which has added to the work of the office. There are at present only two drafting sections, one under the manager and the other under the head clerk and the work of the manager is already so heavy that it gives him very little time to exercise general control over the office. It is therefore proposed to form another section consisting of a Superintendent on Rs. 125—175 and three Upper Division clerks on Rs. 65—125. The extra expenditure in the current year will be met by reappropriation from the existing provision under "8. Forest".

	- 4	Extre	a cost	t.		
			O		1926-27.	Ultimate.
					RS.	RS.
Becurring	 	٥			 2,240	5,500

Recommendation of the Committee.—The Committee recommended the proposal for acceptance, the extra expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

### (26) Permanent retention of the middle school department of the Government Islamiah Secondary School, Trichinopoly.

Abstract of the proposal.—The Islamiah School, Trichinopoly, was taken over under Government management from the Muhammadan Educational Association, Trichinopoly, in the year 1919. Till last year the school was a

APPENDIX 115

### 25th August 1926]

middle school with forms I to III and classes 4 and 5. The IV Form was opened in the school in June 1925 and orders have been issued for the opening of the V Form in the current year. The school is on a temporary footing and the present sanction expired on the 30th June 1926. The strength of the school during 1925-26 was 154. As the middle school department of the institution has been on a temporary basis for nearly seven years, it is proposed to retain it permanently. The post of headmaster will be included in the cadre of school assistants carrying time-scale of pay while the other posts are already on incremental scales of pay. Provision has been made in the budget for the staff under "Temporary".

		Ext	tra cost.		
		g GI	SLAS	1926-27.	Ultimate
	A 60.	1-511	A 44 A	R8.	Rs.
Recurring	407			3,664	5,466

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended by the Committee for acceptance, the expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

## (27) Equalization of pay of the Deputy Superintendent of Police attached to the C.I.D. with that of the Assistant Commissioner of Police.

Abstract of the proposal .-- The Deputy Superintendent of Police attached to the C.I.D. now draws pay in the time-scale for Deputy Superintendents, viz., Rs. 250 -800, including selection grade, with a special pay of Rs. 75. The headquarters of the officer are at Madras and his sphere of work covers the whole presidency. He is the chief of the executive staff employed in the C.I.D. for securing regular information of the operations of organized crime and for inter-district and inter-provincial intelligence and co-operation. His work is very important and far more onerous than that of other Deputy Superintendents. Its efficient performance requires special aptitude and only officers of special qualifications are selected for the work. It is therefore proposed that the Deputy Superintendent, C.I.D., should be placed on the same footing as the City Assistant Commissioner, who draws pay in the scale of Rs. 440-30-800 with a conveyance allowance of Rs. 75 and a house-rent not exceeding Rs. 160 per mensem. The Deputy Superintendent will not. however, be eligible for the conveyance allowance of Rs. 75 per mensem and the special pay of Rs. 75 per mensem now admissible to him will be abolished. The extra expenditure in the current year will be met by reappropriation from the existing provision under "26. Police."

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended by the Committee for acceptance, the extra expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

Recurring

# (28) Permanent retention of the secondary training classes attached to the training school for masters, Guntur, and of the higher elementary training school for masters, Masulipatam.

Abstract of the proposal.—In 1920 the Government sanctioned the opening of training institutions in a number of centres as a temporary measure and it is now proposed to retain permanently two of them with effect from the 1st August 1926—

(i) Secondary training class attached to the Government training school for masters, Guntur.

This is the only secondary training class for masters in the Guntur district. The number of teachers trained in the class during each of the three years ending 1925-26 was 70, 55 and 63. The District Educational Officer recommends the permanent retention of the class, and the recommendation is supported by the Director of Public Instruction.

(ii) Training school, Masulipatam.

The number of teachers trained in this school during the last three years was as follows:-

20 日 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	emandred lines	H 1975 L. TX	1923-21	. 1924-20.	1925-26.
Higher elementary	12,852 (0.1	N 328F 123	71	75	76
Lower elementary		图 Parties	77	77	87

There is a large number of untrained teachers in the elementary schools in the Kistna district and this school is the only Government training school is the only Government training school

in the district. It is therefore proposed to retain it permanently.

The headmaster and four licentiate assistants and two secondary grade

The headmaster and four licentiate assistants and two secondary grade teachers are drawing fixed scales of pay and with the permanent retention of the institutions, it will be necessary to include them in the appropriate cadres. The extra expenditure in the current year will be met by reappropriation from the provision made for the two schools under "Temporary".

Extra	cost. 1926-27.	Ultimate.
Recurring	Rs. 5,900	Rs. 9,822

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended by the Committee for acceptance, the extra cost in the current year being met by reappropriation.

### (29) Additional staff for the Madura Industrial Institute.

Abstract of the proposal.—The present syllabuses of the metal-working and wood-working sections in the Madura Industrial Institute were framed to provide for boys who had only passed standard IV, but the recruits now coming forward possess much higher qualifications. It is therefore proposed to revise the syllabuses of studies in these sections so as to eliminate all provision for instruction in general educational subjects and to provide for a Julier training than has been possible hitherto in the theory of mechanical engineering, machine-drawing and mathematics. The revised courses of

APPENDIX 117.

25th August 19267

studies will enable apprentices to work full time on productive work in the workshop except for six hours per week in the first three years and four hours per week in the last two years set apart for class instruction. To provide for this improved training, the institute requires two additional assistant superintendents, one on Rs. 200—10—300 and the other on Rs. 100—5—150. It is proposed to sanction these appointments for a period of one year in the first instance. It is also proposed to increase the special pay attached to the post of superintendent from Rs. 50 to Rs. 75 per mensem. The extra expenditure during the current year will be met from the personal ledger account of the Institute cum workshop.

	Extra e	cost.		
	aLL	LD	1926-27.	Ultimate.
	EGIS	LAT	Rs.	RS.
Recurring	上高山岛	41.5	2,600	5,000

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended for acceptance.

III .- SCHEMES FOR WHICH PROVISION EXISTS IN THE BUDGET.

### (1) Employment of a Demonstrator in the Government College, Coimbatore, from year to year.

Abstract of the proposal.—In 1924 the Government College, Coimbatore, was affiliated to the University in group ii (Natural Science, Physics and Chemistry). In August 1925 the Director of Public Instruction reported that 238 students had to be engaged in the Physics, Chemistry and Natural Science classes and that the practical work of the students could not be efficiently supervised by the lecturers who had also to attend to the correction of note-books and to work in the laboratories. The employment of a demonstrator on Rs. 65 a month was accordingly sanctioned till the end of the college year 1925-26. It is reported that the work in the science section continues to be heavy. It is therefore proposed to authorize the employment of a demonstrator from year to year without reference to Government. Provision for the continuance of the post has been made in the budget estimate for 1926-27.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was accepted by the Committee.

### (2) Permanent retention of 30 temporary pupil nurses sanctioned for the General Hospital, Madras.

Abstract of the proposal.—Owing to a strike among the ward boys in the General Hospital, Madras, the Government directed in 1919 that the number of ward boys should be reduced from 66 to 22 and that 20 additional pupil nurses should be recruited as an experimental measure. In 1920 the training of Indian nurses in the General Hospital, Madras, was started as an experiment. Ten Indian pupil nurses were recruited for training. These have

passed their period of training successfully and have obtained diplomas as fully trained nurses and their conduct and work have been certified to be satisfactory. It is recommended that the arrangements referred to above should be made permanent. This question was considered by the Government in 1925, but a decision in the matter was deferred pending consideration of the proposals of the Special Retrenchment Officer for the reduction of the nursing staff of the General Hospital. The Government have since decided that no reduction of the 'staff is possible. On the other hand, they have found it necessary to sanction an addition of 12 pupil nurses to provide a leave reserve for the nursing staff. It is accordingly proposed to sanction the permanent retention of the 30 temporary pupil nurses referred to above. Provision has been made to meet this expenditure in the current year's budget.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was recommended for acceptance.

IV-SCHEMES TO BE MET BY SUPPLEMENTARY DEMANDS.

### (1) Nominal appropriation for the construction of an approach road to the Uppar Odai bridge, Tuticorin.

Abstract of the proposal.—The construction of the bridge across the Uppar Odai was completed last year the cost being borne equally by the Tuticorin Port Trust Board and the Government. The bridge cannot be brought into use, without an approach road and an estimate amounting to Rs. 34,400 has been approved. The whole cost has to be borne by the Government. The Tuticorin Port Trust, the Collector of Salt Revenue and the Harbour Engineer to Government urge the very early execution of the work on the ground that the bridge serves no useful purpose without the approaches. The expenditure during the current year will be met by reappropriation from the provision of Rs. 2 lakus for the reconstruction of the Iritty bridge on the Tellicherry-Coorg Road. As this is a new major work not provided for in the budget it is proposed to ask the Legislative Council for a supplementary grant of a nominal sum of Rs. 100 under Grant XXX. Civil Works—Transferred.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal to move a supplementary demand for a nominal sum of Rs. 100 in the current year was recommended by the Committee.

### (2) Nominal appropriation for the construction of a new Sub-

Abstract of the proposal.—The Collector of Malabar has reported that the sub-jail building is very old and that in its present condition it is not a secure place of custody. The question of the construction of a new sub-jail along with offices for the Deputy Collector, Sub-Magistrate and Sub-Registrar has been under consideration for sometime but the proposal has been kept in abeyance for five years from January 1925. Meanwhile owing to the condition to which the existing building has been reduced, the

construction of a new sub-jail has become a very urgent necessity. The work is estimated to cost Rs. 10,100. A sum of Rs. 6,000 will be required in the current year and will be met by reappropriation within the budget provision under "41. Civil works—Transferred." As, however, this is a new major work not provided for in the budget it is proposed to move a supplementary demand for a nominal sum of Rs. 100.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The Committee recommended that a supplementary demand may be moved for a nominal sum of Rs. 100.

### (3) Nominal appropriation for the employment of a District Detective staff as an experimental measure in Salem and Anantapur.

Abstract of the proposal.—The Inspector-General of Police has represented that there is a considerable number of cases of grave crime, chiefly dacoity or robbery and burglary, which by reason of their being the work of organizations extending over a considerable area, often including two or more districts, cannot be adequately investigated by the ordinary staff of a Police station. To deal adequately with such cases, special officers should pursue the investigation for several weeks at a time. There being no special staffs in districts, criminal organizations obtain too long a lease of life before being broken up and cases increase and go undetected. It is therefore proposed to create District Detective staffs for the detection of grave crime which, side by side with investigation, will collect and compile information regarding criminals and criminal organizations which will be of general use in its own district and other districts concerned. An experiment will be commenced in the districts of Salem and Anantapur for three years in the first instance with the following additional staff for each district:—

One inspector.
One sub-inspector.
Two head constables and
Six constables

As the work will be exacting and calls for picked men, special pay will be attached to the posts as follows:—

	A 20 10 10	THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING		E. B. Martin Co.	357 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
		JNE	1.5		Rs.
Inspector			•		 25
Sub-Inspector			.:		 15
Head constables					 5
Constables					 3

It is proposed to introduce the scheme with effect from the 1st Angust 1926 and to meet the extra expenditure during the current year by reappropriation within the Police budget. As it is a scheme of new service not contemplated in the budget, it is proposed to move a supplementary demand for a nominal sum of Rs. 100.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal to move a supplementary demand for a nominal sum of Rs. 100 in the current year was recommended by the Committee.

[25th August 1926

### (4) Additional appropriation for the temporary Police staff for Kallar reclamation in the Tanjore district.

Abstract of the proposal.—Proposals have been sanctioned by Government for the extension of activities in connexion with the reclamation of Kallars in the Tanjore district and provision has been made in the budget estimate for the purpose. The work was supervised by a temporary Police staff consisting of one inspector, one sub-inspector and two constables. Consequent on the proposals for the extension of the Kallar reclamation scheme, the Inspector-General of Police asked for an increased staff of one deputy superintendent, 3 sub-inspectors, 8 head constables and 29 constables in lieu of the then existing staff. The Finance Committee, however, recommended the addition of one sub-inspector and 2 constables only. This additional staff was sanctioned by the Government with effect from the 1st April 1926. The Inspector-General of Police has now brought to notice that the staff originally recommended by him is absolutely necessary and that without this addition the work of reformation could not be properly carried out. The Government have accepted the necessity for the extra staff and, in view of the urgency of the scheme, sanctioned its employment till 28". February 1927. It is proposed to move a supplementary demand for Rs. 14,816 under "Grant XV. Police-Reserved". An equivalent amount will be resumed from the existing provision of Rs. 3,11,000 under "37. Miscellaneous Departments-Miscellaneous-Commissioner of Labour-Kallar Reclamation " for the current year.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal to move a supplementary demand for Rs. 14,816 in the current year under "Grant XV. Police—Reserved" was recommended by the Committee.

### B .- SUPPLEMENTARY DEMANDS.

### (1) Appropriation for election charges.

Abstract of the proposal.—In the budget estimate for 1926-27, a provision of Rs. 72,000 has been made for the charges in connexion with the ensuing general elections under the head "22. R.B. b. General Administration—Legislative bodies—Elections for Indian and Provincial Legislatures". In addition, provision has also been made under various other heads aggregating Rs. 1,53,400 on account of temporary establishments in the Secretariat and in Collectors' offices, travelling allowances of village officers and stationery and proving charges. It has been held that all charges on account of elections should be shown under the head "Elections for Indian and Provincial legislatures". It is accordingly proposed to move the Legislative Council for a supplementary demand for Rs. 1,53,400 under Grant IX "General Administration—Legislative hodies". The amounts provided under the various other heads will be resumed.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal to move a supplementary demand for Rs. 1,53,400 under Grant IX was recommended by the Committee,

### (2) Appropriation for meeting the expenditure on the House Committee of the Legislative Council.

Abstract of the proposal.—A sum of Rs. 5,000 was voted by the Legislative Council on the 7th November 1925 for expenditure on the House Committee. A sum of Rs. 1,555 only was spent during 1925-26. A further sum of Rs. 350 has been placed at the disposal of the House Committee during the current year by reappropriation. The House Committee has thus so far incurred a total expenditure of Rs. 1,905. It is now proposed to grant the balance of Rs. 3,100 and to move the Legislative Council for a supplementary demand for that amount. The amount will be met from savings under other grants.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal to move a supplementary demand for Rs. 3,100 under Grant "IX. General Administration—Legislative bodies—Reserved" was recommended by the Committee.

### 'C-PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE.

- (1) Purchase of bicycles for the Police Department.—Note explaining that the war office pattern bicycle is a very strong machine, well suited for rough work and that it is cheaper in the long run than any other make of bicycle available through local agency.
- (2) G.O. No. 559, Public, dated 7th June 1926, sanctioning the training of two Sub-Inspectors of the Criminal Investigation Department for a period of nine months in the various processes by which currency notes are forged under the Printing and Photographic Expert of the Survey Department and fixing the pay and allowances to be drawn by them during the period of their training.
- (3) G.O. No. 622, Public, dated 19th June 1926, sanctioning the appointment of an additional Sessions Judge with the powers of a Subordinate Judge for a period not exceeding three months from 1st July 1926 for the Bellary Sessions division and the employment of a temporary establishment for the same period.
- (4) G.O. No. 608, Public, dated 16th June 1926, raising the rate of hill allowance now drawn by attenders, muchis, harkaras and other inferior servants of the Secretariat offices and of the Government House establishment from Rs. 5 to Rs. 8 per mensem with effect from the 1st April 1926.
- (5) Note regarding the re-engagement of the Logging Engineer on a pay of Rs. 1,500 per measurement for a period of 2 years from the 22nd February 1926.
- (6) Note on the progress made by the Boy Scout Movement in the Madras Presidency with reference to the desire expressed by the Committee , when considering the question of sanctioning a grant of Rs. 10,000 for the Association in the current year.
- (7) G.O. No. 139, Law (Legislative), dated 26th April 1926, sanctioning a revised scale of pay of Rs. 350—30—500 for the Assistant Secretary to the Legislative Council and granting the present incumbent two advance.

increments in the scale from the 1st April 1926 and G.O. No. 162, Law (Legislative), dated 7th May 1926, sanctioning the permanent employment of an upper division clerk on Rs. 70—175 in the library attached to the Legislative Council Office in place of the temporary upper division clerk on Rs. 70 per mensem and the employment in the library of an attender on Rs.  $35 - \frac{1}{3} - 40$  a month.

- (8) Note regarding the purchase of an ice-making machine for the General Hospital, Madras, at a cost of Rs. 4,165.
- (9) Note regarding the purchase of testing apparatus for the Chief Inspector of Boilers at a cost of Rs. 1,900, the amount being met by reappropriation from the following sources :-

(1) 37-R k. Miscellaneous Departments-Expenditure	RS.
in England—Stores	1,300
(2) 37-R.b. Miscellaneous Departments—Inspector of Steam Boilers—Customs duty—Voted	200
(3) 37-R.g. Miscellaneous Departments—Loss or gain by exchange—Stores—Voted	400

(10) G.O. No. 925, Development, dated 29th June 1926, sanctioning a grant of Rs. 1,500 to the Madras Agricultural Students' Union towards the expenditure in connexion with the celebration of the Jubilee of the Agricultural College, Coimbatore, from the 12th to 17th July 1926, with a note explaining the necessity for the grant,

FORT ST. GEORGE, 15th July 1926.

T. E. MOIR.

11/160 Proceedings of the second meeting of the Finance Committee for 1926-27 held on Friday the 16th July 1926 at 1-30 p.m. at the Cabinet Chamber, Fort St. George.

### PRESENT:

The hon. Mr. T. E. Moir, c.s.i., c.i.e., I.C.S. (Chairman). M.R.Ry. Diwan Bahadur K SURYANARAYANAMURTI NAYUDU Garu. M.L.C.

- 'K. PRABHAKARAN TAMPAN Avargal, M.L.C.
- P. N. MARTHANDAM PILLAI Avargal, M.L.C. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB Bahadur, M.L.C.

Khan Bahadur P. KHALIFULLAH SAHIB Bahadur, M.L.C.

G. T. BOAG, Esq., I.C.S., M.L.C.

128

25th August 19267

### SCHEMES FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE CURRENT YEAR.

I-TO BE MET BY SUPPLEMENTARY DEMANDS.

(1) Additional appropriation of Rs. 3,300 under Grant "XX. Medical—Transferred" to meet the expenditure in connexion with Sir Norman Walker's visit to India:

Abstract of the proposal.—A proposal has been made that Sir Norman Walker should visit India sometime in October 1926 to inspect the Medical Colleges with a view to ensuring that the standard of medical education and training required by the General Medical Council is maintained in the Indian Universities. An officer will be deputed by the Government of India to accompany Sir Norman Walker throughout his tour and each Local Government will depute one medical officer and two non-official medical practitioners to accompany and assist them if and when they visit their respective Provinces. The total expenditure involved in the proposal is estimated at about Rs. 23,000. The Government of India consider that as the expenditure is in the interests of the Provincial transferred subject of medical education it should be borne by Provincial Governments. The share to be paid by the Madras Government will be about Rs. 3,300. It is proposed to move a supplementary demand for this amount under Grant "XX. Medical".

Extra vost. 1926-1927

Non-recurring .. .. .. 3,300

Recommendation of the Committee.—The Committee accepted the proposal and recommended that the expenditure should be met by reappropriation.

## (2) Nominal appropriation of Rs. 100 towards a further advance to the Board of Commissioners for Hindu Religious Endowments.

Abstract of the proposal,-The Board of Commissioners for Hindu Religious Endowments began its work on the 15th April 1925, and an advance of Rs. 50,000 was sanctioned to the Board towards its initial expenditure, the amount to be repaid in five equal annual instalments at 53 per cent per annum. When demands were issued by the Board under sections 65 and 66 of Act I of 1925 to the Trustees of temples and maths for contributions due by them, the response was very slow as various issues were raised by the trustees and a decision thereon had to be arrived at before they could meet the demands. It was therefore found necessary to sanction a further advance of Rs. 1,15,000 during 1925-26. The President of the Board, has now reported that, owing to a large number of suits having been filed in the . High Court and other courts questioning the validity of the Act, contributions are not forthcoming as anticipated. Besides, the rules under the various sections of the Act were actually confirmed only in G.O. No. 2934, L. & M., dated 10th June 1926. The Board has accordingly asked for a further. advance of Rs. 1,00,000 to enable it to meet its expenditure in the current year.

It is proposed to sanction an advance of Rs. 60,000 to the Board in the current year subject to the same conditions as those on which the previous advances were made. The amount can be met by reappropriation from the anticipated savings under loans to municipalities for water-supply schemes. In order to obtain the approval of the Legislative Council to, the proposal, a supplementary demand will be moved for a nominal sum of Rs. 100.

Recommendation of the Committee,—The Committee recommended the moving of a supplementary demand for a nominal sum of Rs. 100 on the understanding that only such amount will be spent as is absolutely necessary to enable the Board to pay its way. At the same time the Committee desired to know the reasons for the delay in publishing the rules and the date on which they are likely to come into effect.

II-TO BE MET BY REAPPROPRIATION.

### (1) Opening of the sixth standard in the Reformatory School, Chingleput.

Abstract of the proposal.—The Reformatory School, Chingleput, has at present classes up to and inclusive of the fifth standard of an elementary school. It often happens that boys in the school who have completed the course in the fifth standard have to remain in the school for some years to complete their period of detention. The Director of Public Instruction and the Inspector-General of Reformatory Schools consider that facilities should be provided in the school for the further education of such boys and that for the present the sixth standard may be opened in the school. There are at present twelve boys who are fit for promotion to the sixth standard. It is proposed to appoint an additional trained teacher of the secondary grade on Rs, 35—60 with effect from the 1st August 1926. The extra expenditure in the current year can be met by reappropriation.

Recommendation of the Committee.—The Committee accepted the proposal, the extra expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

#### (2) Permanent employment of an Inspector of Police for the Government House.

Abstract of the proposal.—Since 1919 a temporary appointment of Inspector of Police is being sanctioned from 15th October to 15th April of each year to work as a liaison officer between the City Police and the Military Secretary to His Excellency the Governor. Besides acting as liaison officer he has to make arrangements at places where His Excellency has public or semi-public engagements. It has now been represented that the additional Inspector doing duty at the Government House will be required there throughout the year and that the present system of obtaining temporary sanction from year to year is unsatisfactory and causes inconvenience. It is therefore proposed to make a permanent addition of an Inspector to the Intelligence branch of the Madras City Police with effect from the 15th October 1926 or the date of expiry of the present sanction for the employment of a temporary Inspector, whichever is earlier. The Inspector will draw

25th August 1926]

the pay and special pay admissible to Inspectors of the Intelligence branch and will draw, in addition, house-rent of Rs. 40 per mensem in lieu of free quarters and hill allowance of Rs. 100 per mensem for the six months of the Inspector's stay at Octacamund with His Excellency the Governor. The expenditure during the current year can be met by reappropriation within the appropriation under 26. Police.

Extra cost.

1926-27. Ultimate cost.
RS. RS.

Recurring .. .. .. 1,417½ 5,113½

Recommendation of the Committee.—The proposal was accepted by the Committee, the extra expenditure in the current year being met by reappropriation.

FORT ST. GEORGE, 17th July 1926.

T. E. MOIR.

### APPENDIX IX.

[Vide item III b 'Communications to the Council' on page 34 supra.]

G.O. No. 866, Development, dated 14th June 1926.

READ—the following papers :-

Letter from E. F. Thomas, Esq., c.i.e., I.C.S., Director of Industries, to the Secretary to Government, Development Department (through the Accountant-General, Madras), dated the 15th March 1926, No. 28-E.

I have the honour to forward herewith the balance sheet with the other relative accounts of the Kerala Soap Institute, Calicut, for the quarter ending the 31st December 1925 received from Messrs. Fraser & Ross with their letter, dated the 4th March 1926 (copy enclosed), from which it will be observed that the Institute has earned a net profit of Rs. 7,041-6-3 during the quarter under reference. My remarks on the accounts will be submitted to Government separately.

ENCLOSURE

Letter from Messis. Fraser & Ross, Chartered Accountants and Auditors, to the Director of Industries, dated 4th March 1926.

The Kerala Soap Institute, Calicut.]

We have the honour to hand you herewith in duplicate the balance sheet of the above as at 31st December 1925 duly certified together with the relative manufacturing, trading and profit and loss accounts for the quarter ending that date, receipt of which please acknowledge. Please forward one copy of the accounts to the Secretary to Government, Development Department.

2. Doubtful debts.—We wish to draw your attention to the following book debts considered doubtful:—

				RS. A. P.	RS.	A.	P.
A. S. R. Murthi &	Sons				2,053	11	0
Ananthanarayana ]	Bros.			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,029	13	0
		,	.,		40	6	0
K. S. K. Iyer					25	9	•1
M: Swamy & Sons	.:				· 191	8	0
N. Gulam Moideen					68	14	0
A. Kasipathi				5,892 10 2			
Less deposit				2,000 0 0			
					3,892	10	2
C. Erasa Menon	5	15.5	140 5	07	1,733	8	3
Legal expenses	:. LE	010	77	112	1,115	7	0
	シア語			16,1			_
In or	2 3		2000	-3 4 Col	10,151	6	6
# 5/2 1 #		SELECTION PROPERTY.	(BROOL NO HAND)	J. O. S. B.	The second second	200000000	120000

We are of opinion that they should be reserved for in the final accounts for the year ending 31st March 1926 with the exception of the amount due by E. Erasa Menon who is regularly paying the instalments due on the compromise decree with him.

The Superintendent of the Institute contends that the above book debts relate to the period before the concern was commercialized and should be adjusted against the accumulated profits and not charged against the profit and loss account for the current year.

We invite your attention to paragraph 8 (ix) of our audit report for the

year ending 31st March 1925.

In paragraph 11—Accumulated profits—of our report, dated 31st March 1924, we suggested that "from the balance if any of the accumulated profits transfer should be made to reserve for bad and doubtful debts of a sum sufficient to raise the reserve to 5 per cent of the book debts to meet unforeseen contingencies." Government in paragraph 8 of Order No. 2001, Development, dated 21st November 1924, say that this point does not arise in view of the order in paragraph (2) of the same order under which the assets will be valued at their present value only. It is impossible to value book debts as on any particular date.

Under ordinary circumstances we would not agree to the contention that debts relating to prior periods should not be adjusted to the profit and loss account of the year in which they proved to be bad or doubtful; but since these debts are of the period before the Institute was commercialized and the revaluation of the assets was made to avoid overcapitalization and a private purchaser of a concern would not take over the book debts at their face value, however good they may be, we are inclined to endorse the view of the Superintendent.

We therefore suggest that you address the Government in the matter and have the decision of the Government regarding the same before the final accounts for the year ending 31st March 1926 are prepared.

3. We are not submitting a separate report to Government on these accounts.

### SUB-ENCLOSURES

# THE KERALA SOAP INSTITUTE, CALICUT. (i) Balance Sheet as at 31st December 1925.

		Datan	00 21000	CLD CLO	PROP	TOTTY	ANT	10	erme				
CAPIT		LIABILITIES.	-	SE L	PROP				RS. A.	n	RS.		-
	RS. A.	P. R8. A.	P. R8.	A. P.	SLASTON	RS.	A.	Р.	RS. A.	P.	IIS.	A.	P.
Capital-			Pa.	LE	Fixed capital expendi-								
Government of Madras-			P. WO	- 250.21	ture								
As per balance sheet		3,01,873 1		950	Government land for the								
as at 31st March		11 10	Dr. Jam	SEE.	Institute-	N.					95 050		^
1925.			21/10-	B125-4	As per balance sheet as						25,656	0	U
Working capital		50,000 0	0	FCE-23	at 31st March 1925.	28							
" orming only		-	3,51,87	3 1 1	Buildings-	~ 16							
Reserve for bad and doubt-		195	1,32	2 3 0	As per balance sheet as	803		. 9	4,570 14	6			
ful debts.		11 4 5 1		1075.530	at 31st March 1925.	건 명칭							
Liabilities—		BRSE		200202030	Less Depreciation-	133							
For goods supplied		5,113 2	7		Up to 31st Sep-	5,16	14	6					
For expenses		• 5,479 13	2	ESC CONTR	ember 1925.	A los							
For customers' credit		225 13		ATTA STORY	For the guarter	80	3 0						
balances.			Marie	SICIPIE	<b>元</b> 公			-	5,972 14	6			
For moiety of director's		1,233 14	0		1825/625/EM			-			88,598	0	0
salary, etc.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ggarant g	ING CICLOS	Plant and machinery-								
For audit fee		715 8	0		As yer balance sheet	36,08	6 6	6					
For contribution for		1.125 0	0	ententente (A.C.)	as at 31st March	METERS F							
		1,120	The second		1925.	B 107							•
leave and pension		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	13.80	3 3 6	Add Additions up	56	1 13	4					
allowance.			10,00		to 30th September	gr.			S 2 9 9 3				E3150
				<u> </u>	1925.								
Security deposits invested			4.6 E 19000		ELLENAN L			_ 3	6,648 3	10			
per contra—		1,000 0	0		Less Depreciation-	0							
Storekeeper		2,000 0	0		Up to 30th Sep-	5.24	3 3	10					
Late traveller, Kasi-	•	2,000	7,0		tember 1925.	,,							
_ pathi.		200 0	04/-		For the quarter	90	0	0					
Firewood contractor	••	200 0		0 0 0	To the quality	0			6,147 3	10			
			3,20	0 0 0	Furniture and fittings-				9111	_	30,501	0	0
Profit and loss account—		00 104 0	14	A	As per balance sheet				2,680 0	0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1000	
Balance as per balance	••	23,164 2		910	as at 31st March		•		-,000				
sheet as at 31st March				-	• 1925.								
1925.		1			1920.					16/6			
			0.70.000	7 7			0	arried	over	1	1,44,755	0	0
		Carried over	3,70,28	0 1 1							-,,100		
				-						PAGE TAXABLE PAGE	THE RESERVE	SECTION AND	202000

25th August 1926]

	Stock in trade—			
	Vegetol		673 15 1	
	Washwell		3,885 0 5	
	Toilet		727 4 4	
	Yellow bar		61 7 0	
	Shaving soap		27 8 4	
	Deepa brand		0 8 0	
8	Experimental bar		233 7 10	
. E	Soft soap		3,806 11 11	
A CO. L. VIK.	Fish oil rosin		149 7 9	
100 M	Cheap bar		0 10 8	
47.7	Book debts-	7		9,516 1 4
- EX	Considered good	V. W.	88,879 5 11	
, 是一 起放	Considered doubtful	198	10,151 6 6	
	Deposits and advances-	208	•	99,030 12 5
- Dan miles	Fixed deposit receipts held	<sup>52</sup> 명립		25,000 12 0
A.12	as security deposits of	10 _ 8		
四十二 日十五	employees, etc., as per	2.28		
FOLK	contra	le l		
253.20	With the Imperial	<b>*</b>		
Ens- 127-37	Bank of India	2,200 0 0		
12/5	With the Nedungadi			
Alma (ca)	Bank, Limited	1,000 0 0		
The state of the s			3,200 0 0	
AND STREET, ST	• Advance for advertisement		4 0 0	
	Fire insurance prepaid		246 11 9	
	Advance to workmen		53 10 0	
	Cash and other balances-			3,504 5 5
MIII - Incompanies	Personal ledger account	V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V -		
THE PERSONNEL STATES	No. 1	100	9,466 0 9	
	Personal ledger account	<b>\</b>		
	No. 2		39,380 0 5	
4,	Cash and cheques on hand	C.	2,348 4 2	
3,98,966 5 9	0 00100	112		51,194 5 4
V74 10	oll les my		1.15	3,98,966 5 9
7 AL				0,00,000 0 9
xamined and for	and correct.			

FRASER & Ross, Chartered Accountants,
Commercial Accountants and Auditors to the Govt. of Madras.

MADRAS, 4th March 1926.

(ii)

### Manufacturing Account for the quarter ending 31st December 1925.

· 4.1	Vegetol soap.	Washwell sap.	Toilet. soap.	Yellow bar soap.	Shaving soap.	Experimental bar soap.
To Stock in process of manufacture.	RS. A. P.	Rs. A. P. 16,198 12 5	RS. A. P. 928 3 4	RS. A. P. 12,587 2 10	8. A. F	
,, Raw materials, soraps of other soaps and firewood.	4,530 1 10	11,349 1 1	1,731 13 • 8	12,745 13 5	7 6	0 1,709 2 8
" Wages " Factory overheads	72 5 2 572 8 10		154 10 7 151 6 2	502 0 11 1,645 6 8		43 10 3 257 14 3
Total	5,174 15 10	29,621 3 1	2,966 1 9	27,480 7 10	49 14	0 2,650 2 0
By Scraps, etc., used for	583 15 3		80 0 0	680 0 0		••
other soaps.	4,375 15 2	14,686 11 4	1,921 10 7	23,287 5 10	24 2	2 1,730 14 2
to stores. ,, Stock in process of manufacture.	-215 1 5	14,934 7 9	964 7 2	3,513 2 0	25 11 1	0 919 3 10
Total	5,174 15 10	29,621 3 1	2,966 1 9	27,480 7 10	19 14	0 2,650 2 0
WASH RESIDENCE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	PO - NO BERT AND	1840 EUROCCOSTALISTIC PROTECTION	A Transport Market Street	2 http://www.com/		
÷ \.	Textile soap.	Fish-oil soft soap.	Fish-o rosin so		rine.	Total.
						Total. (12)
To Stock in process of	soap.	soft soap.  (9)  RS. A.	rosin so	ap. Glycer		
manufacture. ,, Raw materials, scraps of other soaps and	(8)	soft soap.  (9)  RS. A.	rosin 80 (10) P. R8. A	(1 A. P. Rs. 2,109	1) A. P. 2 0	(12)
manufacture.	80ap. (8)	(9)  Rs. A. 304 0	rosin so (10)  P. R8. 4 0 386 12	R8. 2,109	1) A. P. 2 0	(12)  RS. A. P. 32,809 3 5
manufacture. ,, Raw materials, scraps of other scaps and firewood. ,, Wages	80ap. (8)  RS. A. P. 831 10 10	soft soap.  (9)  RS. A. 304 0  4,589 8 •	rosin so (10)  R8. 4 0 386 12 9 3 (85 8	R8. 2,109 2 7 359 3 3 10	1) A. P. 2 0 10 0	12)  RS. A. P. 32,809 3 5 38,241 0 4 1,472 1 7
manufacture, , Raw materials, soraps of other soaps and firewood. , Wages , Factory overheads .  Total  By Scraps, etc., used for	80ap. (8) R8. A. P. 831 10 10 87 3 11	(9)  Rs. A. 304 0  4,589 8 •	rosin so (10)  R8. 4 0 386 12 9 3 (85 8	R8. 2,109 2 7 359 3 3 94	1) A. P. 2 0 10 0	(12)  R8. A. P. 32,809 3 5  38,241 0 4  1,472 1 7 5,671 5 0
manufacture. ,, Raw materials, scraps of other soaps and firewood. ,, Wages ,, Factory overheads Total	(8)  RS. A. F. 831 10 10  3 5 0 87 3 11  922 3 9	(9)  18. A. 304 0 4,589 8  18. 6 1,313 12 1 6,290 11 1	rosin so (10)  P. Rs. 40  3 386 12  9 3 475 6	(1) Rs. P. Rs. 2,109 2 7 559 3 3 10 0 8 2,562	1) A. P. 2 0 10 0 1 6 13 6	ns. A. P. 32,809 3 5 6 88,241 0 4 1,472 1 7 5,671 5 0 78,193 10 4 1,343 15 3 54,819 5 5
manufacture, , Raw materials, soraps of other soaps and firewood. , Wages , Factory overheads .  Total .  By Scraps, etc., used for other soaps , Finished soaps sent	(8)  RS. A. P.  831 10 10  3 5 0  87 3 11  922 3 9	(9)  18. A. 304 0 4,589 8  18. 6 1,313 12 1 6,290 11 1	rosin so (10)  P. Re. 40  3 386 12  9 3 (1 85 85 11 475 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(1) Rs. P. Rs. 2,109 2 7 559 3 3 10 0 8 2,562	1) A. P. 2 0 10 0 1 6 13 6	12)  R8, A. F. 82,809 A. 5 88,241 0 4  1,472 1 7 5,671 5 0 78,193 10 4  1,343 15 3

(iii)

Trading Account for the quarter ending 31st December 1925.

(1)	Vegetol soap.	Washwell soap.	Toilet soap:	Yellow bar soap (5)	Shaving soap.	Deepa brand soap. (7)	Experimental bar soap. (8)
To Stock on hand ,, Cost of scaps received from factory ,, Packing charges, etc. ,, Perfumes and essential oils ,, Gross profit .  Tetal	1,639 0 10 4,375 15 2 195 16 2 1,108 .9 4 7,319 8 6	R8. A. P. 6,643 14 11 14,686 11 4 1,115 4 2 6,373 10 2 28,819 8 7	88. A. P. 494 0 5 1,921 10 7 1,035 12 4 1,372 2 9 1,975 14 1 6,799 8 2	RS. A. P.  - 26 10 2 20,287 6 10 1,398 11 0 6,168 7 0 30,881 2 0	18. A. P.  24 1 6  24 2 2  55 15 6  4 12 6  108 15 8	88. A. P.  0 8 0	18. A. P.  472 4 3 1,736 14 2 106 3 9 583 10 7 2,893 0 9
By Sales less returns	2 5 6	24,946 13 6 28 0 5 9 10 3 3,835 0 5	5,804 10 6 267 9 4 727 4 4	30,817 1 8 2 9 4 61 7 0	73 5 2 8 2 2 27 8 4	0 8 0	2,657 8°10 2 0 1 233 7 10
Total	7,319 8 6	28,819 8 7	6,799 8 2	30,881 2 0	108 15 8	0 8 0	2,893 0 9

Trading Account for the quarter ending 31st December 1925-cont.

Oggado anches Biblioti (the beginne	Textile soap.	Eish-oil soft soap. (10)	Fish-oil rosin soap.	Cheap bar soap.	Glycerine, (13)	Total. (14)
To Stock on hand  "Cost of soaps received from factory  "Packing charges, etc.  "Perfumes and essential oils "Gross profit  Total	154 0 · 3 18 4 0 216 1 9	763 11 4 6,244 10 9 488 9 9 1,271 1 3 8,768 1 1	Rs. A. P.  358 11 0 475 0 8 20 10 1  475 12 7  1,330 2 4 .	E8. A. P.  0 10 8  0 10 8	1,918 14 6 74 1 7 132 11 11 2,125 12 0	10,423 9 1 54,819 5 5 4,509 7 4 1,372 2 9 18,369 11 2 89,434 3 9
By Sales less returns	387 2 0	4,827 6 0 0 6 2 133 9 0 3,806 J1 11	1,180 3 5 0 7 2 149 7 9	0 10 8	2,075 1 0 50 11 0	78,562 10 7 362 7 2 923 0 8 9,516 1 4
Total	387 6 0	8,768 1 1	1,330 2 4	0 10 8	2,125 12 0	89,434 3 9

Profit and Loss Account for the quarter ending 31s	December !	1925.
--	------------	-------

				R5.	A. P.	R8.	A. P.						RS.	1.	P. 0
To expenses-							MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE	The state of the s							1000
Establishment charges						-	1	By Gross profit from tr	ading aco	ount	••		18,309	11	2 0
Bates and taxes				13			G15	LAFT							
Advertisement charges				1,262		LE		" House-rent	••		••		51	6	9 0
Printing and stationery				130			44.02	A YOUR DELLE					•		. 5
Postage and telegram					0 6			" Sundry sales, etc.					200	0	1
Discount and exchange					12 0	d- 8		21,00							
Despatching charges				3,283	11 3	門/18/18/19		FRANCIA							
Sundry office and facto	ry expens	les		- 171	0 7		5-3356 75	PECTE TO	- 0						
Laboratory experiment	al work			36		215	E/1200E 121	THE PART OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	120						
Free sample issues				362		£3		中国的统工场。 一 一 一	医空医				•		
Legal expenses				114	4 0	1972	a-20世 的	N EGA-M	건성됩						
Travelling allowance				90	1 0	- Contract	SCS MELLAND, CORRE	the same of	772 or 28						
Trade bonus (reserve)				927	0 9	周知	1,000	14 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	gart PC B						
Fire insurance				246	11 9	100	CET# 878								
					Section 1	9,502	3 9	THE REAL PROPERTY.	- B						
To Depreciation-					S. S. San	超马		MANUAL TO THE PARTY OF THE PART							
_On office buildings				89	0 0	Mary Co	SEBBE	10后台灣							
On laboratory apparati	18			360	0. 0	Aird. Q		1 (15) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1							
On office furniture				67	0 0	ALME.									
		•		-	-	516	0 0		TE SEE						
To Reserve for expenses or	edited to	Gover	rnment-	- 101	<b>新田田</b> 二二			3 3436 2 American and State 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							
Director's supervision	charges			583			100 A R	PT 1 Transferrencessesson - 5 II II II							
Andit fee		120		356	0 0	69	F-18 V	株札信   ②   上電影]							
Leave and pension con	tribution	3		562	8 0	DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE	10年起的	<b>初了為國際國際國際國際</b> 有實施							
and the second second second second	•				1000 0-1	1,501	8 0	The second second	200						
To Net profit					, NG	7,041	6 3	ALC PORT OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	S*						
					12.		200					-		To be	
				Tot	al	18,561	2 0	* . D.3	C		Total		18,561	9	0

N.B.—Interest on capital has not been included in the Profit and Loss Account. Interest on the capital of Rs. 3,51,873 at 6.08 per cent is Rs. 5,348.

II

Letter from L. B. GREEN, Esq., M.B.E., Director of Industries (in charge), to the Secretary to Government, Development Department (through the Accountant-General, Madras), dated Madras, the 3rd April 1926, No. 28-E/26.

In continuation of my letter No. 28-E/26, dated the 15th March 1926, I have the honour to offer the following remarks on the accounts of the Kerala Soap Institute, Calicut, for the quarter ending the 31st December 1925.

2. Book Debts—Good.—Of the amount of Rs. 88,879-5-11 shown under "Book Debts—Good", Rs. 45,154-1-0 represents the value of yellow bar soap and soft soap supplied to the Army Department. This amount is reported by the Superintendent to have been since collected.

Book Debts—Doubtful—Rs. 10,151-6-6.—This amount comprises the following items:—

的 多	RS. CA. P.	RS. A. P.
(1) A. S. R. Moorthy & Sons	DAFAN.	2,053 11 0
(2) Ananthanarayana Brothers	Druft of	1,029 13 0
(3). A. Kasipathi	5,892 10 2	
Less Deposit	2,000 0 0	
45、1000000000000000000000000000000000000	(X20/2411)	3,892 10 2
(4) C. Erasa Menon		1,733 8 3
(5) Legal expenses	W. Fill	1,115 7 0
(6) S. N. V. Ayyar	IF & HIV	40 6 0
(7) K. S. K. Ayyar	A business 115	25 9 1
(8) M. Sawmi & Sons		191 8 0
(9) N. Gulam Moideen		68 14 0
TRI WEDING	Total	10,151 6 6

The position of items (1) to (4) has already been explained in detail in my letter No. 252-E/25, dated the 18th November 1925, in connexion with the audit report for the quarter ending the 30th June 1925. There is no change to report in respect of these items with the exception of item (4). As Mr. Erasa Menon has failed to pay the sixth instalment of the decree amount, a warrant has been issued by the Court fof his arrest and that of his surety. There seems to be little prospect of recovering the amounts covered by the other items. The amounts covered by items (6) to (9) have already been written off as reported in my letter to Government No. 383-E/25, dated the 12th February 1926, on the audit report for the quarter ending the 30th September 1925. The amount covered by item (5) (Legal expenses) will be recovered if and when the decreed amounts are recovered. I therefore consider that, as suggested by

25th August 1926]

the Auditors, these debts, which relate to the period before the concern was commercialized, should be adjusted against the accumulated profits instead of being carried over in the accounts from quarter to quarter. Every effort and all necessary steps, however, will be taken to recover the amounts and the realizations as and when effected will be shown in the Profit and Loss Accounts.

3. I request that early orders may be passed on the question of resuming a portion of the accumulated profits to cover the bad and doubtful debts of the Institute as it is necessary to arrive at a decision in the matter before the final accounts for the year ending 31st March 1926 are prepared.

#### III

Endorsement of the Accountant-General, Madras, No. H.A. Count, 7-1837, dated 17th May 1926.

Forwarded.

2. The amount chargeable to the concern on account of audit fee (including travelling allowance and out-of-pocket expenses) for the quarter is Rs. 392-7-0 as against Rs. 318-15-0 entered in the Profit and Loss Account (after taking into account Rs. 37-1-0 under-charged in the Profit and Loss Account of the previous quarter). The Commercial Auditors have been asked to adjust the difference in the accounts of the next quarter.

3. The balance of Rs. 53-10-0 under 'Advances to workmen' represents the amount remaining to be recovered in respect of advances made last July on the occasion of a local festival. The proposals of the Director of Industries for the regularization of such advances have been ferwarded separately with my comments—vide my endorsement No. H.A. Comml. 7-1836, dated 17th May 1926.

4. The doubtful debts amounting to nearly Rs. 10,000 which it is now proposed to adjust to Government capital account, were considered to be quite good at the time of the full commercialization of the Institute, and were first classified as doubtful only in last June. The policy of the Madras Government in respect of book debts of their commercial concerns, has been to debit the Profit and Loss Account by credit to bad debt reserve account of the concern in full for such debts as and when they are found to be bad or doubtful, and not to create a general reserve at a percentage on the total of the book debts. Apparently for this reason, they did not agree to the proposal of the Auditors, in the last sentence of paragraph 1 i of their report on page 4 of G.O. No. 2001, Development, dated 21st November 1924, to form an additional reserve, provision of about Rs. 1,750 to meet the possibility of any of the

debts then considered good proving later on to be doubtful. In the circumstances, there does not appear to be any reason for transferring the much larger amount of nearly Rs. 10,000 to a bad debt reserve by reduction of the Government capital account. The proper procedure would be to debit the Profit and Loss Account of 1925-, 26. (when the debts were first considered doubtful) with the amount of debts either finally written off or reserved for in the course of that year.

> R. L. McKernan, Accountant-General.

To the Secretary to Government, Development Department.

### Order-No. 866, Development, dated 14th June 1926.

Recorded.

2. Paragraph 2 of the Auditor's letter, paragraph 2 of the Directors' letter, dated 3rd April 1926, and paragraph 4 of the Accountant-General's endorsement.—The Government agree with the Accountant-General that there is no reason for transferring the doubtful debts to a bad debt reserve by reduction of the Government capital account. The amount of debts, written off or reserved for during the year 1925-26, should be debited to the Profit and Loss account of the year.

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Development)

V. PANDRANG ROW, Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Industries.

<sup>&</sup>quot; the Accountant-General (through Finance Department

<sup>,</sup> Messrs. Fraser & Ross. the Auditor-General (with C.L.)., the Secretary, Legislative Council.